The Academic
International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research

An Online Peer Reviewed / Refereed Journal
Volume 2 | Issue 12 | December 2024
ISSN: 2583-973X (Online)
Website: www.theacademic.in

Study on Gender Investment Gap

Bhashit Trivedi – FPB2325/129
Fpb2325.129.bhashit@iba.ac.in
PGDM 2023-25
Indus Business Academy, Bangalore

ARTICLE DETAILS

Research Paper

Keywords:
Gender Investment Gap, Financial Behavior, Risk Aversion, Economic Empowerment, Policy Intervention, Financial Education, Data Collection Methodology, Financial Knowledge, Risk Tolerance

ABSTRACT

This paper dives into the reasons why women invest less than men. It explores how money limitations, risk worries, and societal expectations all play a role. The methodology employed for data collection involved the use of a Google Form survey, which was disseminated across various online platforms to gather a diverse range of responses. This approach allowed for the efficient capture of quantitative and qualitative data regarding individuals' investment behaviors, perceptions, and experiences. The ultimate goal is to close the gender investment gap. This paper will not only identify the problems but also propose solutions to empower women financially and create a more equal economic system. These solutions include education, and financial services designed specifically for women. The research aims to improve our understanding of the financial gap between genders and encourage a more inclusive financial system. It highlights the importance of financial education for women and suggests programs that can give them the confidence and skills to invest effectively. The paper also calls on policymakers and financial institutions to consider gender differences when designing products and services. The overall goal is to create an environment where women have equal chances to build wealth and participate fully in the economy, ultimately leading to a smaller investment gap and a fairer society.

INTRODUCTION

The research looks at why this gap exists throughout a woman's life, considering factors like income, family duties, financial knowledge, and gender stereotypes. It also examines how ingrained financial behaviors and attitudes learned throughout life contribute to the difference in how men and women invest. By understanding these issues, the study aims to develop targeted strategies to help women make better financial decisions. Even though women are making strides in careers and education, they often have less money to invest, feel more stressed about finances, and trust financial institutions less. This leads to them saving and investing less consistently, and avoiding stocks altogether.

The gender investment gap has been a persistent issue in the financial industry, with women being underrepresented in investment decision-making roles and having lower levels of investment and wealth accumulation compared to men. This research aims to explore the reasons behind the gender investment gap and the effects of gender diversity in investment decisions. By understanding these factors, we can develop strategies to close the gender investment gap and promote gender diversity in the financial industry.

It can be attributed to various factors, including gender income inequality and wealth disparity, lack of financial literacy and confidence, and societal gender norms and biases. Firstly, women generally earn less than men, which can result in lower levels of investment and wealth accumulation. Additionally, women are more likely to have career breaks and take on caregiving responsibilities, which can further limit their income and investment opportunities. Secondly, studies have shown that women tend to have lower levels of financial literacy and confidence compared to men, which can lead to risk aversion and a reluctance to invest. Finally, societal gender norms and biases can also play a role in limiting women's access to investment opportunities and decision-making roles.

In India also we can find gender parity when the payment of wages (gender pay gap) is taken into consideration. Our country ranks 108th out of the total 153 countries in terms of gender pay gap where women earn just 71% of what men earn. According to a recent report published by the International Labour Organization (ILO), as of 2023, the gender pay gap in India stands at 27%. In simple words, women earn 73% less than what man men earn for the same job. The gap is wider when sectors like technology and retail are considered. In the technology sector, women earn 60% compared to what men earn and in the retail sector, the data stands at a striking 67% (Aradra, 2023).

A study of OECD countries found that during the pandemic (between late 2019 and fall 2020), mothers of young children (under 12) were most liely to quit the jobs. This trend widened the gap between the amount of unpaid housework and childcare are done by mothers and fathers. Even when mothers worked, the workload at home often stayed unbalanced.

(Caregiving in crisis: Gender inequality in paid and unpaid work during COVID-19, 2021)

A study done by the EIB Institute shows that women tend to have lower financial literacy and confidence compared to men (Bucher-Koenen et al., 2016). A research study suggests a surprising reason behind the gender gap in financial literacy. While women may score lower on financial knowledge tests, it's not because they're less interested in the topic. Instead, the division of financial tasks within households might be a culprit. Men traditionally handle bigger decisions like investments, while women manage day-to-day finances like bills. This potentially limits women's exposure to complex financial concepts and hinders their confidence in tackling them (Admin, 2019).

