

# The Role of Bioinformatics in Analyzing Bacterial Genomes, Focusing on Tools and Approaches

Vishal Kumar  $^1$ , Anju Singh  $^1$ 

<sup>1</sup> Department of Chemistry, UIS, Chandigarh University, Gharuan, Punjab, India.

#### ARTICLE DETAILS ABSTRACT

Keywords: bioinformatics, bacterial genomes, Next-Generation Sequencing (NGS)

Research Paper This review aims to provide a comprehensive overview of the role of bioinformatics in analyzing bacterial genomes, focusing on the tools and approaches used in this field. It is structured to cover several key areas: an overview of bacterial genome analysis, including its historical perspective and current state; various bioinformatics tools for sequencing, data preprocessing, genome assembly, and annotation; and comparative genomics, discussing approaches, tools, and applications. Additionally, it will explore functional genomics, highlighting tools for functional annotation, pathway analysis, and metagenomics, as well as genomic variants analysis, covering SNPs and structural variants. The review will also examine phylogenomics and evolutionary analysis methods, present case studies on antibiotic resistance and pathogen genomics, and discuss future directions and challenges, including advancements in sequencing technologies, multi-omics data integration, and ethical considerations. The review concludes with key points and implications for future research, aiming to provide a detailed understanding of how bioinformatics is revolutionizing bacterial genomics.



# Introduction:-

Bacterial genomics is a pivotal field of study that delves into the structure, function, evolution, and mapping of bacterial genomes [1]. Bacteria, as some of the most diverse and ubiquitous organisms on Earth, play essential roles in various ecosystems, human health, and disease. The comprehensive understanding of bacterial genomes is crucial for exploring these roles and developing new technological advancements in medicine, agriculture, and industry [2]. The inception of bacterial genomics as a dedicated field can be traced back to significant milestones in DNA sequencing technology. The complete sequencing of the Haemophilus influenzae genome in 1995 marked a transformative moment, paving the way for the sequencing of thousands of bacterial genomes [3]. These efforts have vastly enriched our understanding of bacterial metabolic capabilities, pathogenic mechanisms, and evolutionary relationships [4]. Bioinformatics is indispensable in the analysis of bacterial genomes, transforming raw sequencing data into meaningful biological insights. The voluminous data generated by next-generation sequencing (NGS) technologies necessitates the use of sophisticated computational tools and algorithms for effective processing, analysis, and interpretation [5]. Bioinformatics tools are crucial at various stages of genomic analysis. During data preprocessing and quality control, these tools assess the quality of sequencing reads, trim low-quality regions, and correct errors, ensuring the accuracy and reliability of subsequent analyses. In genome assembly, bioinformatics enables the reconstruction of complete genomes from short sequencing reads through de novo assembly or alignment to reference genomes, which is essential for identifying genes, regulatory elements, and structural variations [6]. Additionally, bioinformatics tools are instrumental in genome annotation, predicting and annotating genes to identify coding sequences and their functions. Comparative genomics, facilitated by bioinformatics, allows for the comparison of genomes from different bacterial strains or species, revealing conserved genes, horizontal gene transfer events, and evolutionary relationships [7]. Furthermore, functional genomics integrates genomic data with transcriptomic, proteomic, and metabolomic data to provide a holistic view of bacterial function and regulation [8].

# 2. Types of bioinformatics tools used in analyzing bacterial genomes:-

Table: 1 here's a table summarizing seven types of bioinformatics tools used in analyzing bacterial genomes, along with their explanations, uses, and applications









# 3. Bioinformatics Tools for Bacterial Genome Sequencing

Bioinformatics tools are essential for the effective sequencing, assembly, and analysis of bacterial genomes. These tools facilitate various stages of the genome sequencing workflow, from data acquisition to final annotation and analysis [23].

