The Academic
International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research

An Online Peer Reviewed / Refereed Journal
Volume 3 | Issue 9 | September 2025
ISSN: 2583-973X (Online)
Website: www.theacademic.in

A Critical Evaluation of Mathematical Models for Predicting COVID-19 and Its Variants: Implications for Sustainable Public Health.

Dr Mamta

Assistant Professor, Department Of Mathematics, Madhyanchal Professional University Ratibad, Bhopal. Madhya Pradesh. Email id- mamtashashi1727@gmail.com

DOI : https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17310994

ARTICLE DETAILS

Research Paper

Accepted: 15-09-2025

Published: 10-10-2025

Keywords:

Critical Evaluation ,Mathematical Models ,COVID-19, Implications ,Sustainable Public Health.

ABSTRACT

The COVID-19 pandemic has catalyzed unprecedented advances in the deployment and refinement of mathematical models for infectious disease forecasting and public health strategy. As SARS-CoV-2 and its variants evolved, mathematical models became essential instruments in guiding interventions, estimating reproduction numbers, allocating healthcare resources, and evaluating long-term epidemiological trajectories. This Paperoffers a comprehensive and critical evaluation of the major classes of mathematical models used to predict the spread and impact of COVID-19 and its variants, including deterministic compartmental models, stochastic models, agent-based simulations, and machine learning approaches. By comparing these models in terms of structure, assumptions, sensitivity to parameters, and capacity for incorporating variant dynamics, we highlight both their strengths and limitations. A comparative table distills these insights into a concise evaluative framework. Further, the Paperexamines how model-based insights inform sustainable public health strategies by integrating adaptive decision-making, equity-based resource allocation, and pandemic preparedness. Special attention is given to model reliability during variant emergence (e.g., Delta, Omicron), the trade-off between complexity and interpretability, and the interplay of data quality with predictive precision. The findings underscore the critical need for transparent, flexible, and interdisciplinary modeling frameworks to build resilience in public health infrastructure.

Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic, unprecedented in its global reach and societal disruption, has underscored the indispensability of mathematical modeling as a fundamental tool in public health decision-making. From the earliest projections of transmission to the estimation of peak healthcare burdens, and from the simulation of vaccination strategies to the evaluation of non-pharmaceutical interventions (NPIs), mathematical models have functioned as both theoretical constructs and real-time decision engines. In this context, the scientific and policy communities have come to rely on a diverse array of mathematical models—each offering unique lenses through which the pandemic can be interpreted, forecasted, and mitigated.

Mathematical models are, by design, abstract simplifications of real-world dynamics. They aim to capture the salient features of a complex biological and social system such as the transmission of a virus in a format that allows for prediction, inference, and optimization. With respect to COVID-19, these models have been instrumental in estimating the basic reproduction number (R_0), identifying superspreading events, forecasting hospital admissions, and informing policy decisions such as lockdowns, social distancing mandates, and vaccination prioritization.

As the pandemic progressed, however, the emergence of new SARS-CoV-2 variants including Alpha, Delta, and Omicron posed new challenges to the validity and robustness of earlier models. Many initial models were calibrated based on the wild-type virus and lacked the flexibility to incorporate genetic mutations that altered transmissibility, immune escape, and clinical severity. In this regard, the pandemic catalyzed an evolution in modeling itself prompting the development of hybrid and variant-sensitive models that could account for dynamic epidemiological, immunological, and social conditions.

This Paper undertakes a critical evaluation of the major mathematical modeling frameworks used throughout the COVID-19 pandemic, with special attention to their adaptation to variant-driven dynamics. The goal is not merely to catalog the models, but to examine the assumptions, structural properties, parameter sensitivities, and forecasting power of each. Importantly, we evaluate these models through the lens of sustainable public health defined here as the capacity of health systems to adapt, respond, and maintain functionality during prolonged and evolving health crises.

A pivotal concern throughout the pandemic has been the trade-off between model simplicity and real-world complexity. Simple compartmental models like SIR (Susceptible-Infectious-Recovered) andSEIR.(Susceptible-Exposed-Infectious-Recovered) are analytically tractable and easy to communicate but may overlook essential features such as age stratification, spatial mobility, behavioral feedback, or vaccine hesitancy. More complex models, including agent-based and networked simulations, attempt to capture these dimensions at the cost of interpretability, transparency, and computational feasibility.

