



Intersections of Education and Polity: A Comprehensive Review

Showket Nabi

Department of Education, University of Kashmir

Mohammad Yaseen Mir

Department of Political Science, University of Kashmir

Showkat Ahmad Lone*

Research scholar, Department of Education, University of Kashmir
Loneshowkat440@gmail.com

ARTICLE DETAILS

Research Paper

Keywords:

*Education and polity;
educational policy; School
Curriculum and political
ideology*

ABSTRACT

The relationship between education and polity has been a focal point for researchers, educators, and policymakers for decades. This study examines the intricate relationship between politics and education, looking at how education systems and vice versa influence political institutions. These two realms interact in various ways that affect public policy, social fairness, governance, and democratic growth. This review synthesises the body of material already in existence to provide readers with a thorough grasp of these dynamics. It highlights important ideas, empirical findings, and policy-making implications. The study looks at several aspects of this relationship, such as how education affects political knowledge and engagement, how educational institutions shape political ideology, and how political structures affect educational policies and access. The results imply that politics and education are closely related and significantly influence one another.

Introduction

In the field of social sciences, one of the most critical areas of research is the relationship between education and politics (Reale et al., 2018). This interaction sheds light on how educational systems may impact political attitudes, participation, and governance structures and how political environments, in turn, influence educational policies and outcomes (Novelli et al., 2010). When it comes to devising strategies to promote democratic principles, social fairness, and successful governance, having a solid understanding of this link is very necessary (Graham et al., 2003). Education is frequently considered a fundamental component in forming citizens who are well-informed and actively involved in their communities (Bringle & Steinberg, 2010). However, the political environment in which educational institutions function can have a substantial impact on the outcomes of education.

Literature review

A range of technical and political complexities shapes education systems and learning outcomes. Achieving systemic change requires aligning these various components toward student learning. However, even when evidence points to clear interventions that will improve learning outcomes, it is only sometimes acted upon (Shrestha et al., 2019). Developing and executing educational policy heavily relies on political institutions. The form and operation of the educational system are shaped by the priorities, financing, and regulatory frameworks established by governments (Viennet & Pont, 2017). For education to be delivered consistently and effectively, political stability is necessary. On the other hand, political unrest can impede access to and the quality of education (Walker et al., 2019).

Educational goals are frequently influenced by the beliefs of political parties that are currently in power. Since political institutions may prioritize particular educational outcomes depending on their ideological convictions, this can impact the substance of the curriculum, the pedagogical methodologies utilized, and the distribution of resources (DeBray, 2006). As we saw an instance of virtual learning amid the Covid era (Shah et al. 2022; Wani et al., 2022).

According to Gimpel et al. (2003), education is a potent instrument for political socialization, as it can shape individuals' actions, beliefs, and values toward politics. One of the schools' most important roles in encouraging political awareness and involvement among students is providing civic education. According to Galston et al. (2001), those who have completed their education are more likely to participate in political processes, fight for their rights, and engage in informed reasoning decision-making. Having a well-educated population is beneficial to both the political stability and the efficiency

of government. According to Hyslop-Margison and Thayer (2019), education fosters critical thinking, problem-solving abilities, and informed citizenship, all needed to operate democratic institutions. A correlation has been established between higher levels of education and increasing levels of political participation and civic engagement, as demonstrated by many studies. To equip individuals to confront the political systems that are currently in place and fight for reforms, educational institutions have the potential to act as catalysts for political change. Higher education, in particular, frequently promotes the critical analysis of societal issues and helps cultivate leadership qualities (9 Anderson, 2009).

A mutually beneficial and synergistic link exists between the educational system and the political institutions that govern the country. According to Bangs and Frost (2012), effective educational programs require political backing, and a robust education system leads to the formation of political leaders who are knowledgeable and well-informed. The body of research emphasizes the need for a collaborative approach in which political institutions and educational stakeholders work together to develop policies that support educational excellence and foster political stability and advancement (Hargreaves & Shirley, 2012).

Research Questions

1. How does education influence political awareness, participation, and the shaping of political ideologies?
2. How do political structures impact educational policies and access, and what are the policy implications of the relationship between education and polity?

Research Methodology

This work is primarily based on a comprehensive review of existing studies. The methodology involves systematically identifying, evaluating, and synthesizing relevant research literature to understand the relationship between education and political structures.

Data Collection

Literature Search Strategy

The literature search will be conducted using a variety of academic databases and search engines, including but not limited to Google Scholar, Web of Science, JSTOR and Scopus

The Keywords and search terms included combinations of "education," "polity," "political participation," "political ideologies," "educational policies," "democracy," and "civic engagement."

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

In inclusion criteria, the articles from peer-reviewed journals, books, and book chapters were included. Only publications in English were considered. The studies published within the last two decades years (2000-2024) were reviewed to ensure contemporary relevance. The research directly examines the relationship between education and polity.

