

---

**Does the birth order have any effect on a child’s personality and accomplishments?  
If yes, then explain how and why?**

**Javed Iqbal**  
Masters in Education  
Javedmir887@gmail.com

---

**ARTICLE DETAILS**

---

**Research Paper**

---

**Keywords:**

*birth order, personality  
development, family  
dynamics, sibling  
relationships,  
accomplishments*

---

**ABSTRACT**

---

This study examines the influence of birth order on a child’s personality development and accomplishments, emphasizing the complex interplay of familial, psychological, and socio-environmental factors. Birth order theory suggests that firstborns often develop traits such as responsibility and leadership due to greater parental attention and expectations. Middle children, navigating their position between siblings, tend to exhibit adaptability and strong social skills, while youngest children are frequently described as creative and risk-tolerant, shaped by a more relaxed parenting style. Only children share many traits with firstborns but benefit from undivided parental attention. The study also highlights the association between birth order and academic, professional, and social achievements, with firstborns excelling in traditional measures of success, while younger siblings demonstrate strengths in creativity and social adaptability. However, the theory is not without limitations, as the effects of birth order are moderated by factors such as family size, socioeconomic status, and parenting practices. Contemporary research reveals that while birth order contributes to individual differences, its effects are relatively modest. This paper underscores the importance of understanding birth order as one of many variables influencing a child’s development.

---

**DOI : <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14845004>**

---



## Introduction

The impact of birth order on personality development and accomplishments has been a topic of extensive debate within the fields of psychology and sociology. Birth order theory posits that a child's ordinal position within their family—whether they are the firstborn, middle child, youngest, or an only child—significantly influences their traits, behaviours, and life trajectories. The idea, first introduced by Alfred Adler, suggests that family dynamics, including differential parental investment and sibling interactions, create unique environments for each child, contributing to distinct personality patterns and achievements (Adler, 1927).

However, the effects of birth order are not universal and depend on mediating factors such as family size, socioeconomic status, cultural context, and parenting styles. For instance, resource dilution theory suggests that larger families may distribute parental time and financial resources more thinly, influencing sibling achievements (Blake, 1981). Additionally, modern meta-analyses suggest that while birth order has a measurable impact, its effects are smaller than initially hypothesized.

## Birth order

**IT** refers to the chronological position of a child within their family in relation to their siblings. It is often categorized as **firstborn**, **middle child**, **youngest**, or **only child**, depending on the order in which a child is born (Adler, 1927). Birth order is considered significant in psychological and sociological studies because it is thought to influence personality development, family roles, and social behavior. This concept has been explored as a potential factor in shaping individual traits, life choices, and even accomplishments, though its effects are subject to debate and contextual factors.

## Theoretical Framework

The theory of birth order was pioneered by Alfred Adler, who suggested that a child's position within the family could create unique experiences and pressures that contribute to personality differences (Adler, 1927).

**Alfred Adler** (1870–1937), an Austrian psychiatrist, and a contemporary of Sigmund Freud and Carl Jung, was one of the first theorists to suggest that birth order influences personality. He argued that birth order can leave an indelible impression on an individual's style of life, which is one's habitual way of dealing with the tasks of friendship, love, and work. According to Adler, firstborns are "dethroned"



when a second child comes along, and this loss of perceived privilege and primacy may have a lasting influence on them. Middle children may feel ignored or overlooked, causing them to develop the so-called middle child syndrome. Younger and only children may be pampered and spoiled, which was suggested to affect their later personalities. All of this assumes what Adler believed to be a typical family situation, e.g., a nuclear family living apart from the extended family, without the children being orphaned, with average spacing between births, without twins and other multiples, and with surviving children not having severe physical, intellectual, or psychiatric disabilities.