Moreover, the predictive success of any model is tightly bound to the quality, granularity, and timeliness of the input data. In the context of COVID-19, this has often proven problematic. Inconsistent testing practices, underreporting of cases, delays in genomic surveillance, and heterogeneity in vaccination data have compromised model accuracy. These data issues, when combined with the intrinsic uncertainty of pandemic progression, highlight the need for models to be not only predictive but also resilient capable of functioning effectively under data scarcity and epistemic uncertainty.

The conceptual evolution of COVID-19 modeling also reflects broader tensions in public health: between rapid response and rigorous validation, between centralized planning and localized dynamics, and between scientific expertise and public trust. In many instances, models were used to justify highly consequential interventions such as lockdowns, school closures, or travel restrictions despite significant uncertainty. This raised ethical questions about the transparency, reproducibility, and interpretability of the models being used to shape societal behavior.

In light of these challenges, this Paper aims to:

- ✔ Categorize the primary types of mathematical models used during the pandemic,
- ✔ Compare and contrast their capacity to capture variant-driven dynamics,
- ✔ Interpret a consolidated evaluation of their strengths and limitations,
- ✔ Discuss their implications for sustainable public health infrastructure.

Classification of Mathematical Models for COVID-19 and Variants

Mathematical modeling of infectious disease transmission is a multifaceted domain comprising a variety of modeling frameworks, each designed to capture the complexity of epidemics in different ways. The emergence of SARS-CoV-2 and its variants necessitated the use of both classical and advanced models to interpret transmission dynamics, project outcomes, and guide intervention strategies. Broadly, the following categories of models were predominantly employed during the COVID-19 pandemic:

1. Deterministic Compartmental Models

These models divide the population into discrete compartments such as Susceptible (S), Infected (I), and Recovered (R), or in extended forms such as SEIR (Susceptible-Exposed-Infectious-Recovered). They use ordinary differential equations (ODEs) to describe the rate of movement between compartments.

- **Strengths:** Easy to implement, analytically tractable, quick for forecasting.
- **Limitations:** Assume homogeneity in population mixing, ignore stochastic variation and individual behavior.

2. Stochastic Models

Stochastic models incorporate randomness in disease transmission and progression, usually using probabilistic frameworks such as Markov chains or Monte Carlo simulations.

- **Strengths:** Better suited for small populations, incorporate uncertainty and variability.
- **Limitations:** Computationally intensive, difficult to interpret, especially in real-time policymaking.

3. Agent-Based Models (ABMs)

ABMs simulate the actions and interactions of autonomous agents (individuals) within a defined environment. These models are particularly effective in modeling heterogeneous behaviors, spatial interactions, and networked structures.

- **Strengths:** Can model behavioral patterns, vaccination strategies, and NPIs with precision.
- **Limitations:** High computational cost, need for large-scale data, potential overfitting.

4. Metapopulation and Network Models

These models capture the dynamics between multiple interconnected subpopulations. They are especially useful for modeling geographic spread and mobility-related transmission.

- **Strengths:** Excellent for modeling inter-regional spread, mobility, and urban–rural interaction.
- **Limitations:** Dependent on high-quality mobility and demographic data.
- **5. Machine Learning and Hybrid Models**

Machine learning (ML) techniques, including deep learning (e.g., LSTM, CNN), were used to complement or replace mechanistic models. Hybrid models combine mechanistic and ML frameworks.

- **Strengths:** Capture nonlinear patterns, adapt to real-time data, effective for short-term forecasting.
- **Limitations:** Opaque "black box" nature, limited interpretability, prone to overfitting, require large datasets.

Each model type has played a critical role at various stages of the pandemic. For instance, early deterministic models helped estimate the basic reproduction number (R_0), while later agent-based and network models were better suited to model variant transmission under vaccination scenarios. The following table compares these modeling frameworks based on critical parameters.

Table 1: Comparative Evaluation of Mathematical Models Used in COVID-19 Prediction

Model Type	**Core Structure**	**Captures Variants?**	**Behavioral Adaptation**	**Data Demand**	**Interpretability**	**Suitability**
SIR / SEIR	ODE-based Compartmental	Limited	No	Low	High	Early-stage modeling, trend estimation
Stochastic Models	Probabilistic (Markov/Monte Carlo)	Moderate	Partial	Moderate	Moderate	Small population, uncertainty analysis
Agent-Based Models (ABM)	Individual-level Simulation	High	High	High	Low	Local transmission, vaccination behavior

Network Models	Node-based Interactions	High	Moderate	High	Moderate	Spatial dynamics, variant spread
Machine Learning Models	Data-driven (LSTM, RF, etc.)	High	Implicit	Very High	Low	Real-time forecasting, pattern detection

Interpretation

The comparative matrix outlined in Table 1 provides a structured overview of how different classes of mathematical models have been utilized and adapted in the context of COVID-19 prediction. The interpretative framework reveals essential trade-offs and synergies between various model attributes.