# 3.1 Next-Generation Sequencing (NGS) Technologies

Next-Generation Sequencing (NGS) technologies have revolutionized bacterial genomics by enabling the rapid and cost-effective sequencing of entire bacterial genomes. Platforms such as Illumina, PacBio, and Oxford Nanopore generate massive amounts of sequence data with high accuracy and throughput. Illumina technology, known for its short-read sequences, excels in accuracy and throughput, making it ideal for high-resolution analysis [24].









# 3.2 Data Preprocessing and Quality Control

Before further analysis, raw sequencing data must undergo preprocessing to ensure accuracy and reliability. Data preprocessing and quality control tools are critical in ensuring the accuracy and reliability of raw sequencing data before it undergoes further analysis. Tools like FastQC, Trimmomatic, Cutadapt, SPAdes, LoRDEC, and Pilon are commonly used for these purposes [28]. FastQC provides a detailed assessment of read quality, identifying any potential issues such as low-quality sequences or contamination. these tools ensure high-quality data, which is essential for accurate genome assembly and downstream analyses. This involves several critical steps:







# 3.3 Genome Assembly Tools

Genome assembly is the process of reconstructing the complete genome sequence from fragmented sequencing reads. Genome assembly tools are crucial for reconstructing complete genomes from fragmented sequencing reads [35]. These tools play a vital role in genome sequencing projects, particularly for bacteria with small, relatively simple genomes. Some of the commonly used genome assembly tools include:







# 3.4 Genome Assembly Tools

Genome annotation tools play a crucial role in identifying and characterizing the features of a sequenced genome, such as protein-coding genes, non-coding RNAs, and regulatory elements [42]. These tools are essential for understanding the functional potential of a genome. Some commonly used genome annotation tools include:







# 3.5 Comparative Genomics Tools:-

Comparative genomics tools are used to compare the genomes of different organisms to identify similarities and differences in their genetic content and organization [50]. These tools are essential for studying evolutionary relationships, gene function, and genetic diversity. Some commonly used comparative genomics tools include:







# 4. Antibiotic Resistance Genomics

The study of antibiotic resistance genomics involves understanding the genetic basis of resistance mechanisms in bacteria. This field is crucial for developing strategies to combat antibiotic-resistant infections [54]. By analyzing the genomes of resistant bacteria, researchers can identify the specific genes and mutations responsible for resistance.

- These genes often encode proteins that degrade antibiotics, modify antibiotic targets, or pump antibiotics out of the cell. Understanding these mechanisms helps in the development of new antibiotics and treatments, as well as in the implementation of better infection control practices [55].
- Advanced bioinformatics tools and databases are essential for detecting and analyzing resistance genes, enabling scientists to track the spread of resistance and develop strategies to mitigate its impact on public health [56].

# 5. Mechanisms of Resistance

Antibiotic resistance mechanisms include several strategies that bacteria employ to evade the effects of antibiotics. These mechanisms are often encoded by genes located on plasmids, transposons, or within the bacterial chromosome [57]. Key mechanisms include:

- 1. Production of Enzymes that Degrade Antibiotics: One common mechanism is the production of enzymes, such as β-lactamases, that break down antibiotics before they can exert their effect. β-lactamases hydrolyze the β-lactam ring found in penicillins and cephalosporins, rendering these antibiotics ineffective [58].
- 2. Modification of Antibiotic Targets: Bacteria can acquire mutations in the genes encoding antibiotic targets, leading to structural changes that prevent the antibiotic from binding



effectively. For example, mutations in ribosomal RNA can confer resistance to macrolides and aminoglycosides by altering the antibiotic binding sites [59].

- 3. Efflux Pumps: Efflux pumps are membrane proteins that actively expel antibiotics from bacterial cells, reducing the intracellular concentration of the drug to sub-lethal levels. Efflux pumps can confer resistance to a wide range of antibiotics, including tetracyclines, fluoroquinolones, and macrolides [60].
- 4. Reduced Permeability to Antibiotics: Some bacteria can alter their cell wall or membrane structures to decrease the permeability of antibiotics. This can be achieved by reducing the expression of porins, which are channels that allow antibiotics to enter the cell, or by altering the lipid composition of the cell membrane [61].

