

Case Taking In Homoeopathy

Dr. Kamei Dijinlung Rongmei¹, Dr. Priyanka Hawaibam² and Dr. Laishram Bhagat Singh^{3*}

¹.Associate Professor, Dept. Of Organon of Medicine and Homoeopathy Philosophy, Devs Homoeopathic Medical College and Hospital, Keesara, Hyderabad, Telangana- 50301
Email – kmrongmei@gmail.com

Affiliation - Kaloji Narayana Rao University of Health Sciences Warangal, Telangana 506007

²Associate Professor, Dept. of Homoeopathic Pharmacy, Devs Homoeopathic Medical College and Hospital, Keesara, Hyderabad, Telangana- 50301
Email – priyankahawaibam@gmail.com

Affiliation - Kaloji Narayana Rao University of Health Sciences Warangal, Telangana 506007

³Assistant Professor, Dept. of Organon of Medicine and Homoeopathy Philosophy, Jawharlal Homoeopathic Medical College, Waghodia, Vadodara, Gujarat- 391760
Email – bhagatlaishram21@gmail.com

Affiliation – Parul University, Waghodia, Vadodara, Gujarat- 391760

ARTICLE DETAILS

Research Paper

Keywords:

Case Taking, Patient, Repertorization, Complaints, Homoeopathy

ABSTRACT

The process of methodically obtaining and evaluating data regarding a single instance is known as "case-taking," and it is essential to many academic fields, such as psychology, law, and medicine. The importance of case-taking as a fundamental technique for comprehending and handling difficult circumstances is examined in this article. The foundation of diagnosis and treatment planning in medicine is a comprehensive case-taking process that emphasizes the significance of the patient's history, symptoms, and clinical findings. In order to obtain information, evaluate any legal ramifications, and present strong arguments in court, legal practitioners use case-taking. In order to better support therapeutic interventions and psychological evaluations, psychologists employ case-taking to dive into individual

histories, behaviors, and emotional states. This paper examines approaches and optimal procedures for efficient case analysis, emphasizing the similarities and differences within various fields. It talks about the difficulties and ethical considerations. It delves on the problems, strategy, and ethical issues around improving the thoroughness and accuracy of case data gathering. This article emphasizes the critical role that case-taking plays in decision-making, problem-solving, and knowledge growth across a range of professional disciplines by looking at it through multidisciplinary lenses. The article seeks to highlight the critical role that case-taking plays in promoting therapeutic efficacy, patient-centered care, and diagnostic accuracy in contemporary healthcare settings by looking at it through the prisms of interdisciplinary collaboration¹ and continuous improvement.

INTRODUCTION

Homeopathy, a holistic system of medicine founded by Samuel Hahnemann in the late 18th century, emphasizes the individualized treatment of patients based on the principle of "like cures like." Central to the practice of homeopathy is the process of case taking, a meticulous method of gathering information about a patient's physical, emotional, and mental state. This article explores the significance of case taking in homeopathy and its essential components².

Purpose of Case Taking

The primary goal of case taking in homeopathy is to uncover the totality of symptoms experienced by the patient. This involves not only the chief complaint but also the modalities (factors that worsen or alleviate symptoms), concomitant symptoms (additional symptoms accompanying the chief complaint), and the patient's general state of health. The homeopath aims to understand the patient as a unique individual, considering both physical and psychological aspects that may influence their health.

