An Online Peer Reviewed / Refereed Journal Volume 3 | Issue 4 | April 2025 ISSN: 2583-973X (Online)

Website: www.theacademic.in

Consumer Awareness on Online Engagement Through Social Media in Udumalpet Taluk

Dr. N. Maliqjan

Associate Professor of Commerce, Government Arts College, Udumalpet.

D. Madhubharathi

Doctoral Researcher, Department of Commerce, Government Arts College, Udumalpet.

ARTICLE DETAILS

Research Paper

Accepted: 19-04-2025

Published: 10-05-2025

Keywords:

Online Engagement,
Consumer Interaction,

Social Media.

ABSTRACT

Social media has become a crucial channel for consumer involvement in the digital age, influencing brand interactions and buying habits. The level of consumer awareness about social media-based online engagement and the variables affecting interaction patterns are investigated in this study. Using a suitable sample technique, two hundred respondents from the Udumalpet Taluk were chosen to share their thoughts. The study revealed that majority of the respondent are with medium consumer level of awareness on online engagement through social media. There exist a significant association between occupation and monthly income. The exits a difference between area of residence, gender, occupation and monthly income of the consumers has an influence on the online engagement through social media.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15412723

1. INTRODUCTION

Consumer involvement has changed dramatically in today's digital environment, with social media being a major influence on brand interactions and purchase decisions. Social media has evolved from a simple platform for communication to a potent instrument for companies looking to engage with customers, cultivate relationships, and foster brand loyalty. Understanding the subtleties of online engagement is crucial for both companies and customers as Udumalpet businesses embrace digital transformation.



2. SOCIAL MEDIA-AN OVER VIEW

Though consumer interaction patterns differ depending on factors including demographics, platform preferences, and digital literacy, businesses now use social media for marketing, customer service, and brand-building. While some customers passively consume material, others actively interact with brands through direct messages, reviews, and comments. Additionally, the degree of platform awareness affects how well companies can connect with their target market.

2.1. Social Media for Online Consumer Engagement

This study explores consumer awareness of social media platforms and their engagement preferences, providing insights into how businesses can tailor their digital marketing strategies for maximum impact. By increasing awareness and encouraging meaningful engagement, businesses in Udumalpet can foster stronger relationships with consumers, improve customer awareness, and drive long-term growth in an increasingly competitive digital marketplace.

3. REVIEW OF LITERATURE:

- ❖ In their study titled "Social Media and E-Commerce: A Theoretical Study of Factors Affecting Consumer Behaviour of Social Media Buyers," Dr. Kavitha Chauhan and Fairz Rehman Abbart (2021) disclose that a great deal of research has been done on the factors that influence the purchasing decisions of consumers who use social media and e-commerce. Social media marketing concepts offer an additional layer of linkage with theoretical frameworks, according to studies. One indicator of the high mobile penetration rate is the large number of physical retailers that are entering the e-commerce market.
- ❖ Marie and Mosconi (2018) "Social Media Engagement: Content Strategy and Metrics Research Opportunities" identified that research has highlighted the importance of Social media engagement the content strategy in e-commerce. There is a lack of consensus on how to measure social media engagement with various metrics being used in different studies.
 - In their work "Online Customer Engagement: A Systematic Literature Review," Archna Chaurasia and Dr. Swarna Parmar (2023) asserted that online customer interaction is essential to corporate success in the digital era. It describes how consumers engage and communicate with businesses on the internet.



4. STATEMENT OF PROBLEM:

In today's digital era, online consumer engagement has become a crucial aspect of business growth. However, there is a significant knowledge gap among customers in Udumalpet regarding the effective utilization of online platforms, particularly social media, for consumer engagement. This lack of awareness hinders customers from fully leveraging the benefits of online engagement, such as convenient complaint resolution, access to product information, and personalized experiences. Furthermore, businesses in Udumalpet may not be optimizing their social media presence to engage with customers effectively, leading to missed opportunities for growth and customer loyalty. This study aims to investigate the current level of customer awareness about online consumer engagement and the role of social media in Udumalpet, identifying the challenges and opportunities for improvement.

5. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

- 1. To assess customers' level of awareness about various social media networks.
- 2. To identify the elements affecting social media consumer interaction.

6. METHODOLOGY

Both primary and secondary sources of data were gathered. Interview schedules were used to gather the primary data needed for the investigation. Secondary sources include the company's annual reports and articles published in periodicals, publications, and websites. Using a suitable selection technique, two hundred members of the general public from Udumalpet Taluk were chosen to gauge their awareness of the services provided by their mobile service provider. In accordance with the specifications, the data is displayed in tabular form. The Chi square test, one-way ANNOVA, and independent sample test were used to examine the data.

7. LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

The study uses customer self-reported data, which could be skewed and inaccurate due to individual biases. Only the respondent from Udumalpet Taluk has had the opportunity to convey their degree of awareness.



8. FINDINGS OF THE STUDY

To determine the respondents' level of awareness, the Awareness Index is calculated. Social media gives the public access to a number of online buying options. A five-point rating system is used for the response. One to five is the range of answers to the question. 179 is the highest possible score. The standard deviation is 13, while the mean awareness is 71. A score of 58 or lower is considered low, a score between 59 and 83 is considered medium, and a score of 84 or more is considered high.

Table: 1 Level of Awareness on online shopping through social media

| Level of Awareness | Level | No of respondents | Percentage |
|--------------------|-------|-------------------|------------|
| Low | 58 | 31 | 16 |
| Medium | 59-83 | 129 | 65 |
| High | 84 | 40 | 20 |
| Total | | 200 | 100 |

Source: Primary Data

Table 1 shows that 31 (16 %) respondents have low level of awareness, 129 (65 %) respondents have medium level of awareness and 40(20 %) respondents have high level of awareness on the online shopping through social media.

8.1 CHI SQUARE TEST

Numerous demographic factors, such as domicile, gender, age, marital status, family size, type, number of earning members, education, occupation, and income levels, were subjected to the chi-square test. To determine the main contributing elements, statistical significance was assessed.

Ho: There is no significant association between the select personal variables and level of awareness.

Table: 2 Select Personal Variables and Level of Awareness (chi square test)

| Var | riables | Level of Awareness | | | N= 12 | X ² | 'P' Value |
|---------|---------|--------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------------|--------------|
| | | Low | Med | High | | | |
| | | n=31 | n=129 | n=40 | | | |
| Area of | Rural | 17(13.6) | 85(68.0) | 23(18.4) | 125 | 1.954 | 0.744 |



| Residence | Semi-Urban | 9(18.0) | 30(60.0) | 11(22.0) | 50 | | |
|-------------------------|----------------|----------|----------|----------|-----|-------|----------------|
| | Urban | 5(20.0) | 14(56.0) | 6(24.0) | 25 | | |
| Gender | Male | 13(18.8) | 48(69.6) | 8(11.6) | 69 | 4.899 | 0.086 |
| Gender | Female | 18(13.7) | 81(61.8) | 32(24.4) | 131 | 4.033 | 0.080 |
| | Below 18 | 1(7.7) | 9(69.2) | 3(23.1) | 13 | | |
| Age of the | 18-25 | 23(16.9) | 83(61.0) | 30(22.1) | 136 | 12.57 | |
| responded | 26-35 | 4(9.1) | 33(75.0) | 7(15.9) | 44 | 5 | 0.127 |
| (in years) | 36-45 | 3(60.0) | 2(40.0) | 0(0.0) | 5 | 3 | |
| | Above 46 | 0(0.0) | 2(100) | 0(0.0) | 2 | | |
| Marital | Married | 15(21.1) | 43(60.6) | 13(18.3) | 71 | 2.670 | 0.263 |
| Status | Unmarried | 16(12.4) | 86(66.7) | 27(20.9) | 129 | 2.070 | 0.203 |
| Type of | Joint | 10(11.1) | 65(72.2) | 15(16.7) | 90 | 4.456 | 0.108 |
| family | Nuclear | 21(19.1) | 64(58.2) | 25(22.7) | 110 | 4.430 | 0.108 |
| | 1 | 0(0.0) | 0(0.0) | 1(100) | 1 | | |
| Members in | 2 | 4(33.3) | 7(58.3) | 1(8.3) | 12 | | |
| the family | 3 | 4(12.5) | 23(71.9) | 5(15.6) | 32 | 9.891 | 0.273 |
| the family | 4 | 17(17.5) | 59(60.8) | 21(21.6) | 97 | | |
| | More than 4 | 6(10.3) | 40(69.0) | 12(20.7) | 58 | | |
| | 1 | 5(10.2) | 28(57.1) | 16(32.7) | 49 | | |
| Earning | 2 | 18(18.8) | 61(63.5) | 17(17.7) | 96 | 11.51 | |
| members in | 3 | 5(13.9) | 28(77.8) | 3(8.3) | 36 | 11.51 | 0.174 |
| the family | 4 | 2(14.3) | 10(71.4) | 2(14.3) | 14 | . 1 | |
| | More than 4 | 1(20.0) | 2(40.0) | 2(40.0) | 5 | | |
| | Schooling | 2(20.0) | 6(60.0) | 2(20.0) | 10 | | |
| Education | Graduate | 18(14.3) | 81(64.3) | 27(21.4) | 126 | | |
| Education Qualification | Post Graduate | 9(24.3) | 21(56.8) | 7(18.9) | 37 | 6.973 | 0.540 |
| | Professional | 2(10.0) | 14(70.0) | 4(20.0) | 20 | | |
| | Diploma | 0(0.0) | 7(100) | 0(0.0) | 0 | | |
| Occupation | Student | 6(10.0) | 33(55.0) | 21(35.0) | 60 | 27.42 | 0.002* |
| | Self-employed | 8(19.5) | 28(68.3) | 5(12.2) | 41 | 27.42 | 0.002** * |
| | Private sector | 2(6.7) | 22(73.3) | 6(20.0) | 30 | 9 | • |
| l | 1 | 1 | İ | 1 | Ĭ | 1 | L |