These resistance mechanisms can be spread among bacteria through horizontal gene transfer, which involves the movement of genetic material between organisms, thereby facilitating the rapid dissemination of antibiotic resistance genes in bacterial populations. Understanding these mechanisms is essential for developing new therapeutic strategies and combating antibiotic-resistant infections [62].

# 6. Tools and Applications :-

These tools and applications illustrate the breadth and depth of bioinformatics approaches in studying antibiotic resistance and pathogen genomics, highlighting their importance in addressing public health challenges.











# Conclusion

Bioinformatics has revolutionized the field of bacterial genomics, providing powerful tools and approaches to analyze and understand bacterial genomes. The integration of bioinformatics in bacterial genomic analysis has enabled researchers to decode the genetic makeup of bacteria, identify resistance mechanisms, and track the spread of antibiotic resistance. Tools like ResFinder, CARD, and ARG-ANNOT facilitate the detection and annotation of resistance genes, offering insights into how bacteria evade antibiotics. Comparative genomics tools such as Mauve, Roary, and anvi'o allow for the analysis of genetic diversity and evolutionary relationships among bacterial species, providing a deeper understanding of bacterial adaptation and evolution.

Moreover, bioinformatics tools are indispensable in the assembly and annotation of bacterial genomes. Assemblers like SPAdes and Canu reconstruct genomes from sequencing data, while annotation tools like Prokka and RAST predict gene functions and pathways. These tools collectively enhance our ability to interpret genomic data, paving the way for new discoveries in microbiology and infectious diseases. The application of bioinformatics in real-time genomic surveillance and epidemiological studies is particularly noteworthy. Tools like Nextstrain and GISAID enable the monitoring of pathogen evolution and the investigation of outbreaks, supporting public health interventions and disease control measures.

In conclusion, bioinformatics has become an essential component of bacterial genomics, offering comprehensive tools and approaches that drive research forward. The continuous development and refinement of bioinformatics tools will further enhance our ability to combat antibiotic resistance, understand bacterial pathogenesis, and protect public health.

# Acknowledgment

I would like to extend my heartfelt gratitude to Mint Nutraceuticals Pvt Ltd. for their invaluable guidance and support during the preparation of this review paper. Their expert insights, access to stateof-the-art facilities, and unwavering commitment to excellence have been instrumental in shaping this work. This experience has been immensely enriching and has significantly contributed to my academic and professional growth. Thank you for this remarkable opportunity.

#### Reference: -

- 1. Langille, M. G., Hsiao, W. W., & Brinkman, F. S. (2010). Detecting genomic islands using bioinformatics approaches. Nature Reviews Microbiology, 8(5), 373-382.
- 2. Wattam, A. R., Abraham, D., Dalay, O., Disz, T. L., Driscoll, T., Gabbard, J. L., ... & Sobral, B. W. (2014). PATRIC, the bacterial bioinformatics database and analysis resource. *Nucleic acids* research, 42(D1), D581-D591.
- 3. Chen, K., & Pachter, L. (2005). Bioinformatics for whole-genome shotgun sequencing of microbial communities. PLoS computational biology, 1(2), e24.
- 4. Gillespie, J. J., Wattam, A. R., Cammer, S. A., Gabbard, J. L., Shukla, M. P., Dalay, O., ... & Sobral, B. W. (2011). PATRIC: the comprehensive bacterial bioinformatics resource with a focus on human pathogenic species. Infection and immunity, 79(11), 4286-4298.
- 5. Galperin, M. Y., Kristensen, D. M., Makarova, K. S., Wolf, Y. I., & Koonin, E. V. (2019). Microbial genome analysis: the COG approach. Briefings in bioinformatics, 20(4), 1063-1070.
- 6. Baxevanis, A. D., Bader, G. D., & Wishart, D. S. (Eds.). (2020). Bioinformatics. John Wiley & Sons.
- 7. Carriço, J. A., Sabat, A. J., Friedrich, A. W., Ramirez, M., & on behalf of the ESCMID Study Group for Epidemiological Markers, C. (2013). Bioinformatics in bacterial molecular epidemiology and public health: databases, tools and the next-generation sequencing revolution. Eurosurveillance, 18(4).
- 8. Escobar-Zepeda, A., Vera-Ponce de León, A., & Sanchez-Flores, A. (2015). The road to metagenomics: from microbiology to DNA sequencing technologies and bioinformatics. Frontiers in genetics, 6, 155161.
- 9. Caputo, A., Fournier, P. E., & Raoult, D. (2019). Genome and pan-genome analysis to classify emerging bacteria. Biology direct, 14, 1-9.