Components of Case Taking

1. **Chief Complaint:** The initial reason for seeking homeopathic treatment, which guides the homeopath towards understanding the primary issue affecting the patient.
2. **History of Present Illness:** Detailed exploration of how the symptoms developed, their progression, and any factors that influence their intensity or frequency³.
3. **Past Medical History:** Previous illnesses, surgeries, and medical treatments provide insights into the patient's health background.
4. **Family History:** Genetic predispositions and familial diseases that might influence the patient's current health condition.
5. **Mental and Emotional State:** Understanding the patient's emotional temperament, stressors, fears, and coping mechanisms, as these factors are crucial in homeopathic remedy selection.
6. **Physical Examination:** While not as extensive as in conventional medicine, a basic physical examination may complement the case taking process to note physical symptoms directly observable.
7. **Modalities:** Factors such as time of day symptoms occur, aggravating or ameliorating factors (like weather, food, or specific activities), and associated symptoms that provide context to the main complaint.
8. **Constitutional Assessment:** Identifying the patient's overall constitution and general health patterns over time.
9. **Patient History:** This includes a detailed exploration of the patient's past medical history, focusing on significant illnesses, surgeries, injuries, and any chronic conditions they may have. Family medical history is also important to understand potential genetic predispositions and hereditary factors that may influence the patient's health.
10. **Presenting Symptoms:** This involves a thorough investigation into the symptoms the patient is currently experiencing. Homeopaths pay close attention to the specific details of each symptom, such as the location, sensation (e.g., burning, stabbing), modalities (factors that worsen or alleviate symptoms), and concomitant symptoms (additional symptoms occurring alongside the main complaint)⁴.
11. **Concomitant Symptoms:** These are additional symptoms that accompany the main complaint. Homeopaths consider concomitant symptoms as they provide a more complete picture of the patient's overall state and help differentiate between different remedies.



12. **Mental and Emotional State:** Homeopathy recognizes the interconnectedness of mental, emotional, and physical health. Therefore, understanding the patient's emotional state, temperament, stressors, fears, and overall mental well-being is crucial. Specific mental symptoms, such as anxiety, depression, irritability, or fears, are often key indicators in remedy selection.
13. **General Physical Examination:** While homeopathy primarily relies on the patient's subjective symptoms and experiences, a general physical examination may still be conducted to note any objective signs or findings that could aid in understanding the case.
14. **Lifestyle and Habits:** Factors such as diet, sleep patterns, exercise routines, work environment, and lifestyle habits (like smoking, alcohol consumption) are considered. These factors can influence overall health and the expression of symptoms, guiding the homeopath in selecting an appropriate remedy.
15. **Special Questions:** Depending on the nature of the case, specific questions may be asked to explore particular systems or conditions in more detail. This ensures a comprehensive understanding of all aspects of the patient's health.
16. **Patient's Goals and Expectations:** Understanding the patient's goals and expectations for treatment helps in aligning the homeopathic approach with the patient's needs. It also fosters a collaborative relationship between the homeopath and the patient throughout the healing process.
17. **Analysis and Repertorization:** After gathering all relevant information, the homeopath analyzes the symptoms and selects a suitable remedy. This process often involves using repertories (reference books listing symptoms and corresponding remedies) to find the most appropriate match.
18. **Follow-up:** Homeopathic treatment usually involves follow-up appointments to assess the patient's response to the remedy and make any necessary adjustments to the treatment plan⁵.

IMPORTANCE OF CASE TAKING IN HOMOEOPATHY

Case taking in homeopathy is crucial because it forms the foundation of the entire treatment process. Here are some key reasons why case taking is so important in homeopathy:

1. **Individualized Treatment:** Homeopathy treats each person as a unique individual with their own set of symptoms, experiences, and health history. Case taking allows the homeopath to



understand the totality of symptoms, including physical, emotional, and mental aspects, which helps in prescribing a remedy that matches the individual's specific condition.