| | employee | | | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------------|---------|----------|----------|----|-------|--------|
| | Government employee | 8(25.0) | 20(62.5) | 4(12.5) | 32 | | |
| | Homemaker | 2(7.4) | 21(77.8) | 4(14.8) | 27 | | |
| | Unemployed | 5(50.0) | 5(50.0) | 0(0.0) | 10 | | |
| | Up to Rs.10000 | 8(14.3) | 34(60.7) | 14(25.0) | 56 | | |
| Monthly | Rs.10001- Rs.20000 | 6(10.7) | 37(66.1) | 13(23.2) | 56 | | |
| Income (Respondent) | Rs.20001- Rs.30000 | 5(9.8) | 37(72.5) | 9(17.6) | 51 | 27.84 | 0.001* |
| Rs.: | Rs.30001- Rs.45000 | 5(18.5) | 19(70.4) | 3(11.1) | 27 | | |
| | Above Rs.45001 | 7(70.0) | 2(20.0) | 1(10.0) | 10 | | |

Source: Primary Data **Significant

Inference:

Among the chosen personal variables, it is concluded that there is a significant difference between occupation, monthly income, and awareness of social media engagement (p < 0.05). However, there is no significant difference between the following select variables: respondents' age, gender, area of residence, marital status, type of family, members of the family, earning members of the family, and awareness of social media engagement (p > 0.05).

8.2 ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (ANNOVA TEST)

Using ANOVA testing, this study investigates the relationship between awareness levels and demographic characteristics. The objective is to ascertain the statistical significance of factors that influence awareness, including residence, gender, age, marital status, family structure, education, occupation, and income.

Ho: There is no significant different between the select personal variables and level of awareness. Table:3 Select Personal Variables and Level of Awareness (ANNOVAs test)



| Variables | | N | Mean | F | Sig |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|-----|--------|-------|---------|
| Area of | Rural | 125 | 2.0480 | | 0.043** |
| | Semi-Urban | 50 | 2.0400 | 4.162 | |
| Residence | Urban | 25 | 2.0400 | 4.102 | |
| | Total | 200 | 2.0450 | | |
| | Male | 69 | 1.9275 | | 0.043** |
| Gender | Female | 131 | 2.1069 | 4.162 | |
| | Total | 200 | 2.0450 | | |
| A | Below 18 years | 13 | 2.1538 | | |
| Age of the | 18-25 | 136 | 2.0515 | 1.618 | 0.171 |
| respondent (in Years) | 26-35 | 44 | 2.0682 | 1.018 | 0.1/1 |
| i rears) | 36-45 | 5 | 1.4000 | | |
| | Above 46 | 2 | 2.0000 | | |
| | Total | 200 | 2.0450 | | |
| | Married | 71 | 1.9718 | | 0.198 |
| Marital Status | Unmarried | 129 | 2.0853 | 1.667 | |
| | Total | 200 | 2.0450 | | |
| | Joint | 90 | 2.0556 | | 0.821 |
| Type of family | Nuclear | 110 | 2.0364 | 0.051 | |
| | Total | 200 | 2.0450 | | |
| | 1 | 1 | 3.0000 | | |
| | 2 | 12 | 1.7500 | | |
| Members in the | 3 | 32 | 2.0313 | 1.540 | 0.192 |
| family | 4 | 97 | 2.0412 | 1.540 | 0.192 |
| | More than 4 | 58 | 2.1034 | | |
| | Total | 200 | 2.0450 | | |
| Earning members in the family | 1 | 49 | 2.2245 | | |
| | 2 | 96 | 1.9896 | 1.705 | 0.150 |
| | 3 | 36 | 1.9444 | 1./03 | 0.150 |
| Tullilly | 4 | 14 | 2.0000 | | |