- 10. Bush, S. J., Connor, T. R., Peto, T. E., Crook, D. W., & Walker, A. S. (2020). Evaluation of methods for detecting human reads in microbial sequencing datasets. *Microbial genomics*, 6(7), e000393.
- 11. Alvarez, R. V. (2014). Large Scale Annotation of Biomolecular Data Using Integrated Database Management Tools (Doctoral dissertation, ppke).
- 12. Kulski, J. K. (2016). Next-generation sequencing—an overview of the history, tools, and "Omic" applications. Next generation sequencing-advances, applications and challenges, 10, 61964.
- 13. Lugli, G. A., Milani, C., Mancabelli, L., van Sinderen, D., & Ventura, M. (2016). MEGAnnotator: a user-friendly pipeline for microbial genomes assembly and annotation. FEMS microbiology letters, 363(7), fnw049.
- 14. Caputo, A., Fournier, P. E., & Raoult, D. (2019). Genome and pan-genome analysis to classify emerging bacteria. *Biology direct*, 14, 1-9.
- 15. Edwards, D. J., & Holt, K. E. (2013). Beginner's guide to comparative bacterial genome analysis using next-generation sequence data. *Microbial informatics and experimentation*, 3, 1-9.
- 16. Beckloff, N., Starkenburg, S., Freitas, T., & Chain, P. (2012). Bacterial genome annotation. Microbial Systems Biology: Methods and Protocols, 471-503.
- 17. Feldbauer, R., Schulz, F., Horn, M., & Rattei, T. (2015). Prediction of microbial phenotypes based on comparative genomics. BMC bioinformatics, 16, 1-8.
- 18. Markowitz, V. M., & Kyrpides, N. C. (2008). Comparative genome analysis in the integrated microbial genomes (IMG) system (pp. 35-56). Humana Press.
- 19. Seah, Y. M., Stewart, M. K., Hoogestraat, D., Ryder, M., Cookson, B. T., Salipante, S. J., & Hoffman, N. G. (2023). In silico evaluation of variant calling methods for bacterial wholegenome sequencing assays. Journal of Clinical Microbiology, 61(8), e01842-22.
- 20. Tan, A., Abecasis, G. R., & Kang, H. M. (2015). Unified representation of genetic variants. Bioinformatics, 31(13), 2202-2204.
- 21. Dupont, S., Wilson, K., Obst, M., Sköld, H., Nakano, H., & Thorndyke, M. C. (2007). Marine ecological genomics: when genomics meets marine ecology. Marine ecology progress series, 332, 257-273.
- 22. Ranaweera, C. B. (2023). OMICS and other Advanced Technologies in Mycological Applications.
- 23. Paine, K., & Flower, D. R. (2002). Bacterial bioinformatics: pathogenesis and the genome. Journal of molecular microbiology and biotechnology, 4(4), 357-366.