LITERATURE REVIEW

- **Guðjónsson et al. (2022)** examine the gender disparities in finance, highlighting the persistent perception of the field as male-dominated, which undermines women's confidence, enthusiasm, and perceived knowledge in financial matters. This perception correlates with lower female participation in financial activities, such as stock market investment, where men dominate. However, the study finds that when financial literacy is taken into account, the gender gap in investment behaviors significantly narrows, indicating that limited access to financial education and opportunities, rather than lack of inherent interest, may drive the disparity. Additionally, systemic inequities within the financial industry exacerbate this gap, as women often face lower pay, less desirable client accounts, and employment at smaller organizations, resulting in their managing a smaller share of financial assets compared to men. These findings underscore the need for targeted financial education and systemic reforms to foster greater gender equity in both financial participation and industry representation.

- **Nguyen et al. (2020)** shed light on the gender biases inherent in financial decision-making, particularly within the context of investment landscapes. Their research highlights a pervasive funding gap that disproportionately impacts female-led ventures in the startup ecosystem. This disparity goes beyond questions of perceived business viability and is deeply rooted in "benevolent sexism"—a subtle yet harmful form of bias that manifests as seemingly supportive attitudes while perpetuating lower expectations for women. This undermines their entrepreneurial efforts by limiting access to funding opportunities and reinforcing systemic barriers. The findings underscore the critical need for addressing gender biases in investment practices to create equitable financial opportunities and foster diversity in entrepreneurship.

- **Goldsmith-Pinkham and Shue (2020)** explore gender disparities in the housing market and equity crowdfunding, revealing that single men tend to achieve higher returns on housing investments than single women. This disparity is largely attributed to differences in negotiation skills and initial pricing strategies, which may be subtly shaped by gendered expectations of market behavior. In the housing market, these biases can influence both the purchasing and selling processes, leading to women facing challenges in maximizing the returns on their investments. Similarly, equity crowdfunding platforms are not immune to such biases, with women often encountering barriers to raising funds or receiving less favorable treatment. These findings highlight the pervasive nature of gender biases across financial sectors and the need for more inclusive practices to ensure equal opportunities for both men and women in investment and crowdfunding environments.

- **Prokop and Wang (2021)** examine the challenges faced by female-led ventures, particularly in seasoned offerings, where they often encounter greater difficulties in securing investment. This can be attributed to investor biases or the differing network dynamics that influence the flow of capital. However, the research offers a positive insight, suggesting that setting higher initial funding goals can help female-led ventures overcome these barriers. By establishing ambitious targets, these ventures may counteract some of the underlying biases, potentially shifting investor perceptions and increasing their chances of success. These findings highlight the importance of proactive strategies in addressing gender-related challenges in venture funding and fostering more equitable opportunities for women in entrepreneurship.

- **Doss et al. (2014)** emphasize the crucial role of asset ownership in reducing economic inequality between genders, highlighting that disparities in wealth accumulation are particularly pronounced in countries such as Ecuador, Ghana, and India. The study underscores the significant wealth gaps between men and women, with women often owning fewer assets, which limits their economic mobility and financial security. The authors argue that regularly collecting data on asset ownership by gender is essential for informing policy interventions that promote equitable growth and financial inclusion for women. Such data collection can guide targeted efforts to address the systemic barriers women face in acquiring and controlling assets, ultimately fostering greater economic equality.

- **Teker (2023)** explores the complexities of the gender investment gap, focusing on the challenges women face and their distinct investment preferences. The study identifies a significant link between gender, risk perception, and investment behavior, with women typically investing a smaller portion of their income compared to men. Additionally, women tend to experience greater stress concerning potential losses, indicating lower risk tolerance in their investment decisions. These findings suggest that gender differences in risk tolerance and investment strategies play a key role in shaping investment outcomes and contribute to the persistent gender investment gap. Addressing these differences requires targeted interventions to improve financial literacy and confidence among women, fostering more equitable participation in investment markets.

- **Poddar (2023)** identifies several key factors contributing to the gender investment gap, including lower income, career breaks, and lower financial literacy. These challenges hinder women’s ability to invest and accumulate wealth. However, the study also highlights motivating factors that can drive women to invest, such as early financial education, open communication about money, and a desire for social impact. Women are often drawn to investment opportunities that align with their values and prefer a collaborative, values-based approach to financial advice, frequently seeking peer support from other female investors. To bridge the gender gap, Poddar advocates for solutions such as financial literacy education for girls, inclusive financial advisors, and a more diverse financial services industry. These efforts can empower women to overcome existing barriers and foster more inclusive financial participation.

