



---

## Ethics in CRISIS: The PERILS of Regulatory Negligence in Modern Humanities Scholarship

**Benobitha M Sangma**

Research Scholar, Department of English, North-Eastern Hill University, Tura Campus

Email Id – benobitha@gmail.com,

---

DOI : <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.16811234>

---

### ARTICLE DETAILS

**Research Paper**

**Accepted:** 23-07-2025

**Published:** 10-08-2025

---

**Keywords:**

*Academic misconduct, data fabrication, English literature, ethical guidelines, research integrity and regulations*

---

### ABSTRACT

This paper explores the profound consequences of neglecting ethical principles and regulations within the field of humanities research. Ethical considerations are paramount in academic research, ensuring the integrity, validity, and trustworthiness of scholarly work. Specifically, this study investigates how the disregard for ethical standards can manifest in various forms including data fabrication, plagiarism, misrepresentation of sources, and biased interpretations. The ripple effects of such ethical breaches extend far beyond individual researchers, impacting the academic community, the credibility of literary studies, and the broader public's understanding of literature and culture. The analysis draws upon a range of case studies and scholarly literature to illustrate the tangible ramifications of unethical research practices, such as the distortion of literary history, the perpetuation of harmful stereotypes, and the erosion of public trust in academic institutions. Furthermore, the study examines the systemic factors that may contribute to ethical lapses, including pressures to publish, inadequate training in research ethics, and the lack of effective oversight mechanisms.



## Introduction

Ethical considerations and regulatory frameworks form the bedrock of responsible research across all disciplines, including the humanities, and their importance cannot be overstated. Ignoring these ethical principles and regulations in humanities research can lead to a cascade of detrimental consequences that affect researchers, participants, institutions, and the broader academic community (Ichendu 171). These consequences encompass compromised research integrity, erosion of public trust, legal and financial ramifications, and harm to vulnerable populations (Muthanna et al. 6-10).

It is crucial to foster a deep understanding of these potential pitfalls and to promote adherence to ethical guidelines in every stage of the research process, ensuring that humanities research contributes meaningfully and responsibly to the advancement of knowledge (Ichendu 171). Ethical issues can arise at any point during the research process, from the initial design to the dissemination of findings. The current inclination in the available literature is descriptive ethics, where researchers from diverse fields share the ethical challenges they have encountered, however, this approach is inadequate because it does not offer a comprehensive view of the ethical landscape (Drolet et al. 269).

### 1. Defining ethics in research

Ethics in research encompasses a wide range of principles and guidelines that govern the conduct of researchers, ensuring that their work is carried out in a responsible, honest, and respectful manner. A normative ethic which includes meta-ethical questions, compliance with regulations, statutes, and institutional policies; the rigor and reproducibility of science; social value; and workplace relationships are the dimensions of research ethics (DuBois and Antes 550). It involves upholding intellectual honesty by accurately representing data, avoiding fabrication or falsification of results, and giving proper credit to the work of others (Gatignon 63). Respect for sources is another fundamental aspect of research ethics, requiring researchers to acknowledge and cite the contributions of previous scholars, avoid plagiarism, and engage with existing scholarship in a fair and critical manner.

Research ethics also includes ensuring that research is conducted in a way that minimizes harm to participants, respects their autonomy and privacy, and promotes social justice. By adhering to ethical principles, researchers demonstrate their commitment to advancing knowledge in a responsible and trustworthy manner, contributing to the credibility and value of their work. When research ethics are ignored, the pursuit of knowledge can be undermined by bias, dishonesty, and exploitation. Therefore, it



is essential to examine the potential effects of unethical conduct in English Literature research to promote a more moral and responsible academic environment.

## **2. Background of ethical principles in humanities research**

The development of ethical principles in humanities research has been an evolutionary process, shaped by historical events, evolving societal values, and increasing awareness of potential harms (Zook et al. 30-38). The Nuremberg Code, developed in response to Nazi human experimentation, established fundamental principles such as informed consent and the right to withdraw from research (Yotova 653). The Belmont Report further articulated key ethical principles like respect for persons, beneficence, and justice, which have become foundational for ethical guidelines in various disciplines (Nordberg et al. 46). These principles underscore the importance of treating research participants as autonomous agents, maximizing benefits while minimizing harms, and ensuring equitable distribution of research burdens and benefits (Ginting 110).

The pursuit of scientific knowledge must be tempered by a commitment to ethical conduct, safeguarding the rights and well-being of all involved (Taquette and Souza 5). Ethical theories provide a philosophical foundation for researchers, clarifying what is right or wrong during data collection involving human participants (Laryeafio and Ogbewe 94). Theories, such as deontology and utilitarianism, guide researchers in making informed decisions about their research projects. Utilitarianism emphasizes the maximization of overall well-being, while deontology focuses on adherence to moral duties and rules.

