

---

## The Evolution of Female Archetypes in Biblical and Post-Biblical Literature

**Dr. A. Banupriya**

Assistant Professor, Department of English, Vels Institute of Science Technology and Advanced Studies.

**Dr. H. Kalaivani**

Assistant Professor, Department of English, Vels Institute of Science Technology and Advanced Studies.

---

DOI : <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17636800>

---

### ARTICLE DETAILS

#### Research Paper

Accepted: 25-10-2025

Published: 10-11-2025

#### Keywords:

*Gender, biblical interpretation, sex/gender, complementarity, patriarchy, intersectionality, feminist biblical criticism, queer biblical hermeneutics, identity, masculinity, femininity*

### ABSTRACT

This article explores the intersection of gender theory and biblical interpretation, articulating how the scriptures-both the Hebrew Bible (Old Testament) and the New Testament-engage with gender, sex, identity, and power. It begins by locating key theoretical categories (gender, sex, identity, complementarity, hierarchy, intersectionality) and shows how recent scholarship brings them to bear on biblical texts. Next it traces pivotal biblical texts and themes: creation (e.g. Genesis 1-3), roles of men and women in Israel (e.g. prophets, queens, priestly systems), Pauline texts (e.g. Galatians 3:28), and the fluidity or disruption of gender categories (e.g. prophetic metaphors of God, and linguistic usages). The article then assesses how modern gender theory-especially feminist, queer, and intersectional approaches-relates to biblical studies and offers fresh readings of the Bible's gendered world. Finally, it discusses hermeneutical implications for teaching, faith communities, and theological reflection in the twenty-first century.

---

### Introduction

The interplay of gender and the Bible has become one of the vibrant frontiers in biblical studies. Historically, interpretations of scripture often assumed a binary, hierarchical model of gender and sexuality: man/woman, male/female, with fixed roles. But as gender theory has developed-first through feminist scholarship, then with queer and intersectional perspectives-the question of *how* the Bible



constructs, reflects, contests, or subverts gender categories has taken centre stage. As scholars observe, gender theory matters in biblical interpretation.

This article aims to map how the Bible engages gender, the theoretical frameworks used by scholars, and how one might read key texts in light of contemporary understandings of gender, identity, and power. My goal is not to produce a systematic theology of gender but to offer a synthetic, accessible account for students and scholars alike-particularly relevant to my work in American literature and critical theory, where the movement between text and identity is familiar.

### **Defining Gender Theory in the Biblical Context**

In modern gender theory, “sex” often refers to biological categories (male/female), while “gender” refers to the social, cultural, and performative aspects of being male-or-female (or other categories). The biblical texts, of course, do not always make this distinction explicitly, but the two dynamics are present and intertwined. Contemporary scholarship emphasizes that gender categories are shaped by social, cultural, and historical practices. This insight invites us to ask how the Bible’s world-ancient Israel, early Christianity-constructed gender and how those constructions influence interpretation.

Gender does not operate in isolation. It is mediated by class, ethnicity, slavery/freedom, age, and other social markers. For example, the Pauline claim in Galatians 3:28: “There is neither Jew nor Greek, slave nor free, male nor female...” touches on multiple dimensions of identity. Many religious traditions deploy a complementarity model (male + female = whole) but also a hierarchical model (male > female). Gender theory invites us to critique the assumptions of “natural order” and to ask what power dynamics are embedded in texts. As one article argues, the Bible consistently discusses gender equality from a biblical-theological perspective.

Theologians working in gender theory caution that interpreting the Bible through gender requires awareness of one’s own assumptions and the modern categories we bring to the text. By keeping these in view, we can proceed to examine how the Bible handles gender, what is affirmed, what is contested, and how modern readings can open new possibilities.

### **Creation Narratives and the Foundations of Gender (Genesis 1-3)**

The opening chapters of Genesis provide the bedrock for many theological discussions of gender, sex, identity, and human nature.

Implications and ambivalences:



1. On one hand, the image-of-God (*imago Dei*) applies to humankind *before* the division of male/female, in some readings, indicating that gender distinction is not the locus of divine image but rather that both participate. Some scholars draw on this to argue that gender hierarchies are not theologically grounded.
2. On the other hand, the text immediately moves into distinct roles (“be fruitful, multiply, fill the earth”), which introduces gender differentiation and procreation as normative. Also, the subsequent narrative (Genesis 2-3) introduces the fall, the curse, the differences of labour, pain in childbearing, and relational dynamics (the man and the woman).
3. Hence, the creation narratives provide both resources for equality and loci of tension: difference becomes linked to blame, pain, hierarchy (woman as helper, man as naming, etc.).