Vishal Kumar & Anju Singh Page | 823

- 24. Hu, T., Chitnis, N., Monos, D., & Dinh, A. (2021). Next-generation sequencing technologies: An overview. Human Immunology, 82(11), 801-811.
- 25. Park, S. T., & Kim, J. (2016). Trends in next-generation sequencing and a new era for whole genome sequencing. International neurourology journal, 20(Suppl 2), S76.
- 26. Kittichotirat, W., & Krajaejun, T. (2019). Application of genome sequencing to study infectious diseases. J Infect Dis Antimicrob Agents, 36, 47-58.
- 27. Wick, R. R., Judd, L. M., & Holt, K. E. (2023). Assembling the perfect bacterial genome using Oxford Nanopore and Illumina sequencing. PLOS Computational Biology, 19(3), e1010905.
- 28. Schmieder, R., & Edwards, R. (2011). Quality control and preprocessing of metagenomic datasets. Bioinformatics, 27(6), 863-864.
- 29. Lo, C. C., & Chain, P. S. (2014). Rapid evaluation and quality control of next generation sequencing data with FaQCs. *BMC bioinformatics*, 15, 1-8.
- 30. Bush, S. J. (2020). Read trimming has minimal effect on bacterial SNP-calling accuracy. Microbial genomics, 6(12), e000434.
- 31. Liu, J., Sun, J., & Liu, Y. (2021). Effective identification of bacterial genomes from short and long read sequencing data. IEEE/ACM Transactions on Computational Biology and Bioinformatics, 19(5), 2806-2816.
- 32. Orsini, M., Cuccuru, G., Uva, P., & Fotia, G. (2016). Bacterial genomic data analysis in the nextgeneration sequencing era. Data Mining Techniques for the Life Sciences, 407-422.
- 33. Singh, R., Kusalik, A., & Dillon, J. A. R. (2022). Bioinformatics tools used for whole-genome sequencing analysis of Neisseria gonorrhoeae: a literature review. Briefings in Functional Genomics, 21(2), 78-89.
- 34. Goussarov, G. (2021). Bioinformatics tools for metagenomic analysis of bacterial communities (Doctoral dissertation, Ghent University).
- 35. Wee, Y., Bhyan, S. B., Liu, Y., Lu, J., Li, X., & Zhao, M. (2019). The bioinformatics tools for the genome assembly and analysis based on third-generation sequencing. Briefings in functional genomics, 18(1), 1-12.
- 36. Scott, D., & Ely, B. (2015). Comparison of genome sequencing technology and assembly methods for the analysis of a GC-rich bacterial genome. Current microbiology, 70, 338-344.
- 37. Utturkar, S. M., Klingeman, D. M., Hurt Jr, R. A., & Brown, S. D. (2017). A case study into microbial genome assembly gap sequences and finishing strategies. Frontiers in microbiology, 8, 1272.