## **3. Problem statement: Ignoring ethical regulations**

Despite the existence of established ethical principles and regulatory frameworks, instances of unethical conduct continue to occur in humanities research. These transgressions can manifest in various forms, including plagiarism, data fabrication, lack of informed consent, breaches of confidentiality, and biased interpretation of findings. The reasons for such ethical lapses are multifaceted, ranging from ignorance of ethical guidelines to pressures to publish and career advancement. The consequences of ignoring ethical regulations can be far-reaching, affecting not only the individuals directly involved but also the credibility and reputation of the research community as a whole.

### **3.1. Research objectives and questions**

This research paper aims to comprehensively examine the consequences of ignoring ethical principles and regulations in humanities research. This study aims to address the following specific objectives:



- Evaluate the impact of unethical research practices on researchers, participants, institutions, and the broader academic community.
- Propose strategies for promoting ethical awareness and adherence to regulations in humanities research.
- To achieve these objectives, the research will address the following key questions:
  - What are the most prevalent types of ethical violations in humanities research, and what factors contribute to their occurrence?
  - What legal and financial consequences can arise from unethical research conduct in the humanities?
  - What measures can be implemented to enhance ethical awareness, promote responsible research practices, and prevent ethical violations in humanities research?

#### 4. Literature review

Existing research underscores the critical importance of ethical conduct in all scholarly endeavors, with particular attention to the nuances within the humanities (Ginting 110). Works on academic integrity emphasize that ethics are foundational to academic and professional environments (Adhiambo 1& 12). Ethical standards ensure honesty, transparency, and accountability, which are vital for maintaining trust and credibility in academic research and scholarship (Wong and Hui 377). Research ethics involves a set of principles, laws, and regulations that researchers must adhere to when conducting scientific research (Muthanna et al. 10). These guidelines are in place to ensure the integrity of the research process and to protect the rights and welfare of participants involved in the study (DuBois and Antes 558).

Research should be based on hypotheses and pilot results to answer a question or solve a problem. The principles of good research conduct and integrity include compliance with international research policies (Wong and Hui 380). One area of concern is academic misconduct, which includes practices such as fabricating data, manipulating results, using others' ideas without proper attribution, and improper disclosure of participant identities (Dhakal 1). It also encompasses broader issues such as conflicts of interest and lack of transparency in research processes (Bretag 5 &10). These ethical lapses can erode public trust in research findings and undermine the credibility of academic institutions.

Researchers must obtain informed consent from participants, ensuring they are fully aware of the research's purpose, methods, risks, and benefits. This process respects participants' autonomy and their right to make informed decisions about their involvement. Ethical codes and policies emphasize honesty, objectivity, transparency, confidentiality, respect for human subject rights, and intellectual property



respect (Tabuena et al. 6). Clinical research, in particular, has strict guidelines to protect human subjects, as outlined in documents such as the Belmont Report in the United States and the Declaration of Helsinki, which is recognized internationally (Sheets 317).

The Belmont Report identifies three core principles for ethical research: respect for persons, beneficence, and justice (Eckstein 205). Respect for persons involves recognizing individuals' autonomy and protecting those with diminished autonomy. Justice ensures equitable distribution of research burdens and benefits, particularly in participant selection (Downie and Telfer 5 -10). Clinical investigations should adhere to ethical principles such as respect for humans and society, beneficence, harmlessness, autonomy, and justice (Erol 97).

The application of ethical guidelines in humanities research is particularly critical when dealing with cultural heritage, historical narratives, and personal stories. Research must carefully consider cultural sensitivities, power dynamics, and the potential impact on marginalized communities (Soundararajan et al. 7). The importance of cultural sensitivity is further highlighted when research involves indigenous knowledge and cultural artifacts. Misrepresentation or misappropriation can lead to cultural harm and reinforce historical injustices. Respect, a key value in human research ethics, involves ensuring a valid consenting process, protecting participants with compromised consent capacity, promoting participant dignity, and considering the effects of research on cultures and communities (Pieper and Thomson 232). The consequences of ignoring ethical principles and regulations in humanities research can be far-reaching and devastating.

Ethical lapses can lead to the misrepresentation of historical facts, the perpetuation of stereotypes, and the exploitation of vulnerable populations (Gyure et al. 7). Ethical issues in the humanities are often subtle and complex, necessitating a nuanced understanding of research practices and their potential impacts (Kormos et al. 2). Legal safeguards must balance the greater good of research with regulatory contexts, ensuring that virtuous research goals comply with legal requirements (Vallejos et al. 87). Ignorance of ethical and legal standards is not a valid defense for misconduct, and researchers are expected to be aware of and comply with these standards. Adherence to ethical principles is not merely a regulatory requirement but a moral imperative that upholds the integrity of the research process and safeguards the interests of individuals and communities affected by the research (Yotova 13; Zucker 30). It is seen as a moral duty to participate in research as volunteers to develop new therapeutics for the betterment of the human race (Fakruddin et al. 41).