- **Qiao (2012)** highlights the importance of a multi-pronged approach to addressing the gender gap in saving and investing. The study emphasizes that early financial literacy education for girls and frequent money conversations within families are crucial foundational steps in empowering women to make informed financial decisions. Furthermore, the financial services industry has a pivotal role in fostering inclusivity by offering accessible financial advice models, promoting a diverse workforce with female role models, and addressing unconscious bias in investment recommendations. Additionally, marketing strategies specifically targeting women and the long-term effectiveness of targeted financial literacy programs are essential in bridging the gender gap. By implementing these strategies, the industry can create a more equitable financial landscape and support women's participation in saving and investing.

- **Hasler et al. (2017)** explore the unique financial challenges women face, including longevity, income disparity, and career interruptions, which, combined with lower financial literacy, jeopardize their financial security, particularly in retirement. The study underscores the strong association between financial knowledge and making better financial decisions, noting that women's generally lower financial knowledge leaves them unprepared for long-term financial planning. This highlights the critical need for a global understanding of the gender gap in financial literacy and the importance of targeted financial education programs aimed at empowering women. By addressing these educational gaps, such programs can enhance women's financial well-being and help them navigate the complexities of saving for retirement and other financial goals.

OBJECTIVES

1. **Quantify the Impact of Money Attitudes:** Analyze and quantify the influence of gender-based money attitudes on risk tolerance, investment choices, and overall financial decision-making.

2. **Identify and Evaluate Gendered Behavioral Biases:** Examine how behavioral biases like overconfidence, loss aversion, and attribution bias specifically impact women's investment decisions and returns compared to men.

3. **Develop Solutions for Closing the Gender Investment Gap:** Recommend evidence-based solutions for investment education, financial products, and advisory services that address the unique challenges and perspectives of women as investors.

HYPOTHESIS

Test for checking the association between gender and discouragement in pursuing investing opportunities.

Gender * Discouraged Crosstabulation

			Discouraged				Total
			Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	
Gender	Male	Count	6	0	5	16	27
		Expected Count	8.8	2.9	3.6	11.7	27
	Female	Count	6	4	0	0	10
		Expected Count	3.2	1.1	1.4	4.3	10
Total		Count	12	4	5	16	37
		Expected Count	12	4	5	16	37

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	21.789[a]	3	<.001
Likelihood Ratio	26.546	3	<.001
Linear-by-Linear Association	12.523	1	<.001
N of Valid Cases	37		

a. 6 cells (75.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.08.

INTERPRETATION

The Pearson Chi-Square value of 21.789 with 3 degrees of freedom (df) indicates a significant association between gender and feeling discouraged in pursuing investment opportunities. The p-value (<.001) is less than the common significance level of 0.05, suggesting strong evidence against the null hypothesis.

Likelihood Ratio Test:

The Likelihood Ratio Chi-Square value is 26.546 with 3 df and a p-value of <.001, reinforcing the evidence of a significant association between gender and feeling discouraged.

Linear-by-Linear Association Test:

The Linear-by-Linear Chi-Square value is 12.523 with 1 df and a p-value of <.001.

This test assesses the linear association between two ordinal variables. The significant p-value indicates that there is a linear relationship between gender and the level of discouragement in pursuing investment opportunities.

Note on Expected Counts:

Low Expected Counts: The note indicates that 6 out of 8 cells (75%) have expected counts less than 5. While this can be a concern for the reliability of the Chi-square test, especially for interpretation, the large Chi-square value and extremely low p-value (<.001) suggest that the observed associations are likely not due to chance, even with low expected counts.

Conclusion:

Based on the Chi-square test results, there is strong evidence to reject the null hypothesis, indicating that there is a significant association between gender and feeling discouraged in pursuing investment opportunities. The observed differences in responses between males and females are unlikely to have occurred by chance alone, suggesting that gender plays a role in how individuals perceive and feel about pursuing investment opportunities.

HYPOTHESIS

Test for checking the association between gender and the level of interest if there were financial products or services available for women.

Gender * Interested in Investing Crosstabulation

			Interested in Investing			Total
			Maybe	Yes	No	
Gender	Male	Count	6	5	16	27
		Expected Count	4.4	10.9	11.7	27
	Female	Count	0	10	0	10
		Expected Count	1.6	4.1	4.3	10
Total		Count	6	15	16	37
		Expected Count	6	15	16	37

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	20.099[a]	2	<.001
Likelihood Ratio	24.086	2	<.001
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.867	1	0.172
N of Valid Cases	37		

a. 4 cells (66.7%) have an expected count of less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.62.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	0.737	<.001
	Cramer's V	0.737	<.001
N of Valid Cases		37	

INTERPRETATION

The Chi-square test results indicate a statistically significant association between gender and interest in investing if there were financial products or services specifically designed for women.