2. **Holistic Approach:** Homeopathy believes in treating the whole person, not just the disease or specific symptoms. Through detailed case taking, the homeopath gathers information about the patient's lifestyle, stress factors, past medical history, family history, and more. This holistic approach helps in identifying the underlying causes of illness and promoting overall well-being.
3. **Identification of Key Symptoms:** Homeopathic remedies are prescribed based on the principle of "like cures like" (*similia similibus curentur*). Case taking helps in identifying the symptoms that are most characteristic and peculiar to the individual, as these guide the selection of the appropriate remedy⁶.
4. **Monitoring Progress:** The initial case taking provides a baseline against which the patient's progress can be measured during follow-up consultations. Changes in symptoms, both improvements and aggravations, are important indicators that help the homeopath assess the effectiveness of the remedy and make adjustments if necessary.
5. **Establishing Rapport:** Effective case taking involves active listening and building a rapport with the patient. This not only helps in gathering accurate information but also enhances the therapeutic relationship, which is important for the overall success of treatment.
6. **Avoiding Suppression:** Homeopathy aims to stimulate the body's own healing mechanisms. Understanding the complete symptom picture through thorough case taking helps in selecting remedies that encourage the body to resolve symptoms naturally, rather than just suppressing them.
7. **Patient Education:** During case taking, the homeopath educates the patient about the principles of homeopathy, the expected course of treatment, and lifestyle modifications that can support healing. This empowers patients to take an active role in their own health.
8. **Detailed History Gathering:** Case taking involves gathering a detailed history of the patient's present complaints, past medical history, surgical history, medication history, allergies, dietary habits, sleep patterns, temperament, fears, dreams, and any significant life events. This comprehensive approach helps the homeopath understand the factors contributing to the patient's current state of health⁷.
9. **Detecting Patterns and Trends:** Through careful questioning and analysis during case taking, the homeopath may identify patterns and trends in the patient's symptoms. For example, certain symptoms may worsen or improve under specific circumstances, or there may be a chronological



sequence to the onset of symptoms. These details can provide valuable clues for selecting the most appropriate remedy.

10. **Objective and Subjective Symptoms:** Homeopathy considers both objective (observable) and subjective (felt by the patient) symptoms. Case taking ensures that all symptoms, even those that are subjective or seemingly unrelated to the main complaint, are taken into account. This thorough approach helps in finding a remedy that matches the entire symptom picture of the patient⁸.
11. **Confirming the Simillimum:** The simillimum refers to the remedy that most closely matches the totality of the patient's symptoms. Effective case taking helps the homeopath narrow down the possible remedies and confirm the simillimum, which is essential for achieving optimal therapeutic results.
12. **Individual Response to Illness:** Case taking explores how the patient uniquely responds to their illness. This includes understanding their emotional reactions, coping mechanisms, and overall resilience. Such insights can guide the selection of a remedy that not only addresses physical symptoms but also supports emotional and mental well-being.
13. **Long-term Health Benefits:** Homeopathy aims to promote long-term health by addressing the underlying causes of illness and strengthening the body's inherent healing capacity. Through comprehensive case taking, the homeopath aims to not only alleviate immediate symptoms but also prevent recurrence and improve overall vitality.
14. **Patient-Centered Approach:** Case taking in homeopathy is patient-centered, respecting the individual's experience and perspective of their health. It fosters a collaborative relationship between the homeopath and patient, where the patient feels heard, understood, and actively involved in their own healing process.
15. **Continuous Evaluation:** Beyond the initial consultation, case taking continues throughout the course of treatment. Follow-up consultations allow the homeopath to evaluate the patient's progress, reassess symptoms, and adjust the treatment plan as necessary. This iterative process ensures that the treatment remains tailored to the patient's evolving needs⁹.

In the Organon of Medicine, which is a foundational text in homeopathy written by Samuel Hahnemann, there are several aphorisms related to case taking and the principles of practice. Here are some key aphorisms that relate directly to case taking:



1. **Aphorism 83:** Hahnemann emphasizes the importance of detailed case taking and observation: "In investigations concerning the diseased state, we must, by accurately questioning the patient and his friends, and by a precise observation of the disease itself in all its phases and circumstances, investigate and note down, in writing, everything that the patient and his friends communicate respecting the history of the morbid symptoms."
2. **Aphorism 84:** Hahnemann discusses the importance of understanding the totality of symptoms: "When the totality of the symptoms that especially mark and distinguish the case of disease is compared with the list of symptoms of known medicines, in the order of their rank as to their antecedent probability, the most similar homoeopathic remedy (the medicine whose list of symptoms possesses the greatest resemblance) will be discovered, whereby a favourable result may be confidently expected."
3. **Aphorism 85:** Hahnemann stresses the need for individualization in treatment: "The more striking, singular, uncommon and peculiar (characteristic) signs and symptoms of the case of disease are chiefly and most solely to be kept in view; for it is more particularly these that very similar ones in the list of symptoms of the selected medicine must correspond with, in order to constitute it the most suitable for effecting the cure."
4. **Aphorism 99:** Hahnemann discusses the importance of frequent repetition of the case taking process: "In chronic diseases, which arise from a psoric miasm, after the totality of the symptoms has been investigated, one remedy alone must be given at one time. After its action has ceased, another, as homoeopathically suitable, may be given, but not before¹⁰."
5. **Aphorism 102:** Hahnemann emphasizes the necessity of patience and thoroughness in case taking: "The true physician must be provided with genuine medicines, in order to be able to make a trial of several remedies successively, in cases where the first has done incomplete service."
6. **Aphorism 6:** Hahnemann emphasizes the importance of the "holistic" approach in understanding disease: "The physician's high and only mission is to restore the sick to health, to cure, as it is termed."
7. **Aphorism 7:** Hahnemann discusses the need for careful observation and individualization: "The highest ideal of cure is rapid, gentle and permanent restoration of health, or removal and annihilation of the disease in its whole extent, in the shortest, most reliable, and most harmless way, on easily comprehensible principles."



8. **Aphorism 70:** Hahnemann highlights the importance of understanding the patient's subjective experience: "In diseases of dynamic origin, that is to say, in the so-called functional diseases, especially those produced by a one-sided manner of living and by injurious agents, the totality of the symptoms and the morbid phenomena noticed by the patient himself suffice for a knowledge of the disease, and consequently also for indicating the curative remedy."
9. **Aphorism 153:** Hahnemann emphasizes the significance of the patient's mental and emotional state: "In diseases of purely psychical origin, with their numerous and varied phenomena, the utility of Homoeopathy is strikingly evident, as it can often afford relief, even where the employment of other methods of treatment must be quite fruitless."
10. **Aphorism 208:** Hahnemann discusses the importance of regular follow-up and re-evaluation: "In chronic diseases, on the other hand, after the totality of the symptoms of the morbid state has been ascertained, the most difficult point in Homoeopathy has been achieved; namely, the discovery of a remedy that, by experience of many years, has been found suitable for a permanent cure."
11. **Aphorism 9:** Hahnemann emphasizes the importance of understanding the cause of disease: "In investigating the totality of the symptoms of epidemic and sporadic diseases it is quite immaterial whether or not something similar has ever appeared in the world before under the same or any other name."
12. **Aphorism 18:** Hahnemann discusses the importance of individualization and the uniqueness of each case: "In this search for a homoeopathic specific remedy, that is to say, in this comparison of the collective symptoms of the natural disease with the list of symptoms of known medicines, in order to find among these an artificial morbific agent corresponding by similarity to the disease to be cured, the more striking, singular, uncommon and peculiar (characteristic) signs and symptoms of the case of disease are chiefly and most solely to be kept in view."

A part from these Aphorisms No. 83-104 in the organon of Medicine details about the case talking.

CASE TAKING ACCORDING TO VARIOUS STALWARTS

Case taking in homeopathy, as advocated by **Dr. James Tyler Kent**, is a comprehensive process aimed at understanding the patient's symptoms in great detail. Kent was a key figure in homeopathic medicine

during the late 19th and early 20th centuries, known for his emphasis on precise observation and thorough understanding of the patient's state of health.