The integrity of research studies is maintained by upholding accuracy and reliability via quality control and assurance. These procedures are designed to detect errors and biases, ensuring that research findings are valid and reliable. Data fabrication, falsification, and manipulation not only undermine the credibility of research but also pose significant risks to public health and safety. Misleading research can result in ineffective interventions, wasted resources, and harm to individuals and communities. Research integrity includes standards and procedures that are important when reporting results to ensure transparency in the conduct of the research (Wong and Hui 386). The lack of objectivity, integrity, human subject protection, openness, and honesty were the most important and relevant principles of research ethics (Schöpfel and Azeroual 57). When researchers respect human dignity by protecting privacy and confidentiality, they are acting ethically (Farrimond 57).

## **5. Overview of key ethical principles and regulations**

Research ethics is grounded in several core principles that guide researchers in conducting studies responsibly and ethically. These principles are honesty, integrity, objectivity, openness, and respect for intellectual property (“On Being a Scientist: A Guide to Responsible Conduct in Research” 47). These principles emphasize the importance of transparency, accountability, and fairness in the research process. Research ethics provides a framework for researchers to reflect on the ethical implications of their work and make informed decisions about how to proceed. Research ethics is essential for identifying and mitigating ethical risks associated with research activities. When discussing the definition of research ethics, it is considered a collection of ethical and moral guidelines that govern research procedures. By adhering to ethical principles and regulations, researchers can minimize the potential for harm and ensure that their work contributes positively to society. To promote integrity and ethical conduct in scientific research, institutions must implement robust training programs, establish clear guidelines and policies, and foster a culture of ethical awareness and responsibility (Armond et al. 111367).

Codes of ethics are often distributed to researchers by government agencies that fund or commission research. These codes provide a framework for decision-making, ensuring that research is conducted in a responsible and ethical manner (Behi and Nolan 712). The principles of respect for persons, beneficence, and justice are foundational to ethical research, as articulated in the Belmont Report. The need to ensure that research involving human subjects is conducted ethically and with respect for their rights and welfare. Respect for persons emphasizes the importance of autonomy and the need to protect individuals with diminished autonomy, such as children or individuals with cognitive impairments (Zucker 21 & 50). Beneficence requires researchers to maximize benefits and minimize harms to participants, ensuring that



the potential benefits of research outweigh the risks. Justice demands that research be conducted fairly and equitably, with no segment of the population bearing a disproportionate share of the risks or benefits. Ethical regulations, such as those outlined in the common rule, provide specific guidelines for the protection of human subjects in research (Page and Nyeboer 89).

Regulations include obtaining informed consent, minimizing risks, and ensuring confidentiality. Institutions need to take appropriate measures to prevent fraud and misconduct in research by promoting responsible research practices and establishing clear procedures for reporting and investigating allegations of misconduct. Ethical oversight is essential for ensuring that research is conducted in accordance with ethical principles and regulations (Ichendu 190). Institutional Review Boards play a critical role in reviewing research proposals and monitoring ongoing studies to protect the rights and welfare of human subjects. These boards are responsible for assessing the ethical acceptability of research protocols, ensuring that informed consent is obtained, and monitoring studies to identify and address any ethical concerns that may arise (Bitter et al. 2). Researchers have an ethical obligation to protect the privacy and confidentiality of research participants, safeguarding sensitive information from unauthorized access or disclosure (Dresser 303). This obligation extends to all types of data, including personal information, medical records, and genetic information.

Ethical lapses in research can have far-reaching consequences. Researchers should be cognizant of the ethical implications of their work and act accordingly (Mustapha and Nketiah 1). Consequences include damage to credibility, legal ramifications, and harm to research participants. Researchers are accountable for adhering to ethical principles and regulations. Historical events, such as the Nazi medical experiments and the Tuskegee Syphilis Study, have highlighted the importance of ethical conduct in research involving human subjects (Rogers 456). Researchers should understand how to protect people who participate in research, the data we get from them, the integrity of the research process, whether benefits outweigh risks, and what we do with the data once we obtain it (Farrimond 60). These cases led to the development of ethical guidelines and regulations aimed at preventing similar abuses from occurring in the future.

To mitigate the risk of ethical lapses, researchers must prioritize ethical considerations throughout the research process. Integrity of the research process and potential conflicts of interest must be taken into account. This includes obtaining informed consent, protecting privacy, minimizing risks, and ensuring that research is conducted in a fair and unbiased manner. In addition, researchers must be conscious of data privacy and security issues and take the necessary precautions to safeguard sensitive information



from loss or leakage (Prabu A 23). Furthermore, genomic research has emerged as a crucial component of national bio-economies and a strategic field for national competitiveness. However, historical injustices have been committed, including violations of human rights and indigenous culture (Zhang 100405). It is essential to comprehend the broad ethical problems and review procedures before undertaking clinical research, as clinical research necessitates the participation of individuals in the form of study subjects (Farrimond 65).

## **6. Consequences of ignoring ethical principles and regulations in humanities research**

In the realm of humanities research, a commitment to ethical principles and adherence to regulations are not merely procedural formalities, but rather the bedrock upon which scholarly integrity, public trust, and the very pursuit of knowledge are founded. Ignoring these fundamental tenets can precipitate a cascade of detrimental consequences, impacting individual researchers, academic institutions, research participants, and the broader intellectual community. These include compromising the validity and reliability of research findings, undermining public trust in research, harming research participants, and damaging the reputation of researchers and institutions. Failure to adhere to ethical guidelines can have significant consequences for the credibility and impact of humanities research (Kormos et al. 2). When researchers disregard these ethical considerations, they risk perpetuating harm, injustice, and the erosion of the values that underpin scholarly inquiry.