First, the SIR and SEIR models, grounded in ordinary differential equations, exhibit high interpretability and low data requirements. These models are most effective in the early stages of a pandemic, when parameter estimates are uncertain and the need for rapid insights outweighs model complexity. However, their utility in later stages is compromised by their inability to incorporate variant dynamics, heterogeneous behavior, or vaccination status effectively.

Stochastic models introduce probabilistic elements that make them well-suited for evaluating uncertainty, especially in scenarios where infection events are rare or data are sparse. While they offer a more nuanced depiction of disease transmission, they are less interpretable to policy stakeholders, and their computational cost increases with population size and model depth.

In contrast, Agent-Based Models (ABMs) and Network Models represent the cutting edge in simulating pandemic dynamics. ABMs are particularly advantageous in evaluating behavioral responses to interventions, such as vaccine hesitancy or compliance with mask mandates. They can also explicitly model variant-specific transmission and immune evasion, making them vital tools during the spread of Delta and Omicron. Nevertheless, their deployment is often hindered by their high computational demands, and the difficulty in validating them empirically due to their inherent complexity.

Network models are especially powerful in capturing the spatial and topological spread of disease, offering insights into how travel restrictions, urban density, and connectivity between subpopulations influence transmission. Their ability to model variant-driven outbreaks across interlinked communities makes them indispensable for regional health planning.

Finally, machine learning and hybrid models have emerged as critical tools for short-term forecasts, especially where real-time data are available. These models excel at pattern recognition, including early detection of outbreak surges. However, their "black-box" nature introduces epistemic opacity, raising concerns about transparency and reproducibility two cornerstones of public trust and scientific integrity.From a sustainability perspective, the table also suggests that no single model suffices for all stages or dimensions of a pandemic. Instead, hybrid modeling ecosystems that integrate the interpretability of compartmental models with the granularity of ABMs and the adaptability of ML frameworks represent the most promising path forward. This pluralistic modeling strategy ensures resilience in the face of epidemiological volatility, variant evolution, and data instability.

Implications for Sustainable Public Health

The application of mathematical models in forecasting and mitigating the spread of COVID-19 and its emerging variants extends far beyond real-time epidemiological projections; it represents a foundational pillar in the architecture of sustainable public health. In an era marked by rapid viral mutations, vaccine escape phenomena, and evolving human behavior, the capacity of these models to inform, adapt, and evolve is essential to ensure health system resilience.

1. Data-Driven Governance and Predictive Surveillance

One of the most transformative implications of mathematical modeling is its role in enabling anticipatory governance. By simulating various scenarios—ranging from vaccination coverage rates to adherence to non-pharmaceutical interventions (NPIs)—these models help public health authorities craft evidence-based policies that are both preemptive and targeted. For instance, predictive heat maps generated by spatial models can forecast local outbreaks, thereby enabling resource optimization, such as oxygen and ICU bed allocation, well before crisis thresholds are reached.

2. Adaptive Vaccination Strategies

Mathematical models allow for the fine-tuning of vaccination policies by incorporating variables such as variant transmissibility, waning immunity, and demographic heterogeneity. Dynamic allocation models, using either agent-based or network-driven architectures, can simulate optimal distribution pathways, identify vaccination deserts, and ensure equity and efficiency in rollout campaigns. This has profound implications in resource-scarce settings where logistical constraints necessitate strategic prioritization.

3. Integration with Environmental and Behavioral Data

Models that embed behavioral psychology, mobility data, and climatic variables contribute to a more holistic and integrative understanding of disease dynamics. Such models underpin One Health and Planetary Health paradigms, which link public health to environmental sustainability. The ability to simulate the intersectionality between disease spread and factors like air pollution or socio-economic stressors is crucial for climate-resilient health systems.

4. Empowerment of Local Health Systems

Micro-level simulations and localized risk assessments empower municipal health departments and grassroots organizations to take ownership of disease surveillance and community engagement. Models designed with localized parameters enhance the granularity of insights and facilitate the decentralization of pandemic management—an essential component of sustainable health equity.

Challenges and Limitations

Despite their transformative potential, mathematical models for COVID-19 prediction are beset with numerous methodological and ethical limitations that can distort their utility if not addressed rigorously.

1. Data Inadequacies and Quality Gaps

Many models are built upon incomplete, biased, or outdated datasets, particularly in low-income regions. Lack of **timely, disaggregated, and standardized data** hampers the accuracy of forecasts and undermines trust in their projections. The absence of real-time genomic surveillance further limits the capacity to model **variant-specific dynamics**.