The exclusion Criteria review are non-peer-reviewed articles, opinion pieces, editorials, studies not available in English, publications older than 10 years unless seminal, and research not focusing on the interplay between education and polity. The authors ensure accuracy and transparency in data collection and analysis, avoiding plagiarism by properly citing all sources and presenting findings honestly without manipulation or bias.

Major findings and Discussion

Impact of Education on Political Participation

Numerous studies have demonstrated a positive correlation between education and political participation. Those with higher levels of education are more likely to use their right to vote, participate in political discourse, and take part in civic activities. As an illustration, Nie, Junn, and Stehlik-Barry (1996) discovered that a higher level of educational attainment is associated with a greater level of political participation as well as a more profound comprehension of democratic procedures. It was found that education encourages a wider range of civic engagement activities, including participation in community service, participation in political meetings, and membership in political parties. People with higher levels of education are more inclined to participate in these activities because they have a better knowledge of the responsibilities that come with being a citizen and a higher level of confidence in their abilities to bring about change. Education is associated with a greater level of political efficacy, which may be defined as the perception that one's activities can impact the results of political processes. When it comes to inspiring involvement, this sense of efficacy is really necessary. It was discovered by Nie, Junn, and Stehlik-Barry

(1996) that education had a favourable impact on both internal efficacy (confidence in one's capabilities) and external efficacy (belief in the responsiveness of the political system).

Results showed that citizens can develop social networks with their classmates, professors, and members of the community through the possibilities provided by educational institutions. The sharing of political information and the mobilization of people to participate in political activities are both made easier by these networks. According to research conducted by McClurg (2003), social networks have an important role in increasing political engagement among those who have completed their education. The civic norms and values, such as tolerance, respect for diversity, and a feeling of civic duty, are instilled in students via the process of education. The maintenance of democratic involvement and the cultivation of a culture of political engagement are both critical to the success of these objectives. As an illustration, research has demonstrated that education encourages democratic attitudes and actions (Putnam, 2000).

Influence of Political Structures on Education

Political structures and policies significantly impact educational access, quality, and equity. Democratic governments often prioritise education and invest more money in public schools, improving academic performance. On the other hand, authoritarian governments may employ education to indoctrinate, restricting critical thinking and bolstering the system's stability. The political structures significantly influence the formulation and execution of educational policy. Education reforms, the substance of curricula, the distribution of resources, and the training of teachers are all decisions made by governments. For instance, democratic governments may place a greater emphasis on education policies that are inclusive and egalitarian. In contrast, authoritarian regimes may emphasise educational policies that stress control and indoctrination (Viennet & Pont, 2017). Curriculums under democratic regimes frequently emphasise essential concepts such as civic education, critical thinking, and tolerance for diversity. On the other hand, authoritarian regimes may emphasise devotion to the state, stifle opposition, and create a distinctive national narrative (Ochoa-Becker, 2006).

The political systems determine the allocation of resources to education. According to Kauppi (2018), democracies often work toward achieving equitable resource distribution to progress toward social equality. It is dependent on the sort of political system, whether or not individuals have access to education, and whether or not the education system is inclusive. There is a greater likelihood that democratic governments would pursue policies that attempt to minimise inequality and guarantee that

education is accessible to many people. In democracies, typically, a significant focus is placed on universal education. This may be observed in laws that try to make schooling mandatory and in efforts to lessen the obstacles that prevent underprivileged people from receiving an education. According to Hyslop-Margison (2007), liberal democracies often emphasise education that encourages the promotion of individual rights, critical thinking, and civic involvement. They favour educational techniques that promote discussion, critical analysis, and the growth of a society that supports pluralism.

Educational Policies and Political Stability

Educational policies can contribute to political stability by addressing socio-economic inequalities and promoting social cohesion. As an illustration, providing equal access to high-quality education has the potential to alleviate social tensions and cultivate a feeling of national togetherness. Divergences in educational possibilities, on the other hand, have the potential to worsen existing social differences and contribute to growing political discontent. This is accomplished through the promotion of social cohesiveness, the reduction of inequities, and the preparation of people for active involvement in the political process. Educational policies play a vital role in the process of building political stability. Policies that guarantee that all segments of society have equal access to quality education help bridge social differences and mitigate possible sources of conflict between different groups of people. The implementation of inclusive educational programs has the potential to alleviate feelings of resentment and social tensions, hence contributing to a more stable political climate. This is accomplished by giving marginalized groups with the chance to better their socio-economic position. For example, affirmative action programs that attempt to provide access to education for populations that have historically been disadvantaged can encourage social mobility and integration, which in turn helps to develop a sense of belonging and reduces the probability of social unrest.