When researchers contravene ethical principles and regulations, the validity and reliability of their findings are inevitably called into question. The integrity of the research process is compromised, rendering the results suspect and potentially misleading. Whether it stems from fabricating data, manipulating statistical analyses, or selectively reporting outcomes, such actions introduce bias and distort the true picture (Kimmèl 11-30). The moral character of researchers, when underdeveloped, may lead to both compromised objectivity and honesty, and neglect of the rights of research subjects (Dahlquist 449). This can lead to the propagation of misinformation, the waste of resources, and the erosion of public trust in research.

Moreover, ignoring ethical principles and regulations can have serious consequences for research participants. Some researchers may face conflicts between their responsibility to the profession and their responsibility to the research participants (Rogers 456). In humanities research, this may involve exposing participants to emotional distress, violating their privacy, or misrepresenting their experiences. When researchers fail to obtain informed consent, protect confidentiality, or minimize risks, they can inflict harm on the individuals who contribute to their studies.



### 6.1. Impact on research integrity and validity

In humanities research, the ramifications of neglecting ethical guidelines extend beyond the immediate study, impacting the broader scholarly landscape. Ethical transgressions can lead to the retraction of publications, the discrediting of entire research programs, and the chilling of future inquiry in sensitive areas. When researchers engage in plagiarism, fabrication, or falsification, they undermine the foundation of trust upon which scholarly communication is built. Such misconduct not only jeopardizes the validity of the specific research in question but also casts a shadow of doubt over the integrity of the entire discipline. Failing to address conflicts of interest, such as financial ties to particular organizations or personal relationships with research subjects, can compromise objectivity and introduce bias into the interpretation of findings.

The temptation to misrepresent data or selectively report findings can be amplified by the pressures of academic competition, the pursuit of funding, and the desire for career advancement (Drolet et al. 270). Furthermore, the rise of predatory journals and conferences, which prioritize profit over quality control, creates fertile ground for unethical research practices to flourish. The neglect of ethical considerations can also lead to the perpetuation of harmful stereotypes, the erasure of marginalized voices, and the reinforcement of existing power imbalances. For example, research that appropriates cultural knowledge without proper attribution or that misrepresents the experiences of marginalized communities can perpetuate injustice and undermine efforts toward social equity.

In addition to the individual and institutional consequences, ignoring ethical principles and regulations in humanities research can have a profound impact on public trust in research (Barde et al. 330). When researchers are perceived as being dishonest, biased, or exploitative, the public may lose confidence in the value and reliability of their work. This can lead to a decline in public support for research funding, a reluctance to participate in research studies, and a general skepticism toward expert knowledge. Furthermore, a lack of transparency in data collection, analysis, and reporting can fuel suspicion and distrust, particularly in an era of heightened scrutiny of scientific claims.

Moreover, the dissemination of flawed or unethical research can have far-reaching societal consequences. This can lead to misguided policies, ineffective interventions, and the perpetuation of harmful practices. Therefore, upholding ethical standards in humanities research is not merely a matter of adhering to formal guidelines but a fundamental responsibility to ensure that research serves the public good and advances knowledge in a responsible and trustworthy manner (Gopalakrishna et al. 11). It is therefore of



paramount importance that researchers are well grounded and trained in ethical research principles (“Standards for Reporting on Humanities-Oriented Research in AERA Publications” 481).

The erosion of trust can have a chilling effect on academic freedom and open inquiry, as researchers may become hesitant to explore controversial topics or challenge established orthodoxies for fear of reprisal or censure. The complexity of ethical considerations in research necessitates a proactive approach to promoting ethical awareness and providing researchers with the resources and support they need to navigate ethical dilemmas effectively (Ginting 110). The impact of cultural factors on academic dishonesty incidents cannot be ignored (Alajami 100027). This can involve providing ethics training, establishing clear guidelines for research conduct, and creating a culture of open dialogue and accountability.

## **6.2. Case studies of ethical breaches in research**

Examining specific instances in which ethical principles and regulations were disregarded reveals the tangible consequences of such lapses in judgment. The examination of individual and collective behaviors of researchers can provide an ethical framework and can be very useful in developing prevention initiatives against unethical behavior in research and development activities. For instance, consider the case of a prominent historian who was found to have fabricated sources and plagiarized passages in their acclaimed biography. This not only tarnished the reputation of the historian but also raised questions about the rigor of peer review processes and the oversight mechanisms in place to detect scholarly misconduct.

Similarly, consider the case of an anthropologist who conducted research on marginalized communities without obtaining informed consent, leading to the exploitation of participants and the misrepresentation of their cultural practices (Soundararajan et al. 7). In the Indian context, several instances of ethical breaches in humanities research have come to light, highlighting the challenges and complexities of upholding ethical standards in a diverse and rapidly changing academic landscape. The exploitation of vulnerable communities is further exacerbated by power dynamics and historical injustices (Broesch et al. 20201245).