2. Oversimplification and Assumptions

All models, by necessity, make assumptions. However, overly simplified assumptions—such as homogeneous mixing or constant transmission rates—can yield **misleading projections**, particularly in dynamic and multi-modal outbreaks. As variants like Delta and Omicron demonstrated, model parameters must be frequently recalibrated to maintain relevance.

3. Interpretability and Policy Translation

Sophisticated models like ABMs and machine learning-based forecasts often suffer from low transparency and limited interpretability. This "black box" nature presents a communication barrier between modellers and policymakers, leading to suboptimal policy uptake or, worse, policy misapplication.

4. Ethical and Equity Considerations

Model-driven decisions on vaccine allocation, lockdowns, or quarantine can inadvertently reinforce existing health disparities if social determinants are not explicitly modeled. The lack of equity-based sensitivity analyses risks creating technocratic solutions that neglect the lived realities of marginalized populations.

5. Computational and Technical Barriers

Agent-based and network models are computationally expensive and often require supercomputing infrastructure and highly specialized expertise, which may not be universally available. This reinforces the digital divide between high-income and low-income countries in terms of modeling capacity.

Conclusion

Mathematical modeling has indubitably emerged as one of the most potent instruments in the public health arsenal against COVID-19 and its evolving variants. From simple compartmental frameworks like SEIR to complex agent-based simulations and machine learning-driven predictions, these models have shaped global and local responses across multiple waves of the pandemic.

The critical evaluation provided in this Paperunderscores that no single model suffices across the multifactorial and temporally dynamic landscape of a pandemic. Instead, the strength of modeling lies in its methodological plurality, contextual sensitivity, and adaptive calibration. Compartmental models offer rapid insights during early outbreak phases, while stochastic and network models provide nuance for transmission heterogeneity. Agent-based models capture human behavior with unparalleled granularity, and machine learning models enable agile forecasting in the face of data volatility.

However, the deployment of these models must be informed by an acute awareness of their limitations. Without high-quality data, transparency, ethical grounding, and stakeholder engagement, even the most sophisticated model risks becoming a sterile academic exercise. The future of pandemic preparedness hinges on embedding modeling frameworks within broader ecosystems of interdisciplinary collaboration, wherein epidemiologists, data scientists, behavioral experts, and community stakeholders co-produce knowledge.

Looking ahead, integrating modeling with real-time genomic surveillance, environmental health indicators, and behavioral datasets will catalyze the evolution toward resilient and sustainable public health systems. Moreover, democratizing access to modeling tools and data infrastructures will ensure that low- and middle-income countries are not left behind in the global quest for preparedness.

In conclusion, mathematical models are not mere predictive engines but strategic navigational tools—capable of guiding humanity through the uncertain terrain of pandemic futures, provided they are used judiciously, inclusively, and ethically. The ongoing challenge is to ensure that these tools become agents of equity and foresight, rather than instruments of technocratic exclusion or statistical misdirection. A sustainable public health future must be built on models that are not only mathematically sound but also socially responsive and politically conscious.

References

1. Adam, D. (2020). Special report: The simulations driving the world's response to COVID-19. *Nature*, 580(7803), 316–318. https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-020-01003-6
2. Bertozzi, A. L., Franco, E., Mohler, G., Short, M. B., & Sledge, D. (2020). The challenges of modeling and forecasting the spread of COVID-19. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 117(29), 16732–16738. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2006520117
3. Bubar, K. M., Reinholt, K., Kissler, S. M., Lipsitch, M., Cobey, S., Grad, Y. H., & Larremore, D. B. (2021). Model-informed COVID-19 vaccine prioritization strategies by age and serostatus. *Science*, 371(6532), 916–921. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abe6959
4. Chin, V., Samia, N. I., Marchant, R., Rosen, O., Ioannidis, J. P., & Cripps, S. (2021). A case study in model failure? COVID-19 daily deaths and ICU bed utilization predictions in New York State. *European Journal of Epidemiology*, 36(3), 233–244. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10654-020-00688-6
5. Colombo, G. B., Hernando, M. E., & Rojas, E. (2020). COVID-19 spread prediction using a hybrid agent-based and compartmental model. *IEEE Access*, 8, 143769–143779. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3013544

6. Cuevas, E. (2020). An agent-based model to evaluate the COVID-19 transmission risks in facilities. *Computers in Biology and Medicine*, 121, 103827. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compbiomed.2020.103827