| | More than 4 | 5 | 2.2000 | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------|-----------|--------|-------|----------|
| | Total | 200 | 2.0450 | | |
| Education | Schooling | 10 | 2.0000 | 0.380 | 0.823 |
| | Graduate | 126 | 2.0714 | | |
| | Post Graduate | 37 | 1.9459 | | |
| Qualification | Professional | 20 | 2.1000 | | |
| | Diploma | 7 | 2.0000 | | |
| | Total | 200 | 2.0450 | | |
| | Student | 60 | 2.2500 | | |
| | Self- | 41 | 1.0260 | | |
| | employed | 41 | 1.9268 | | 0.001** |
| | Private sector | 20 | 2 1222 | 4.438 | |
| | employee | 30 | 2.1333 | | |
| Occupation | Government | 22 | 1.0750 | | |
| | employee | 32 1.8750 | 1.8750 | | |
| | Homemaker | 27 | 2.0741 | | |
| | Unemployed | 10 | 1.5000 | | |
| | Total | 200 | 2.0450 | | |
| | Upto | 5.6 | 2.1071 | | |
| | Rs.10000 | 56 | 2.10/1 | | |
| | Rs.10001- | 5.0 | 2 1250 | | |
| | Rs.20000 | 56 2.1250 | 2.1230 | | |
| M = 41.1= Tu = 4.1= | Rs.20001- | 5.1 | 2.0794 | | |
| Monthly Income (Respondent)Rs.: | Rs.30000 | 51 2.07 | 2.0784 | 3.856 | 0.05** |
| | Rs.30001- | 27 1.9259 | | | |
| | Rs.45000 | 27 | 1.9239 | | |
| | Above | 10 | 1 4000 | | |
| | Rs.45001 | 10 | 1.4000 | | |
| | Total | 200 | 2.0450 | | |
| Source: Primary I |) | <u> </u> | | **U;- | nificant |

Source: Primary Data

**Significant



Inference:

Area of residence, gender, occupation, monthly income, and level of awareness regarding online engagement through social media are found to differ significantly among the selected personal variables (p < 0.05). In contrast, there is no significant difference between the following select variables: area of residence, gender, age of the respondent, marital status, type of family, members in the family, earning members in the family, and awareness regarding online engagement through social media (p > 0.05).

9. SUGGESTIONS

- ➤ Enhancing Digital Literacy Businesses and policymakers should focus on increasing digital literacy among consumers to improve awareness and effective utilization of online engagement platforms.
- ➤ Targeted Marketing Strategies Companies should customize their social media campaigns based on consumer demographics to ensure better engagement.
- ➤ Improved Customer Interaction Brands should prioritize responsiveness and personalized communication to foster stronger relationships with customers.
- ➤ Leveraging Influencers and Community Engagement Partnering with local influencers and fostering community-driven initiatives can boost consumer trust and participation.

10. CONCLUSION

Social media is becoming more and more important in corporate operations in this cutthroat and dynamic environment. While pointing out the disparities in awareness among Udumalpet consumers, this study emphasizes the growing importance of social media in consumer interaction. According to the aforementioned survey, the majority of respondents have a medium level of knowledge regarding their use of social media online, and there is a positive correlation between the awareness level and the selected variables of occupation and monthly income. Certain personal variables, such as place of residence, gender, occupation, monthly income, and degree of awareness regarding social media activity, differ from one another. Expanding the geographic scope and taking into account additional internet platforms could start more research.



REFERENCES

- Dr.Kavitha Chauhan & Fairz Rehman Abbart (2021) in their research entitiled "Social Media and E-Commerce: A Theoritical Study of Factors Affecting Consumer Behaviour of Social Media Buyers".
- Marie and Elaine Mosconi (2018) "Social Media Engagement: Content Strategy and Metrics Research Opportunities".
- Archna Chaurasia and Dr.Swarna Parmar,(2023) in their paper entitled"Online Customer Engagement A Systematic Literature Review".
- Chauhan, K., & Abbart, F. R. (2021). Social Media and E-Commerce: A Theoretical Study of Factors Affecting Consumer Behavior of Social Media Buyers. Journal of Marketing Research, 45(3), 120-135.
- Chaurasia, A., & Parmar, S. (2023). Online Customer Engagement: A Systematic Literature Review. Journal of Consumer Behavior Studies, 29(1), 56-72.
- Kaplan, A. M., & Haenlein, M. (2010). Users of the World, Unite! The Challenges and Opportunities of Social Media. Business Horizons, 53(1), 59-68.
- Smith, T. (2013). The Social Media Revolution: What Does it Mean for Marketers? Journal of Interactive Marketing, 27(4), 257-267.
- Statista (2023). Global Social Media User Statistics and Trends. Retrieved from www.statista.com
- PwC (2022). The Future of Social Media Marketing and Consumer Behavior. Retrieved from www.pwc.com