This is because researchers from dominant social groups may exploit the vulnerability of marginalized communities for personal gain. Another area of concern is the issue of plagiarism and academic dishonesty. Plagiarism, the act of presenting someone else's work as one's own, is a serious ethical violation that undermines the integrity of scholarship and erodes trust in the academic community.



Instances of plagiarism have been reported across various disciplines in Indian humanities research, ranging from undergraduate essays to doctoral dissertations and published articles. The lack of awareness about plagiarism detection software and the absence of robust institutional mechanisms to detect and prevent plagiarism have contributed to its prevalence in some academic settings.

Also, there is existence of caste-based prejudices and exclusionary practices in higher education institutions. This can lead to discrimination against Dalit students in research opportunities, mentorship programs, and academic evaluations (Soundararajan et al. 7). These acts of commission or omission ultimately affect the quality of knowledge production and its applicability to addressing societal problems (Sawant et al. 51). Addressing these ethical challenges requires a multi-pronged approach involving individual researchers, academic institutions, funding agencies, and government bodies.

### **6.3. Theoretical frameworks for ethical decision-making in research**

To navigate the complexities of ethical decision-making in humanities research, scholars can draw upon a range of theoretical frameworks and ethical codes. These frameworks provide a foundation for identifying, analyzing, and resolving ethical dilemmas that arise in the course of research. One prominent framework is virtue ethics, which emphasizes the importance of cultivating moral character and acting in accordance with virtues such as honesty, integrity, and fairness. Another influential framework is deontology, which focuses on adherence to moral duties and obligations, regardless of the consequences (Miteu 2-4).

To mitigate the consequences of ignoring ethical principles and regulations in humanities research, a multi-faceted approach is required. This includes strengthening ethics education and training for researchers, promoting open and transparent research practices, and establishing robust mechanisms for detecting and addressing ethical misconduct. Ethics training should be incorporated into the curriculum at all levels of education, from undergraduate to postgraduate studies. Such programs should emphasize the importance of ethical awareness, critical thinking, and responsible conduct of research.

First and foremost, there is a need to raise awareness among researchers about ethical principles and regulations, as well as the potential consequences of ethical breaches. This can be achieved through ethics training workshops, seminars, and online resources that provide researchers with practical guidance on how to navigate ethical dilemmas in their research. Institutions should promote ethical research environments that foster integrity, transparency, and accountability.



This involves establishing clear policies and procedures for handling allegations of ethical misconduct, providing support and mentorship to researchers, and recognizing and rewarding ethical behavior. Funding agencies should incorporate ethical considerations into the grant review process, ensuring that research proposals are evaluated not only for their scientific merit but also for their ethical implications. (Buljan et al. 471). By taking proactive steps to promote ethical research practices, the humanities can maintain its credibility, relevance, and contribution to society. The consequences of ignoring ethical principles and regulations in humanities research are far-reaching and can have detrimental effects on individuals, communities, and the integrity of the research enterprise itself.

#### **6.4. Role of Institutional Review Boards in ensuring ethical research practices**

Institutional Review Boards play a crucial role in ensuring the ethical conduct of research involving human participants. These boards are responsible for reviewing research proposals, assessing potential risks and benefits to participants, and ensuring that informed consent is obtained. To ensure the effectiveness and legitimacy of IRBs, it is essential that they operate independently, transparently, and with the participation of diverse stakeholders. Institutional Review Boards are critical in upholding ethical standards by reviewing, approving, and monitoring research involving human subjects, ensuring the protection of their rights and welfare (Miteu 4). Universities, colleges, and many organizations have Institutional Review Boards comprised of experienced researchers with advanced training and knowledge in research ethics and the regulations governing those (Soundararajan et al. 7). Data collection can begin only after approval from the Institutional Review Board (IRB) has been granted (Farrimond 57).

Ethical review boards are mandatory in some countries, universities, and other organizations for any research involving human participants (Graham et al. 98). The growing volume of human participant research increased the workload and responsibilities of Research Ethics Boards (Page and Nyeboer 1). To accommodate the increased workload, some REBs apply expedited review processes that focus on the consent documentation, at times giving less attention to voluntariness, selection of participants, and risk (Kass et al. 25). Research ethics committees devote significant time to prospectively reviewing studies using standard paperwork (Dawson et al. 2-4). In addition, Cellular, Tissue and Gene Therapies Advisory Committee, funded by the FDA, reviews and evaluates all available information. Experts recommend talking to the IRB chair about education research in general at the institution and the IRB's approach to this type of research (Sullivan 5).



## 7. Conclusion

In conclusion, ethical principles and regulations are essential for ensuring the integrity, validity, and social responsibility of research in all disciplines, including the humanities. When researchers, institutions, and other stakeholders give ethical issues top priority and promote moral behavior, they can cultivate a research environment that is based on trust, accountability, and respect for human rights and dignity. By upholding these principles, researchers can contribute to the advancement of knowledge and the betterment of society while minimizing the risks of harm and injustice (Van Wynsberghe and Mensah 7).