An additional factor that contributes to the formation of a politically stable society is the implementation of educational programs that emphasize the development of democratic principles and civic education. Education systems can grow individuals who are educated and engaged, and who are better suited to participate in democratic processes (Hoggan-Kloubert et al., 2023). This may be accomplished by adopting a curriculum that promotes critical thinking, political literacy, and respect for democratic ideals. Given that a public that is highly educated is more likely to advocate for and respect democratic standards, this, in turn, lends support to the legitimacy and functioning of democratic institutions. For

instance, nations that have effective civic education programs typically have greater levels of voter turnout and civic involvement. These factors help to political stability by ensuring that the acts of the government are reflective of the will of an informed populace (Sant, 2019). Therefore, educational programs not only reinforce the foundation of political stability by promoting engaged and informed citizenry, but they also boost the empowerment of individuals, which is a significant benefit.

Policy Implications

Understanding the relationship between education and polity has significant policy implications. Policymakers should recognize the dual role of education in promoting both individual development and societal well-being. Investing in equitable and inclusive education systems can enhance political participation, support democratic governance, and foster social cohesion. Additionally, educational curricula should be designed to promote critical thinking, civic awareness, and respect for diversity.

Conclusion

The relationship between education and polity is complex and multifaceted. Education has the potential to shape political systems by fostering informed and engaged citizens, while political structures influence educational policies and outcomes. A holistic approach that recognizes the interdependence of education and polity can lead to more effective policies and a more equitable and democratic society. Further research is needed to explore the nuances of this relationship and to develop strategies for leveraging education to promote political stability and social justice.

References

- Anderson, G. L. (2009). *Advocacy leadership: Toward a post-reform agenda in education*. Routledge.
- Bangs, J., & Frost, D. (2012). Teacher self-efficacy, voice and leadership: Towards a policy framework for Education International. *Education International Research Institute, Cambridge, UK*.
- Bringle, R. G., & Steinberg, K. (2010). Educating for informed community involvement. *American journal of community psychology, 46*, 428-441.
- DeBray, E. H. (2006). *Politics, ideology, and education*. Teachers College Press.

- Galston, W. A. (2001). Political knowledge, political engagement, and civic education. *Annual review of political science*, 4(1), 217-234.
- Gimpel, J. G., Lay, J. C., & Schuknecht, J. E. (2003). *Cultivating democracy: Civic environments and political socialization in America*. Brookings Institution Press.
- Graham, J., Plumptre, T. W., & Amos, B. (2003). *Principles for good governance in the 21st century* (Vol. 15, p. 6). Ottawa: Institute on governance.
- Hargreaves, A., & Shirley, D. (2012). *The global fourth way: The quest for educational excellence*. Corwin Press.
- Hoggan-Kloubert, T., Brandi, U., Hodge, S., Knight, E., & Milana, M. (2023). Civic lifelong education: fostering informed citizenship amidst global challenges and democratic transformations. *International Journal of Lifelong Education*, 42(4), 335-341.
- Hyslop-Margison, E. J., & Sears, A. M. (2007). *Neo-liberalism, globalization and human capital learning: Reclaiming education for democratic citizenship*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Hyslop-Margison, E. J., & Thayer, J. (2019). *Teaching democracy: Citizenship education as critical pedagogy* (Vol. 42). Brill.
- Kauppi, N. (2018). Democracy, social resources and political power in the European Union. In *Democracy, social resources and political power in the European Union*. Manchester University Press.
- Novelli, M., Higgins, S., Ugur, M., & Valiente, O. (2014). The political economy of education systems in conflict-affected contexts: A rigorous literature review.
- Ochoa-Becker, A. S. (Ed.). (2006). *Democratic education for social studies: An issues-centered decision making curriculum*. IAP.
- Reale, E., Avramov, D., Canhial, K., Donovan, C., Flecha, R., Holm, P., ... & Van Horik, R. (2018). A review of literature on evaluating the scientific, social and political impact of social sciences and humanities research. *Research Evaluation*, 27(4), 298-308.

- Sant, E. (2019). Democratic education: A theoretical review (2006–2017). *Review of Educational Research, 89*(5), 655-696.
- Shah, H., Ahmad, K. M., Wani, T. A., & Nabi, S. (2022). Online Learning and ODL System During Covid-19: Situating The Experiences Of University Students And Teachers In Kashmir. *The Online Journal of Distance Education and e-Learning, 10*(4).
- Shrestha, U., Williams, T. P., Samarrai, S., Geldermalsen, A., & Zaidi, A. (2019). What is the relationship between politics, education reforms, and learning? Evidence from a new database and nine case studies. *Background paper for World Development Report 2018: Learning to realize education's promise*.
- Wani, T. A., Nabi, S., & Shah, H. (2022). Lived experience of virtual learning spaces amid COVID-19 Outbreak: A case of Public Secondary Schools in Kashmir. *Journal of Positive School Psychology, 6*(3), 7804-7815.
- Viennet, R., & Pont, B. (2017). Education policy implementation: A literature review and proposed framework.
- Viennet, R., & Pont, B. (2017). Education policy implementation: A literature review and proposed framework.
- Walker, J., Pearce, C., Boe, K., & Lawson, M. (2019). *The Power of Education to Fight Inequality: How increasing educational equality and quality is crucial to fighting economic and gender inequality*. Oxfam.