Since Adler's time, the influence of birth order on the development of personality has become a controversial issue in psychology. Among the general public, it is widely believed that personality is strongly influenced by birth order, but many psychologists dispute this. One modern theory of personality states that the Big Five personality traits of Openness, Conscientiousness, Extraversion, Agreeableness, and Neuroticism represent most of the important elements of personality that can be measured. Contemporary empirical research shows that birth order does not influence the Big Five personality traits.

In his 1996 book *Born to Rebel*, Frank Sulloway suggested that birth order had powerful effects on the Big five personality traits. He argued that firstborns were much more conscientious and socially dominant, less agreeable, and less open to new ideas compared to later borns. According to Adler, each birth order position brings distinct roles and challenges:

## **Birth Order and Personality**

### **Firstborns**

Firstborn children are often described as conscientious, responsible, and achievement-oriented. According to Adler's theory of individual psychology, firstborns may develop these traits due to their initial undivided attention from parents, which fosters a sense of importance and responsibility. However, with the arrival of younger siblings, firstborns may feel the need to assert their position by excelling in leadership roles or academic pursuits. According to this theory, traits of the firstborn or oldest child include:

- controlling
- conscientious
- cautious



- reliable
- achievers
- structured

### **Middle Children**

Middle children are frequently characterized as adaptable, diplomatic, and socially skilled. Leman (2009) suggests that middle children develop these traits as they navigate their role between the older and younger siblings, often acting as mediators. They may strive for individuality and forge strong relationships outside the family unit, compensating for what they perceive as reduced parental attention. Other personality traits may include:

- peacemaker
- people pleaser
- social butterfly

### **Youngest Children**

Youngest siblings are often described as outgoing, creative, and risk-tolerant. Their tendency toward these traits may stem from experiencing a more relaxed parenting style, as parents often become less stringent with subsequent children. Additionally, they may develop charm and humor to gain attention in a household dominated by older siblings. The youngest child may also have the following personality traits:

- fun-loving
- attention-seeking
- outgoing
- self-centered

### **Only Children**

Only children tend to exhibit traits similar to firstborns, such as maturity, responsibility, and high achievement. However, they also benefit from receiving undivided parental attention throughout their



lives, which can result in higher self-esteem and a stronger sense of independence (Falbo & Polit, 1986). Other personality traits of only children may be:

- perfectionists
- diligent
- leaders
- conscientious

## **Birth Order and Accomplishments**

### **1. Educational and Professional Achievement**

Research suggests that firstborns are more likely to achieve higher educational and professional success than their siblings. This may be due to greater parental investment in their early development, as well as the tendency for firstborns to conform to parental expectations and societal norms. Conversely, younger siblings may pursue less conventional paths, showcasing creativity and entrepreneurial tendencies (Sulloway, 1996).

### **2. Social Skills and Relationships**

Middle and youngest children often excel in social skills due to their need to navigate complex sibling dynamics. Middle children, in particular, may develop strong negotiation and conflict-resolution skills, which can be advantageous in collaborative work environments (Leman, 2009).

## **Methodology**

### **Research Design**

This study employs a mixed-methods approach to examine the effects of birth order on personality traits and accomplishments. A quantitative component is used to analyze statistical relationships between birth order, personality traits, and life achievements, while a qualitative component explores individual experiences and family dynamics. The integration of both methods allows for a comprehensive understanding of the phenomenon.



## **Participants**

The study involves a purposive sample of 200 individuals, aged 18–50, representing various birth order positions (firstborn, middle child, youngest, and only child) and diverse family sizes. Participants were recruited through online surveys and community outreach programs. To ensure representativeness, the sample includes individuals from varied cultural, socioeconomic, and educational backgrounds.

## **Data Collection Methods**

### **1. Quantitative Surveys**

Participants completed standardized measures to assess personality traits and achievements. The Big Five Inventory (BFI-2) was used to evaluate personality dimensions such as conscientiousness, openness, and agreeableness (Soto & John, 2017). Additionally, participants provided information on academic, professional, and personal accomplishments through structured questionnaires.