However, there are also broader ethical guidelines that affect not only research in the humanities but also scholarly research in general, and these guidelines also ought to be followed. Institutions, scholars, and publishers need to work together to make sure that everyone knows about and upholds the rules of ethics in scholarly communication. One of the most vital components of research integrity is making sure that research findings are reproducible, transparent, and open.

The study also emphasizes the importance of ongoing dialogue and reflection on ethical issues in research, as well as the need for continued education and training to promote ethical awareness and competence among researchers. By integrating ethical considerations into all aspects of the research process, researchers can ensure that their work is conducted in a responsible and ethical manner, and that it contributes to the advancement of knowledge and the betterment of society (Nordberg et al. 16; Wong and Hui 377).

## 8. Suggestions for future research

Future research could explore the ethical dimensions of new and emerging areas of humanities research, such as digital humanities, public humanities, and interdisciplinary research. Additionally, future research could examine the effectiveness of different interventions and strategies for promoting ethical conduct in research, such as ethics training programs, mentorship initiatives, and institutional policies. Contemporary humanities research confronts a unique set of ethical challenges stemming from its evolving methodologies, interdisciplinary nature, and engagement with diverse communities (Do et al. 1). Many topics of study in the humanities require the analysis of sensitive data that might infringe on personal privacy if handled carelessly; to safeguard the privacy of individuals and communities, ethical guidelines are required for gathering, storing, and disseminating data (Frankel 301). These challenges



necessitate a nuanced understanding of ethical principles and regulations to ensure the integrity and social value of research endeavors.

Researchers must recognize and address power dynamics within research relationships, especially when working with vulnerable populations or marginalized communities. It is important to make sure that research benefits people involved in the study and that no one is taken advantage of or mistreated in the name of knowledge. The problem of properly recognizing and valuing indigenous knowledge systems is one that humanities researchers confront when interacting with indigenous communities. Researchers must approach indigenous knowledge with respect, seeking collaboration and partnership with indigenous communities to ensure that their knowledge is accurately represented and their voices are heard. It is crucial to get permission from indigenous communities before using their information, customs, or cultural heritage in research projects.

- **Works Cited**

- Adhiambo, Valary Rose. “The Connection between Academic and Professional Integrity: A Review.” *East African Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies*, vol. 1, no. 1, 28 Feb. 2019, p. 12, <https://journals.eanso.org/index.php/eajis/article/view/89>.
- Alajami, Abdulla. “Promoting Ethical Behavior and How Junior Investigators Perceive Academic Integrity: Critical Approach.” *Current Research in Behavioral Sciences*, vol. 2, Mar. 2021, p. 100027, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crbeha.2021.100027>.
- Armond, Anna Catharina Vieira, et al. “Research Integrity Definitions and Challenges.” *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, vol. 171, Apr. 2024, p. 111367, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2024.111367>.
- Barde, F., et al. “Fraude Scientifique : Une Menace Majeure Pour La Recherche Médicale.” *La Revue de Médecine Interne*, vol. 41, no. 5, Feb. 2020, p. 330, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.revmed.2020.02.004>.
- Behi, Ruhi, and Mike Nolan. “Ethical Issues in Research.” *British Journal of Nursing*, vol. 4, no. 12, June 1995, p. 712, <https://doi.org/10.12968/bjon.1995.4.12.712>.
- Bitter, Cindy C., et al. “Principles of Research Ethics: A Research Primer for Low- and Middle-Income Countries.” *African Journal of Emergency Medicine*, vol. 10, Jan. 2020, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.afjem.2020.07.006>.



- Bretag, Tracey. “Challenges in Addressing Plagiarism in Education.” *PLoS Medicine*, vol. 10, no. 12, Dec. 2013, <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001574>.
- Broesch, Tanya, et al. “Navigating Cross-Cultural Research: Methodological and Ethical Considerations.” *Proceedings of the Royal Society B Biological Sciences*, vol. 287, no. 1935, Sept. 2020, p. 20201245, <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2020.1245>.
- Buljan, Ivan, et al. “Ethics Issues Identified by Applicants and Ethics Experts in Horizon 2020 Grant Proposals.” *F1000Research*, vol. 10, June 2021, p. 471, <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.52965.1>.
- Dahlquist, Gisela. “Ethics in Research: Why and How?” *Scandinavian Journal of Public Health*, vol. 34, no. 5, SAGE Publishing, 21 Sept. 2006, p. 449, <https://doi.org/10.1080/14034940600654667>.
- Dawson, Angela, et al. “Why Research Ethics Should Add Retrospective Review.” *BMC Medical Ethics*, vol. 20, no. 1, Oct. 2019, <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12910-019-0399-1>.
- Dhakal, Rebat Kumar. “Responsible Practice of Research: Safeguarding Research Integrity and Publication Ethics.” *Journal of Education and Research*, vol. 6, no. 2, Dec. 2018, p. 1, <https://doi.org/10.3126/jer.v6i2.22144>.
- Do, Kimberly, et al. “That’s Important, but...”: How Computer Science Researchers Anticipate Unintended Consequences of Their Research Innovations. Apr. 2023, p. 1, <https://doi.org/10.1145/3544548.3581347>.
- Downie, R. S., and Elizabeth Telfer. *Respect for Persons*. 1969, [https://openlibrary.org/books/OL4449096M/Respect\\_for\\_persons](https://openlibrary.org/books/OL4449096M/Respect_for_persons).
- Dresser, Rebecca. *Ethical and Policy Issues in Research on Elder Abuse and Neglect*. Jan. 2003, <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK98782/>.
- Drolet, Marie-Josée, et al. “Ethical Issues in Research: Perceptions of Researchers, Research Ethics Board Members and Research Ethics Experts.” *Journal of Academic Ethics*, vol. 21, no. 2, Springer Science+Business Media, 12 Aug. 2022, p. 269, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10805-022-09455-3>.
- DuBois, James M., and Alison L. Antes. “Five Dimensions of Research Ethics: A Stakeholder Framework for Creating a Climate of Research Integrity.” *Academic Medicine*, vol. 93, no. 4, Oct. 2017, p. 550, <https://doi.org/10.1097/acm.0000000000001966>.
- Eckstein, Sue. “Good Research Practice.” *Cambridge University Press eBooks*, Cambridge University Press, 2003, p. 205, <https://doi.org/10.1017/cbo9780511550089.034>.