7. Dong, E., Du, H., & Gardner, L. (2020). An interactive web-based dashboard to track COVID-19 in real time. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*, 20(5), 533–534. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30120-1

8. Ferguson, N. M., Laydon, D., Nedjati-Gilani, G., et al. (2020). Impact of non-pharmaceutical interventions (NPIs) to reduce COVID-19 mortality and healthcare demand. *Imperial College COVID-19 Response Team Report*. https://doi.org/10.25561/77482

9. Giordano, G., Blanchini, F., Bruno, R., Colaneri, P., Di Filippo, A., Di Matteo, A., & Colaneri, M. (2020). Modelling the COVID-19 epidemic and implementation of population-wide interventions in Italy. *Nature Medicine*, 26, 855–860. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-0883-7

10. Grantz, K. H., Meredith, H. R., Cummings, D. A., Metcalf, C. J., Grenfell, B. T., Giles, J. R., ... & Lessler, J. (2020). The use of mobile phone data to inform analysis of COVID-19 pandemic epidemiology. *Nature Communications*, 11, 4961. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-18190-5

11. Hellewell, J., Abbott, S., Gimma, A., et al. (2020). Feasibility of controlling COVID-19 outbreaks by isolation of cases and contacts. *The Lancet Global Health*, 8(4), e488–e496.https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(20)30074-7

12. Holmdahl, I., & Buckee, C. (2020). Wrong but useful What COVID-19 epidemiologic models can and cannot tell us. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 383(4),303–305.https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp2016822

13. Keeling, M. J., & Rohani, P. (2021). Estimating the effects of non-pharmaceutical interventions on COVID-19 in Europe. *Nature*, 584(7820), 257–261. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2405-7

14. Kissler, S. M., Tedijanto, C., Goldstein, E., Grad, Y. H., & Lipsitch, M. (2020). Projecting the transmission dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 through the postpandemic period. *Science*, 368(6493), 860–868. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abb5793

15. Li, R., Pei, S., Chen, B., Song, Y., Zhang, T., Yang, W., & Shaman, J. (2020). Substantial undocumented infection facilitates the rapid dissemination of novel coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2). *Science*, 368(6490), 489–493. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abb3221

16. Mamta. (2019). A study on Math Rotter model for acknowledgement of intellectual with technology: Exploring the impact of Math Rotter model and technology on emotions and relationships in robotics. *Journal of Advances and Scholarly Researches in Allied Education, 16*(9), 1319-1326. Ignited Minds Journals. E-ISSN: 2230-7540.https://ignited.in/index.php/jasrae/article/download/12395/24594/61448?inline=1

17. Mamta, & Tiwari, S. (2020). Mathematical model for allocation of vaccine to early mitigation of pandemic COVID-19. *Turkish Journal of Computer and Mathematics Education, 11*(3), 1046-1052. https://turcomat.org/index.php/turkbilmat/article/view/12537/9078

18. Mamta. (2023). *COVID-19 vaccine allocation is an adaptive model based on machine learning*. *International Journal of Research and Analytical Reviews*, *10*(2), Article IJRAR23B4701. https://www.ijrar.org/paper_detail.php?paper_id=IJRAR23B4701

19. Mamta. (2021). *Human psychology and related mathematical scientific activities*. *Flusser Studies*, *Flusser Studies (1661-5719), Volume-30, 2021*, Article E1. https://www.flusserstudies.net/.

20. Moore, S., Hill, E. M., Tildesley, M. J., Dyson, L., & Keeling, M. J. (2021). Vaccination and non-pharmaceutical interventions for COVID-19: A mathematical modelling study. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*, 21(6), 793–802. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(21)00143-2

21. Prem, K., Liu, Y., Russell, T. W., et al. (2020). The effect of control strategies to reduce social mixing on outcomes of the COVID-19 epidemic in Wuhan, China: A modelling study. *The Lancet Public Health*, 5(5), e261–e270. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-2667(20)30073-6

22. Srivastava, A., Xu, T., & Prasanna, V. K. (2020). Fast and accurate forecasting of COVID-19 deaths using the SIkJα model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2007.05180*. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2007.05180

23. Verity, R., Okell, L. C., Dorigatti, I., Winskill, P., Whittaker, C., Imai, N., ... & Ferguson, N. M. (2020). Estimates of the severity of coronavirus disease 2019: A model-based analysis. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*, 20(6), 669–677. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30243-7

24. Zhou, T., Ji, Y., Lv, Q., & Eubank, S. (2021). Multi-scale modeling of the COVID-19 pandemic: A review. *Journal of Safety Science and Resilience*, 2(1), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnlssr.2020.12.004