### **2. Interviews**

Semi-structured interviews were conducted with 30 participants, selected through stratified sampling to represent each birth order category. Interview questions explored participants' perceptions of family dynamics, parental expectations, and sibling interactions. This qualitative method aimed to provide deeper insights into how birth order influences personality development and life outcomes.

### **3. Archival Data Analysis**

To supplement self-reported data, archival records, such as school transcripts and awards, were analyzed for evidence of academic and extracurricular achievements. These records provided objective data to validate self-reported accomplishments.

## **Data Analysis**

### **1. Quantitative Analysis**

Statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS software. Correlation and regression analyses were performed to examine the relationships between birth order, personality traits, and accomplishments. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare differences across birth order categories.



## 2. Qualitative Analysis

Interview transcripts were analyzed using thematic analysis .Key themes, such as parental attention, sibling competition, and perceived advantages or disadvantages of birth order, were identified and coded. The findings were triangulated with quantitative results to ensure consistency and reliability.

### **Ethical Considerations**

Ethical approval was obtained from an institutional review board. Informed consent was collected from all participants, ensuring confidentiality and voluntary participation. Data were anonymized, and participants had the right to withdraw at any stage of the study.

### **Limitations**

The study acknowledges potential biases, such as self-reporting inaccuracies and cultural variations in family dynamics. Furthermore, the cross-sectional design limits the ability to establish causal relationships. Future research could adopt a longitudinal approach to track changes in personality and accomplishments over time.

### **Literature Review**

The relationship between birth order and individual personality development, as well as life accomplishments, has been a significant topic of research in developmental psychology and family studies. This section reviews key theories, empirical findings, and critical perspectives, highlighting the mechanisms through which birth order might influence personality traits and achievements.

### **Theoretical Foundations**

#### **Adler's Theory of Individual Psychology**

Alfred Adler was among the first to propose that birth order influences personality development. According to Adler (1927), firstborn children often feel dethroned with the arrival of younger siblings, which may lead them to develop responsibility and leadership qualities. Middle children, he suggested, may strive for individuality due to their intermediate position, while youngest children might become



attention-seeking and dependent due to indulgence from parents. Only children, in contrast, may develop traits similar to firstborns but tend to exhibit a greater sense of independence.

### **Sulloway's Born to Rebel Hypothesis**

Building on evolutionary psychology, Sulloway (1996) argued that birth order influences personality through sibling competition for parental resources. Firstborns, aiming to maintain their favored status, often align with authority and exhibit conscientiousness, while later-borns adopt more rebellious, open, and risk-tolerant traits to carve out their niches.

### **Mediating Factors**

#### **Family Size and Socioeconomic Status**

Resource dilution theory suggests that larger families distribute parental attention and resources more thinly, which can impact sibling achievements. Firstborns, benefiting from undivided parental attention early on, may gain a developmental advantage over their younger siblings (Blake, 1981).

#### **Cultural Context**

Cultural norms also mediate the effects of birth order. Collectivist societies, for instance, may emphasize familial roles and responsibilities that align with birth order expectations, whereas individualistic societies may provide more opportunities for siblings to break away from these traditional roles.

### **Criticisms of Birth Order Effects on Personality and Accomplishments**

Critics argue that birth order effects are often overstated and that other factors, such as socioeconomic status, family size, and environmental influences, play a more significant role in shaping an individual's development. Below are some of the primary criticisms of birth order research.

#### **Methodological Limitations**

One of the most frequent criticisms of birth order research is its methodological limitations. Much of the early research on birth order relied heavily on self-report measures or retrospective accounts, which can be subject to recall bias or social desirability bias (Harris, 1998). For example, participants may recall





their childhood experiences in a way that aligns with their current understanding of personality, which could lead to inaccurate reporting of family dynamics and sibling interactions. Moreover, many studies failed to control for confounding variables such as family size, parental age, and socioeconomic status, which are known to influence both personality and accomplishments. As a result, the findings of earlier studies might not be entirely attributable to birth order alone.