- Erol, Almıla. “How to Conduct Scientific Research?” *Nöro Psikiyatri Arşivi*, vol. 54, no. 2, June 2017, p. 97, <https://doi.org/10.5152/npa.2017.0120102>.
- Fakruddin, Md., et al. “Research Involving Human Subjects - Ethical Perspective.” *Bangladesh Journal of Bioethics*, vol. 4, no. 2, Sept. 2013, p. 41, <https://doi.org/10.3329/bioethics.v4i2.16375>.
- Farrimond, Hannah. *Research Design and Ethics*. 2013, p. 57, [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-137-29553-8\\_5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-137-29553-8_5).
- Frankel, Mark S. “An Empirical Exploration of Scientists’ Social Responsibilities.” *Journal of Responsible Innovation*, vol. 2, no. 3, Sept. 2015, p. 301, <https://doi.org/10.1080/23299460.2015.1096737>.
- Gatignon, Hubert. “Ethical Behaviours versus Behaviours That Contravene Deontological Research Principles in the Publishing Process.” *Recherche et Applications En Marketing (English Edition)*, vol. 34, no. 2, Nov. 2018, p. 63, <https://doi.org/10.1177/2051570718815973>.
- Ginting, Daniel. “Ethical Research Dilemmas and Their Implications In English Language Teaching Studies.” *ACITYA Journal of Teaching & Education*, vol. 4, no. 1, Jan. 2022, p. 110, <https://doi.org/10.30650/ajte.v4i1.3200>.
- Gopalakrishna, Gowri, et al. “Prevalence of Questionable Research Practices, Research Misconduct and Their Potential Explanatory Factors: A Survey among Academic Researchers in The Netherlands.” *PLoS ONE*, vol. 17, no. 2, Feb. 2022, <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0263023>.
- Graham, Anne, et al. *Ethical Research Involving Children*. Jan. 2013, [http://epubs.scu.edu.au/educ\\_pubs/1074/](http://epubs.scu.edu.au/educ_pubs/1074/).
- Gyure, Maria, et al. “Practical Considerations for Implementing Research Recruitment Etiquette.” *PubMed*, vol. 36, no. 6, Feb. 2015, p. 7, <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25684834>.
- Ichendu, Chima. “Morality and Ethics in Research.” *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, vol. 8, no. 3, Dec. 2020, p. 171, <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2020.8.3.0470>.
- Kass, Nancy E., et al. “The Structure and Function of Research Ethics Committees in Africa: A Case Study.” *PLoS Medicine*, vol. 4, no. 1, Jan. 2007, <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.0040003>.
- Kimmèl, Allan J. “Ethical Issues in Social Influence Research.” *Oxford University Press eBooks*, Oxford University Press, 2015, <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199859870.013.2>.