- 38. Goldstein, S., Beka, L., Graf, J., & Klassen, J. L. (2019). Evaluation of strategies for the assembly of diverse bacterial genomes using MinION long-read sequencing. BMC genomics, 20, 1-17.
- 39. Bao, S., Jiang, R., Kwan, W., Wang, B., Ma, X., & Song, Y. Q. (2011). Evaluation of nextgeneration sequencing software in mapping and assembly. Journal of human genetics, 1-10.
- 40. Bhutia, K. L., Kumari, S., Anjani, K., Borah, B., Sharma, V. K., Kisku, A., ... & Hossain, A. Advancement in Bioinformatics Tools in the Era of Genome Editing-Based Functional Genomics. In CRISPR and Plant Functional Genomics (pp. 239-257). CRC Press.
- 41. Bush, S. J., Foster, D., Eyre, D. W., Clark, E. L., De Maio, N., Shaw, L. P., ... & Walker, A. S. (2019). Genomic diversity affects the accuracy of bacterial SNP calling pipelines. BioRxiv, 653774.
- 42. Wee, Y., Bhyan, S. B., Liu, Y., Lu, J., Li, X., & Zhao, M. (2019). The bioinformatics tools for the genome assembly and analysis based on third-generation sequencing. Briefings in functional genomics, 18(1), 1-12.
- 43. Gurevich, A., Saveliev, V., Vyahhi, N., & Tesler, G. (2013). QUAST: quality assessment tool for genome assemblies. Bioinformatics, 29(8), 1072-1075.
- 44. Edwards, D. J., & Holt, K. E. (2013). Beginner's guide to comparative bacterial genome analysis using next-generation sequence data. Microbial informatics and experimentation, 3, 1-9.
- 45. Clarke, T. H., Brinkac, L. M., Inman, J. M., Sutton, G., & Fouts, D. E. (2018). PanACEA: a bioinformatics tool for the exploration and visualization of bacterial pan-chromosomes. BMC bioinformatics, 19, 1-6.
- 46. Carriço, J. A., Rossi, M., Moran-Gilad, J., Van Domselaar, G., & Ramirez, M. (2018). A primer on microbial bioinformatics for nonbioinformaticians. Clinical Microbiology and Infection, 24(4), 342-349.
- 47. Gabrielaite, M., & Marvig, R. L. (2020). GenAPI: a tool for gene absence-presence identification in fragmented bacterial genome sequences. BMC bioinformatics, 21, 1-8
- 48. Marinier, E., Zaheer, R., Berry, C., Weedmark, K. A., Domaratzki, M., Mabon, P., ... & Van Domselaar, G. (2017). Neptune: a bioinformatics tool for rapid discovery of genomic variation in bacterial populations. Nucleic acids research, 45(18), e159-e159.
- 49. Palù, M., Basile, A., Zampieri, G., Treu, L., Rossi, A., Morlino, M. S., & Campanaro, S. (2022). KEMET–A python tool for KEGG Module evaluation and microbial genome annotation expansion. Computational and Structural Biotechnology Journal, 20, 1481-1486.
- 50. Ussery, D. W., Wassenaar, T. M., & Borini, S. (2008). Computing for comparative microbial genomics: bioinformatics for microbiologists (Vol. 8). Springer Science & Business Media.
- 51. Edwards, D. J., & Holt, K. E. (2013). Beginner's guide to comparative bacterial genome analysis using next-generation sequence data. *Microbial informatics and experimentation*, 3, 1-9.
- 52. Page, A. J., Cummins, C. A., Hunt, M., Wong, V. K., Reuter, S., Holden, M. T., ... & Parkhill, J. (2015). Roary: rapid large-scale prokaryote pan genome analysis. Bioinformatics, 31(22), 3691- 3693.
- 53. Santiago, C. R. D. N., Assis, R. D. A. B., Moreira, L. M., & Digiampietri, L. A. (2019). Gene Tags Assessment by Comparative Genomics (GTACG): A user-friendly framework for bacterial comparative genomics. Frontiers in Genetics, 10, 460469.
- 54. Su, M., Satola, S. W., & Read, T. D. (2019). Genome-based prediction of bacterial antibiotic resistance. Journal of clinical microbiology, 57(3), 10-1128.
- 55. Gillings, M. R., Paulsen, I. T., & Tetu, S. G. (2017). Genomics and the evolution of antibiotic resistance. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 1388(1), 92-107.
- 56. Hughes, D. (2003). Exploiting genomics, genetics and chemistry to combat antibiotic resistance. *Nature reviews genetics*, 4(6), 432-441.
- 57. Lin, J., Nishino, K., Roberts, M. C., Tolmasky, M., Aminov, R. I., & Zhang, L. (2015). Mechanisms of antibiotic resistance. Frontiers in microbiology, 6, 123658.
- 58. Sekyere, J. O., & Asante, J. (2018). Emerging mechanisms of antimicrobial resistance in bacteria and fungi: advances in the era of genomics. Future microbiology, 13(2), 241-262.
- 59. Botelho, J., Grosso, F., & Peixe, L. (2019). Antibiotic resistance in Pseudomonas aeruginosa– Mechanisms, epidemiology and evolution. Drug resistance updates, 44, 100640.
- 60. Darby, E. M., Trampari, E., Siasat, P., Gaya, M. S., Alav, I., Webber, M. A., & Blair, J. M. (2023). Molecular mechanisms of antibiotic resistance revisited. Nature Reviews Microbiology, 21(5), 280-295.
- 61. Rossolini, G. M., & Thaller, M. C. (2010). Coping with antibiotic resistance: contributions from genomics. Genome medicine, 2, 1-5.
- 62. Sultan, I., Rahman, S., Jan, A. T., Siddiqui, M. T., Mondal, A. H., & Haq, Q. M. R. (2018). Antibiotics, resistome and resistance mechanisms: A bacterial perspective. Frontiers in microbiology, 9, 377549.
- 63. Thomsen, M. C. F., Ahrenfeldt, J., Cisneros, J. L. B., Jurtz, V., Larsen, M. V., Hasman, H., ... & Lund, O. (2016). A bacterial analysis platform: an integrated system for analysing bacterial