Here are some key aspects of Kent's approach to case taking¹¹⁻¹⁵:

1. **Individualization:** Kent believed that each patient is unique and requires a remedy that matches their specific symptoms and overall constitution. Case taking involves gathering detailed information about the patient's physical symptoms, mental and emotional state, and general characteristics.
2. **Totality of Symptoms:** Kent emphasized the importance of considering all symptoms experienced by the patient, whether they are related to the main complaint or seemingly unrelated. This includes the exact sensations, modalities (factors that worsen or improve symptoms), and any accompanying mental or emotional symptoms.
3. **Hierarchy of Symptoms:** Kent categorized symptoms into primary and secondary groups, with primary symptoms being the most characteristic and guiding in remedy selection. He taught that symptoms should be ranked according to their importance and relevance to the patient's overall state.
4. **Peculiar and Uncommon Symptoms:** Kent placed particular importance on symptoms that are unusual or peculiar to the individual patient. These symptoms, often considered keynotes, can lead to the selection of a specific homeopathic remedy that closely matches the totality of the patient's symptoms.
5. **Mental and Emotional Aspects:** Kent recognized the impact of mental and emotional factors on physical health. He advocated for exploring the patient's emotional state, temperament, fears, dreams, and overall mental outlook as part of the case taking process.
6. **Dynamic and Evolving Process:** Case taking is not a one-time event but an ongoing dialogue between the homeopath and the patient. Kent encouraged careful observation of changes in symptoms over time and their response to homeopathic treatment.

Kent's approach to case taking remains influential in contemporary homeopathy, emphasizing the importance of individualized treatment based on a thorough understanding of the patient's unique symptomatology. It underscores the holistic principles of homeopathic medicine, where treatment is tailored to address the underlying cause of illness and restore the body's natural balance.

Dr. **Cyrus Maxwell Boger** was a prominent American homeopath who made significant contributions to the field of homeopathic medicine, particularly in the area of case taking. His approach emphasized a systematic and thorough method of gathering information to understand the patient's condition fully.

Here are some key aspects of Boger's approach to case taking:

1. **Systematic Approach:** Boger advocated for a systematic method of case taking that involved asking specific questions in a structured manner. This ensured that all relevant aspects of the patient's health and symptoms were covered comprehensively.
2. **Detailed Symptomatology:** Similar to Kent, Boger focused on gathering detailed information about the patient's symptoms. This included not only physical symptoms but also mental, emotional, and general characteristics.
3. **Modalities and Concomitants:** Boger paid close attention to modalities—factors that worsen or improve symptoms—as well as concomitant symptoms—symptoms that occur simultaneously with the main complaint. These details help in narrowing down the selection of the most appropriate homeopathic remedy.
4. **Hierarchy of Symptoms:** Boger classified symptoms into hierarchies based on their importance and relevance to the case. He taught that certain symptoms, especially those that are peculiar or unusual, could be key indicators for selecting the correct remedy.
5. **Miasmatic Analysis:** Boger integrated miasmatic theory into his case taking process. Miasms, according to homeopathic theory, represent underlying predispositions to disease. Understanding the dominant miasm in a patient's case can guide remedy selection and treatment strategies.
6. **Repertory and Repertorization:** Boger stressed the use of repertories—reference books listing homeopathic remedies and their associated symptoms—to aid in remedy selection. He developed his own repertory, the "Synoptic Key," which organizes symptoms hierarchically and facilitates efficient repertorization.
7. **Dynamic Observation:** Like Kent, Boger emphasized the dynamic nature of symptoms and the need for ongoing observation and reassessment during treatment. This allows for adjustments in the choice of remedy as the patient's condition evolves.

Boger's approach to case taking reflects a blend of traditional homeopathic principles with a structured, methodical approach to understanding and treating patients. His contributions continue to

influence how homeopaths approach case taking and remedy selection today, emphasizing the importance of thoroughness, precision, and individualization in homeopathic practice.