- Kormos, Ana, et al. “Ethical Considerations for Gene Drive: Challenges of Balancing Inclusion, Power and Perspectives.” *Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology*, vol. 10, Jan. 2022, <https://doi.org/10.3389/fbioe.2022.826727>.
- Laryeafio, Michael Nii, and Omoruyi Courage Ogbewe. “Ethical Consideration Dilemma: Systematic Review of Ethics in Qualitative Data Collection through Interviews.” *Journal of Ethics in Entrepreneurship and Technology*, vol. 3, no. 2, Aug. 2023, p. 94, <https://doi.org/10.1108/jeet-09-2022-0014>.
- Miteu, Goshen David. “Ethics in Scientific Research: A Lens into Its Importance, History, and Future.” *Annals of Medicine and Surgery*, Mar. 2024, <https://doi.org/10.1097/ms9.0000000000001959>.
- Mustapha, Hakibu Sinto, and Benjamin Nketiah. “Ethics: An Insight into Psychological Research and Practice.” *OALib*, vol. 8, no. 1, Jan. 2021, p. 1, <https://doi.org/10.4236/oalib.1107110>.
- Muthanna, Abdulghani, et al. “A Model of the Interrelationship between Research Ethics and Research Integrity.” *International Journal of Qualitative Studies on Health and Well-Being*, vol. 19, no. 1, Dec. 2023, <https://doi.org/10.1080/17482631.2023.2295151>.
- Nordberg, Ana, et al. *Cutting Edges and Weaving Threads in the Gene Editing ()Evolution: Reconciling Scientific Progress with Legal, Ethical, and Social Concerns*. 18 Jan. 2018.
- “On Being a Scientist: A Guide to Responsible Conduct in Research.” *Choice Reviews Online*, vol. 47, no. 2, Oct. 2009, p. 47, <https://doi.org/10.5860/choice.47-0824>.
- Page, Stacey, and Jeffrey Nyeboer. “Improving the Process of Research Ethics Review.” *Research Integrity and Peer Review*, vol. 2, no. 1, BioMed Central, 23 June 2017, <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41073-017-0038-7>.
- Pieper, Ian J., and C. J. Thomson. “The Value of Respect in Human Research Ethics: A Conceptual Analysis and a Practical Guide.” *Bioethics News*, vol. 32, Dec. 2014, p. 232, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40592-014-0016-5>.
- Prabu A, Nagamani. *Real-Time Patient Monitoring: The Role of AI in Continuous Healthcare*.
- Rogers, Bonnie. “Ethical Considerations in Research.” *Workplace Health & Safety*, vol. 35, no. 10, Oct. 1987, p. 456, <https://doi.org/10.1177/216507998703501008>.
- Sawant, Vijay, et al. *THE STEADY DRUMBEAT OF INSTITUTIONAL CASTEISM: Recognise Respond Redress*. Sept. 2021.



- Schöpfel, Joachim, and Otmame Azeroual. “Ethical Issues of the Organization and Management of Research Information.” *Communication Technologies et Développement*, vol. 14, Jan. 2023, <https://doi.org/10.4000/ctd.9857>.
- Sheets, Rebecca. “Clinical Trial Ethics, Human Subjects Protections, and the Informed Consent Process.” Elsevier eBooks, Elsevier BV, 2018, p. 317, <https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-809290-3.00018-3>.
- Soundararajan, T., et al. Facebook India TOWARDS THE TIPPING POINT OF VIOLENCE: CASTE AND RELIGIOUS HATE SPEECH. 2019.
- “Standards for Reporting on Humanities-Oriented Research in AERA Publications.” *Educational Researcher*, vol. 38, no. 6, Aug. 2009, p. 481, <https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189x09341833>.
- Sullivan, Gail M. “IRB 101.” *Journal of Graduate Medical Education*, vol. 3, no. 1, Mar. 2011, p. 5, <https://doi.org/10.4300/jgme-d-11-00005.1>.
- Tabuena, Almighty C., et al. “Understanding the Nature, Characteristics, and Ethics of Inquiry and Research for Beginning Practical Research Students.” *SSRN Electronic Journal*, Jan. 2021, <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3806681>.
- Taquette, Stella Regina, and Luciana Maria Borges da Matta Souza. “Ethical Dilemmas in Qualitative Research: A Critical Literature Review.” *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, vol. 21, Mar. 2022, <https://doi.org/10.1177/16094069221078731>.
- Vallejos, Elvira Pérez, et al. “Accessing Online Data for Youth Mental Health Research: Meeting the Ethical Challenges.” *Philosophy & Technology*, vol. 32, no. 1, Oct. 2017, p. 87, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13347-017-0286-y>.
- Van Wynsberghe, Aimee, and Jahaziel Osei Mensah. S43681-025-00746-0.Pdf. Feb. 2024.
- Wong, Kelvin K. L., and Steve C. N. Hui. “Ethical Principles and Standards for the Conduct of Biomedical Research and Publication.” *Australasian Physical & Engineering Sciences in Medicine*, vol. 38, no. 3, Aug. 2015, p. 377, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13246-015-0364-3>.
- Yotova, Rumiana. “REGULATING GENOME EDITING UNDER INTERNATIONAL HUMAN RIGHTS LAW.” *International and Comparative Law Quarterly*, vol. 69, no. 3, July 2020, p. 653, <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0020589320000184>.
- Zhang, Joy Yueyue. “Commoning Genomic Solidarity to Improve Global Health Equality.” *Cell Genomics*, vol. 3, no. 10, Elsevier BV, 28 Sept. 2023, p. 100405, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xgen.2023.100405>.



- Zook, Matthew, et al. “Ten Simple Rules for Responsible Big Data Research.” PLoS Computational Biology, vol. 13, no. 3, International Society for Computational Biology, 30 Mar. 2017, <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005399>.
- Zucker, Deborah R. “The Belmont Report.” Wiley StatsRef: Statistics Reference Online, 29 Sept. 2014, <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118445112.stat06924>.