whole genome sequencing data for clinical diagnostics and surveillance. PloS one, 11(6), e0157718.

- 64. Niu, S. Y., Yang, J., McDermaid, A., Zhao, J., Kang, Y., & Ma, Q. (2018). Bioinformatics tools for quantitative and functional metagenome and metatranscriptome data analysis in microbes. Briefings in bioinformatics, 19(6), 1415-1429.
- 65. Gupta, S. K., Padmanabhan, B. R., Diene, S. M., Lopez-Rojas, R., Kempf, M., Landraud, L., & Rolain, J. M. (2014). ARG-ANNOT, a new bioinformatic tool to discover antibiotic resistance genes in bacterial genomes. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy, 58(1), 212-220.
- 66. Hadfield, J., Megill, C., Bell, S. M., Huddleston, J., Potter, B., Callender, C., ... & Neher, R. A. (2018). Nextstrain: real-time tracking of pathogen evolution. Bioinformatics, 34(23), 4121-4123.
- 67. Vafadoost, Z. (2024). Development of bioinformatics tools for the characterization and classification of low abundant microbes at the strain level, with a study case of SARS-CoV2 (Doctoral dissertation, Memorial University of Newfoundland).
- 68. Langille, M. G., Hsiao, W. W., & Brinkman, F. S. (2010). Detecting genomic islands using bioinformatics approaches. Nature Reviews Microbiology, 8(5), 373-382.
- 69. Durrant, M. G., Li, M. M., Siranosian, B. A., Montgomery, S. B., & Bhatt, A. S. (2020). A bioinformatic analysis of integrative mobile genetic elements highlights their role in bacterial adaptation. Cell host & microbe, 27(1), 140-153.
- 70. Nichio, B. T., Marchaukoski, J. N., & Raittz, R. T. (2017). New tools in orthology analysis: a brief review of promising perspectives. *Frontiers in genetics*, 8, 165.
- 71. Alikhan, N. F., Petty, N. K., Ben Zakour, N. L., & Beatson, S. A. (2011). BLAST Ring Image Generator (BRIG): simple prokaryote genome comparisons. *BMC genomics*, 12, 1-10.
- 72. Eren, A. M., Esen, Ö. C., Quince, C., Vineis, J. H., Morrison, H. G., Sogin, M. L., & Delmont, T. O. (2015). Anvi'o: an advanced analysis and visualization platform for 'omics data. PeerJ, 3, e1319.
- 73. Marsh, J. W., Hayward, R. J., Shetty, A. C., Mahurkar, A., Humphrys, M. S., & Myers, G. S. (2018). Bioinformatic analysis of bacteria and host cell dual RNA-sequencing experiments. Briefings in bioinformatics, 19(6), 1115-1129.
- 74. Niu, S. Y., Yang, J., McDermaid, A., Zhao, J., Kang, Y., & Ma, Q. (2018). Bioinformatics tools for quantitative and functional metagenome and metatranscriptome data analysis in microbes. Briefings in bioinformatics, 19(6), 1415-1429.