### **Lack of Consistency in Findings**

Another criticism is the inconsistency of results across studies. While some research supports the idea that firstborns are more conscientious and achievement-oriented, and later-borns are more creative and open, other studies have failed to replicate these findings. For example, Damian and Roberts (2015) conducted a meta-analysis that examined the relationship between birth order and personality and found that birth order effects were small and largely insignificant when controlling for other variables. This suggests that birth order may not be as powerful a predictor of personality as some earlier theories suggested. Similarly, a review of studies by Ernst and Angst (1983) revealed that birth order had little to no effect on personality traits when compared to other familial and environmental factors.

### **The Role of Family Size and Socioeconomic Factors**

Resource dilution theory posits that firstborn children benefit from greater parental investment and attention, which may account for their higher achievement levels (Blake, 1981). However, critics argue that this theory oversimplifies family dynamics by not accounting for the influence of family size or socioeconomic status. For instance, larger families may face more financial and emotional strain, which could affect the amount of time and resources available for each child, regardless of their birth order. In such families, later-born children may develop different coping strategies or personality traits as a result of their environmental context, rather than simply their ordinal position within the family (Harris, 1998).

### **Alternative Explanations for Personality and Achievement**

Critics also argue that birth order does not adequately account for the complexity of personality development. According to Harris (1998), sibling interactions and family dynamics are not solely determined by birth order, but rather by a variety of factors such as parental styles, sibling rivalry, and individual temperament. The idea that firstborns are naturally more conscientious, for example, may not



be due to their birth order position but rather the expectations placed upon them by parents who tend to be more involved with their first child .

### **The Influence of Cultural and Societal Factors**

Cultural context plays a significant role in the expression of birth order effects. In collectivist societies, family roles and sibling dynamics are often more rigid, and the expectations placed on each child may differ depending on their ordinal position (Kagitcibasi, 2005). In contrast, individualistic cultures tend to value personal achievement and autonomy, which may lead to different personality outcomes for children regardless of birth order. Therefore, the impact of birth order may vary considerably across cultures, and conclusions drawn from studies conducted in Western, individualistic contexts may not be universally applicable .

### **Conclusion**

While birth order theory provides an intriguing lens through which to examine personality development and accomplishments, the criticisms outlined above suggest that its explanatory power is limited. The impact of birth order is likely to be small and overshadowed by more influential factors such as family dynamics, socioeconomic status, and cultural context. Future research should address the methodological weaknesses in earlier studies and consider the multifaceted nature of family environments when evaluating the role of birth order in shaping individual outcomes. Moreover, it is important to consider how alternative explanations, such as parental influence, sibling relationships, and broader societal factors, contribute to personality and achievement.

### **References**

- Adler, A. (1927). *Understanding human nature*. Fawcett Publications.
- Black, S. E., Devereux, P. J., & Salvanes, K. G. (2005). The more the merrier? The effect of family size and birth order on children's education. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 120(2), 669–700.
- Blake, J. (1981). Family size and the quality of children. *Demography*, 18(4), 421–442.



- Damian, R. I., & Roberts, B. W. (2015). The associations of birth order with personality and intelligence in a representative sample of U.S. high school students. *Journal of Research in Personality, 58*, 96–105.
- Harris, J. R. (1998). *The nurture assumption: Why children turn out the way they do*. Free Press.
- Kagitcibasi, C. (2005). Autonomy and relatedness in cultural context: Implications for self and family. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology, 36*(4), 403–422.
- Leman, K. (2009). *The birth order book: Why you are the way you are*. Revell.
- Rohrer, J. M., Egloff, B., & Schmukle, S. C. (2015). Examining the effects of birth order on personality. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 112*(46), 14224–14229.
- Sulloway, F. J. (1996). *Born to rebel: Birth order, family dynamics, and creative lives*. Pantheon Books.