Dr. **Clemens Maria Franz von Boenninghausen** was a renowned homeopath from the 19th century who contributed significantly to the development of homeopathic principles and methodologies. His approach to case taking, known as the Boenninghausen Method, is characterized by its systematic and meticulous analysis of symptoms. Here are the key aspects of Boenninghausen's approach to case taking:

1. **Characteristic Symptoms:** Boenninghausen emphasized the importance of identifying and prioritizing characteristic symptoms—the symptoms that are most peculiar, striking, and individual to the patient. These symptoms serve as keynotes for selecting the appropriate homeopathic remedy.
2. **Complete Symptom Picture:** Similar to other homeopathic pioneers like Kent and Boger, Boenninghausen advocated for a thorough understanding of the patient's complete symptom picture. This includes physical symptoms, mental and emotional state, modalities (factors that worsen or improve symptoms), and concomitant symptoms (symptoms that occur simultaneously).
3. **General and Particular Symptoms:** Boenninghausen categorized symptoms into general symptoms (those common to many diseases) and particular symptoms (those specific to the individual case). He emphasized that particular symptoms hold greater significance in determining the similimum (the most similar remedy).
4. **Modalities and Circumstances:** Boenninghausen paid close attention to modalities—how symptoms are affected by time, circumstances, and external factors. Understanding these modalities helps in refining the selection of the appropriate remedy.
5. **Concomitant Symptoms:** He also considered concomitant symptoms—symptoms that occur alongside the main complaint—as important indicators for remedy selection.
6. **Repertorization:** Boenninghausen developed a repertory known as the "Therapeutic Pocket Book," which organizes symptoms hierarchically and aids in the process of repertorization. Repertorization involves matching the patient's symptoms with the corresponding symptoms listed in the repertory to find the most similar remedy.

7. **Totality of Symptoms:** Boenninghausen stressed the concept of the "totality of symptoms," where the homeopath considers all symptoms—physical, mental, and emotional—in their entirety to identify the most appropriate remedy.

Boenninghausen's method of case taking and repertorization is highly structured and analytical, aiming to uncover the precise symptom pattern that matches the characteristic symptoms of the patient. His contributions laid a foundation for systematic and individualized homeopathic practice, influencing generations of homeopaths in their approach to understanding and treating patients holistically.

Constantine Hering was known for his systematic and thorough method of gathering information from patients to understand their symptoms and overall health condition. Here are the key aspects typically associated with "case taking by Hering":

1. **Systematic Inquiry:** Hering advocated for a methodical approach to gathering information about the patient's symptoms. This involves asking detailed questions about the nature, location, sensation, and modalities (factors that worsen or improve) of the symptoms.
2. **Totality of Symptoms:** Hering emphasized the importance of understanding the totality of symptoms experienced by the patient. This includes physical symptoms as well as mental and emotional aspects, to form a complete picture of the patient's state of health.
3. **Hierarchy of Symptoms:** He believed in identifying the most characteristic and striking symptoms that define the patient's condition. These key symptoms help in selecting the most appropriate homeopathic remedy.
4. **Direction of Cure:** Hering observed that during the healing process with homeopathy, symptoms tend to improve in a certain order. This principle, known as the "direction of cure," involves symptoms moving from more vital organs to less vital ones, or from inner organs to outer ones.
5. **Individualization:** Like other homeopaths, Hering stressed the importance of treating each patient as an individual. This involves tailoring the treatment based on the unique symptoms and characteristics of the patient, rather than just treating the disease.
6. **Follow-up and Evaluation:** After prescribing a remedy, Hering believed in closely monitoring the patient's response and adjusting the treatment plan as necessary. This iterative process allows for refinement in prescribing and understanding of the patient's progress.

He encompasses a thorough and systematic approach to understanding the patient's symptoms, mental and emotional state, and overall health history. His methodological approach and principles have had a lasting impact on the practice and development of homeopathy as a healing art

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, homeopathic case taking represents a profound commitment to understanding the whole person. By integrating physical symptoms with mental and emotional states, homeopaths create personalized treatment plans that resonate with the individual's unique constitution. This holistic approach not only treats illness but also empowers patients to actively participate in their own healing process, promoting vitality and well-being at all levels of their being. Effective case taking in homeopathy goes beyond clinical interrogation; it establishes a therapeutic relationship built on trust and empathy. Patients are encouraged to openly share their concerns, fears, and life experiences, fostering a deeper understanding of their health journey. Rather than merely suppressing symptoms, homeopathy aims to address the root causes of illness. By stimulating the body's self-healing mechanisms, homeopathic remedies encourage long-term healing and resilience. This approach not only alleviates immediate discomfort but also promotes overall health and well-being.