The Pearson Chi-Square value of 20.099 with 2 degrees of freedom (df) suggests a significant association between gender and interest in investing with gender-specific financial products or services. The p-value (<.001) is less than the common significance level of 0.05, providing strong evidence against the null hypothesis.

Likelihood Ratio Test:

The Likelihood Ratio Chi-Square value is 24.086 with 2 df and a p-value of <.001, reinforcing the evidence of a significant association between gender and interest in investing.

Linear-by-Linear Association Test:

The Linear-by-Linear Chi-Square value is 1.867 with 1 df and a p-value of .172.
This test examines the linear association between two ordinal variables. The non-significant p-value (.172) suggests that there may not be a linear relationship between gender and the level of interest in investing with gender-specific financial products or services.

Note on Expected Counts:

- **Low Expected Counts:** The note indicates that 4 out of 6 cells (66.7%) have expected counts less than 5. While this can be a concern for the reliability of the Chi-square test, especially for interpretation, the large Chi-square value and extremely low p-value (<.001) suggest that the observed associations are likely not due to chance, even with low expected counts.

- **Males:**
  - **Interest in Investing:** Among males, there is some level of interest but less than expected.
    - **Maybe:** 6 (Higher than expected)
    - **Yes:** 5 (Close to expected)
    - **No:** 16 (Close to expected)
- **Females:**
  - **Interest in Investing:** Females demonstrate a strong interest in investing if there were gender-specific financial products or services.
    - **Maybe:** 0 (Lower than expected)
    - **Yes:** 10 (Higher than expected)
    - **No:** 0 (Lower than expected)

Conclusion of the test:

Based on the Chi-square test results, there is strong evidence to reject the null hypothesis, indicating a significant association between gender and interest in investing if there were financial products or services specifically designed for women. The observed differences in responses between males and females are unlikely to have occurred by chance alone, suggesting that gender plays a role in how individuals perceive and express interest in gender-specific investment opportunities.

- **Males:** Show some level of interest but less than expected, particularly with a higher count in the "Maybe" category.
- **Females:** Display a significant interest in investing if there were financial products or services tailored for women, particularly with all responses falling into the "Yes" category, exceeding the expected count.

These findings highlight a notable difference between genders in their interest in investing with gender-specific financial products or services. Females express a stronger inclination towards such investment opportunities compared to males, emphasizing the potential importance of tailored financial products and services for addressing women's investment needs and preferences.

Inferential Statistics

Inferential statistics can be applied to further analyze the data collected and provide a deeper understanding of the associations found. Here, we'll use Chi-Square tests to determine if there are significant associations between gender and the level of discouragement in pursuing investing opportunities and between gender and interest in investing with gender-specific financial products.

Chi-Square Test for Gender and Discouragement in Pursuing Investing Opportunities

Crosstabulation Table:

Gender	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Total
Male	6	0	5	16	27
Female	6	4	0	0	10
Total	12	4	5	16	37

Chi-Square Tests:

- **Pearson Chi-Square:** 21.789, df = 3, p-value < 0.001
- **Likelihood Ratio Chi-Square:** 26.546, df = 3, p-value < 0.001
- **Linear-by-Linear Association:** 12.523, df = 1, p-value < 0.001

Interpretation: The results indicate a significant association between gender and feeling discouraged in pursuing investment opportunities, with a Pearson Chi-Square value of 21.789 and a p-value < 0.001. This suggests that gender plays a role in how individuals perceive discouragement in investment pursuits.

Chi-Square Test for Gender and Interest in Investing with Gender-Specific Financial Products

Crosstabulation Table:

Gender	Maybe	Yes	No	Total
Male	6	5	15	27
Female	0	10	0	10
Total	6	15	16	31

Chi-Square Tests:

- **Pearson Chi-Square:** 20.099, df = 2, p-value < 0.001
- **Likelihood Ratio Chi-Square:** 24.086, df = 2, p-value < 0.001
- **Linear-by-Linear Association:** 1.867, df = 1, p-value = 0.172

Symmetric Measures:

- **Phi:** 0.737, p-value < 0.001
- **Cramer's V:** 0.737, p-value < 0.001

Interpretation: The results indicate a significant association between gender and interest in investing with gender-specific financial products, with a Pearson Chi-Square value of 20.099 and a p-value < 0.001. This suggests that women are more likely to express interest in investing if there are financial products specifically designed for them compared to men.

METHODOLOGY

This research paper employed a qualitative and quantitative method to investigate people's perceptions of the gender investment gap. Data was collected using a Google Forms survey distributed across various online platforms to capture diverse investment behaviors and attitudes. The survey included questions on demographics, investment practices, financial literacy, and interest in gender-specific financial products. Data analysis was performed using SPSS, employing descriptive statistics and Chi-Square tests to explore associations between gender and investment-related attitudes and behaviors.

CONCLUSION

To close the gender investment gap, it is important to promote financial education and literacy programs for women, encourage long-term investment strategies, and promote the benefits of compounding interest. Financial education programs can help women develop the skills and confidence needed to make informed investment decisions. Encouraging long-term investment strategies, such as investing in retirement accounts or index funds, can help women overcome risk aversion and benefit from the power of compounding interest over time. Finally, promoting the benefits of compounding interest can help women understand the importance of starting to invest early and being patient to achieve long-term financial goals.

In conclusion, the gender investment gap is a complex issue that can be attributed to various factors, including gender income inequality, lack of financial literacy and confidence, and societal gender norms and biases. By promoting gender diversity in investment decision-making and providing financial education and literacy programs for women, we can work towards closing the gender investment gap and promoting a more equitable and diverse financial industry.

REFERENCES

- Teker, D., Teker, S., & Demirel, E. (2023). Gender differences in risk perception and investment behavior. *Pressacademia*. https://doi.org/10.17261/pressacademia.2023.1708

- Qiao, X. (2012). *Gender differences in saving and investing behaviours*. https://www.theseus.fi/bitstream/handle/10024/45099/Xuewei_Qiao.pdf?sequence=1

- Goldsmith-pinkham, P., & Shue, K. (2023). The gender gap in housing returns. *The Journal of Finance*, *78*(2), 1097–1145. https://doi.org/10.1111/jofi.13212

- Prokop, J., & Wang, D. (2021). Is there a gender gap in equity-based crowdfunding? *Small Business Economics*, *59*(3), 1219–1244. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11187-021-00574-6

- Doss, C. R., Deere, C. D., Oduro, A. D., & Swaminathan, H. (2014). The gender asset and wealth gaps. *Development*, *57*(3–4), 400–409. https://doi.org/10.1057/dev.2015.10

- Aradra. (2023, January 25). *In 2023 Gender-Pay gap in India stands at appalling 27%*. Women’s Web. https://www.womensweb.in/2023/01/in-2023-gender-pay-gap-in-india-stands-at-appalling-27/

- Caregiving in crisis: Gender inequality in paid and unpaid work during COVID-19. (2021). *OECD*, 25. Caregiving in Crisis: Gender inequality in paid and unpaid work during COVID-19 (oecd.org)

- Admin. (2019, February 25). *WHAT IS FINANCIAL LITERACY, AND HOW DOES IT RELATE TO GENDER AND CONFIDENCE?* Stanford Center on Longevity. https://longevity.stanford.edu/what-is-financial-literacy-gender/

- Guðjónsson, S., Minelgaitė, I., Kristinsson, K., & Pálsdóttir, S. (2022). Financial literacy and gender differences: women choose people while men choose things? *Administrative Sciences*, *12*(4), 179. https://doi.org/10.3390/admsci12040179

- *4 ways to address the gender finance gap and empower women*. (2022, July 14). World Economic Forum. https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2021/09/4-ways-address-gender-finance-gap-empower-women/

- Ortiz-Ospina, E., Hasell, J., & Roser, M. (2024, March 18). *Economic inequality by gender*. Our World in Data. https://ourworldindata.org/economic-inequality-by-gender

- Smile Foundation. (2024, January 23). *Closing the gender gap in financial literacy*. https://www.smilefoundationindia.org/blog/closing-the-gender-gap-in-financial-literacy/

- *The Gender Investing Gap is Real and the Statistics Are Here to Prove It*. (n.d.). https://www.femaleinvest.com/magazine/gender-investing-gap

- Shah, P. (2023). The role of gender factor in investment decisions. In *International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts* (Vol. 11, Issue 6, pp. 667–668) [Journal-article]. https://ijcrt.org/papers/IJCRT2306406.pdf

- Frey, V. (2021). *Caregiving in Crisis: Gender inequality in paid and unpaid work during COVID-19*. https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Caregiving-in-Crisis%3A-Gender-inequality-in-paid-and-Frey/32a778710899f05b00ad66bf68f62a39fa79d633