Acknowledgement

We express our gratitude to our teaching faculty colleagues for their invaluable support and help.

Financial Support

Nil.

Conflicts of Interest

None.

REFERENCES

1. Kumar, A., Jain, S., Dangi, I., Chowdary, S., Choubitker, O., Pandey, K. K., & Pawar, R. S. (2019). Ideal drug prescription writing. *World J. Pharm. Pharm. Sci*, 8(3).
2. Dyasanoor, S., & Urooge, A. (2016). Insight into quality of prescription writing-an institutional study. *Journal of clinical and diagnostic research: JCDR*, 10(3), ZC61.



3. Calligaris, L., Panzera, A., Arnoldo, L., Londero, C., Quattrin, R., Troncon, M. G., & Brusaferrero, S. (2009). Errors and omissions in hospital prescriptions: a survey of prescription writing in a hospital. *BMC clinical pharmacology*, 9, 1-6.
4. Batta, A., & Singh, B. (2018). Rational approach to prescription writing: A preview. *Neurology India*, 66(4), 928-933.
5. Bizovi, K. E., Beckley, B. E., McDade, M. C., Adams, A. L., Lowe, R. A., Zechnich, A. D., & Hedges, J. R. (2002). The effect of computer-assisted prescription writing on emergency department prescription errors. *Academic emergency medicine*, 9(11), 1168-1175.
6. Ansari, M., & Neupane, D. (2009). Study on determination of errors in prescription writing: A semi-electronic perspective. *Kathmandu University Medical Journal*, 7(3), 238-241.
7. Varghese, N. J., Ramanarayanan, V., Janakiram, C., & Joseph, J. (2018). Assessment of quality of prescription writing among dental and medical students and practitioners in Kerala. *Journal of natural science, biology, and medicine*, 9(1), 27.
8. Aboff, B. M., Collier, V. U., Farber, N. J., & Ehrenthal, D. B. (2002). Residents' prescription writing for nonpatients. *Jama*, 288(3), 381-385.
9. Ingram, N. B., Hokanson, J. A., Guernsey, B. G., Doutre, W. H., Blair Jr, C. W., & Verrett, T. J. (1983). Physician noncompliance with prescription-writing requirements. *American Journal of Hospital Pharmacy*, 40(3), 414-417.
10. Akoria, O. A., & Isah, A. O. (2008). Prescription writing in public and private hospitals in Benin City, Nigeria: the effects of an educational intervention. *The Canadian journal of clinical pharmacology= Journal canadien de pharmacologie clinique*, 15(2), e295-305.
11. Amalia, D. T., & Sukohar, A. (2014). Rational drug prescription writing. *JuKe Unila*, 4(1), 22-30.
12. James, H., Tayem, Y. I., Al Khaja, K. A. J., Veeramuthu, S., & Sequeira, R. P. (2016). Prescription writing in small groups as a clinical pharmacology educational intervention: perceptions of preclerkship medical students. *The Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*, 56(8), 1028-1034.
13. Gunavante, S. M. (2000). *Introduction to homoeopathic prescribing*. B. Jain Publishers.
14. Varanasi, R., Srivastava, A., Rt, S. K., & Bala, R. (2023). Practice, prescription habits, experience and perception of Indian homeopathic practitioners in treatment of diabetes mellitus: An online observational study. *Journal of Ayurveda and Integrative Medicine*, 14(5), 100787.



15. Partha, M. P., & Mandal, B. (2001). *A Text Book of Homoeopathic Pharmacy*. B. Jain Publishers.