From a gender theory perspective, one might ask how Genesis constructs gender difference and whether it naturalizes a certain binary or hierarchy. Does the description of woman as *ezer kenegdo* (helper corresponding to) indicate equality or subordination? Scholars increasingly read these texts with awareness that the ancient categories of gender and role differ from modern categories. For instance, a study of translation and gender points out that Ptolemaic Jewish philosopher Philo of Alexandria saw gender less as physical sex and more as philosophical categories (continuum rather than strict binary). Therefore, reading Genesis through gender theory invites us to ask: How did ancient Israelite society *construct* gender roles? To what extent did theological anthropology (human created in God’s image) subvert or reinforce prevailing gender norms?

My reading: I would argue that Genesis offers a *primary horizon* of equality (both male and female in God’s image) but the subsequent narrative shows how gender difference is cast into relational, sexual, procreative, labour-based, and hierarchical models-models that gender theory invites us to critique rather than accept unreflectively.

### **Gender, Power, and Roles in the Hebrew Bible**

Moving beyond Genesis, biblical Israel’s texts reflect a complex interplay of gender, power, and social roles. Women prophets, queens, and agents: Women such as Deborah (Judges 4-5), Huldah (2 Kings 22), and queens like Esther show that women in the Bible did exercise significant religious and political power. Yet these cases often sit alongside prescriptive texts that limit women’s leadership or articulate male headship.



Biblical law and narrative frequently regulate women's sexuality, marriage, childbirth, and widowhood. For example, the ritual of the *sotah* (Numbers 5:11-31) has been studied as "gender-based and sexual violence" in marriage. Gender theory invites us to ask: How do these texts enforce or challenge patriarchal control? Are they descriptive of lived practice, prescriptive ideal, or rhetorical strategy?

God's gendered language and metaphors: While God is predominantly addressed with masculine pronouns and metaphors (Father, King), there are also feminine images (e.g., God as mother, hen gathering chicks). The gendered language of the divine has implications for how gender is constructed in human-divine relations. As Corrine Carvalho argues, scriptural images of God's gendered language can inform contemporary understandings of gender fluidity.

Gender structure and equality issues: The paper "Gender Structure (Biblical Perspective on Gender Equality)" concludes that the Bible "consistently discusses the principle of gender equality"-at least at the level of created human being-but that gender equality is challenged by culture and history.

Critical reflections from gender theory: Gender theory alerts us to the possibility that biblical texts may reproduce patriarchal ideology, and that reading them uncritically can reinforce gendered injustice. Conversely, it opens the possibility of *reading against the grain*, finding subversive strands, marginalized voices, and alternative imaginaries of gender. For example, the narrative of Deborah disrupts normative expectations of female passivity.

The Hebrew Bible thus presents multiple gender-constellations: egalitarian creation, hierarchical role assignment, female agency, male privilege, liminal figures. A gender-theoretical reading pays attention to the social construction of gender roles, the power dynamics at play, and how the biblical texts may offer both complicity and critique of dominant gender ideologies.

### **Gender in the New Testament: Pauline Texts and the Christ Event**

The New Testament inherits the gender world of the Hebrew Bible but also introduces new dynamics through the Christ event, the early Christian movement, and Pauline writing.

Role of women in early church: Texts such as 1 Timothy 2:11-15 (women to learn quietly, not teach or have authority) have been hotly debated. The article "Gender studies and biblical interpretation: (How) Does theory matter?" uses 1 Timothy 2:8-15 to argue that deploying gender theory can bring nuance to interpretation.



Christ's challenge to gender norms: The Gospels present Jesus interacting with women in ways that cross social boundaries (e.g., the Samaritan woman at the well, John 4; Mary and Martha, Luke 10:38-42; the women disciples at the tomb). In doing so, the Gospels present a kingdom vision where gender norms are disrupted. For example, Jesus addresses his followers as "brothers and sisters" (e.g., Matthew 23:8; Mark 3:35) which can indicate a communal identity beyond male/female division.

Gender-inclusive language and translation: Scholars of translation note how gendered language in biblical texts has been rendered into modern languages, often carrying assumptions of gender norms. For example, the "human" in the Septuagint and the continuum concept of gender in Philo. From a gender-theoretical perspective, translation becomes a site of power and ideology: how one translates "brothers" versus "brothers and sisters" matters for gendered inclusion.

### **Implications from gender theory:**

- The concept of *new creation* in Christ may suggest a transformed or transcended gender order, but not necessarily a dissolution of difference.
- Pauline texts that appear to restrict women can be read in light of context (cultural, rhetorical, ecclesial) and the cross-cultural concerns of the early church.
- Gender-theoretical reading invites looking at who has authority, how gender functions in ecclesial power, how identity in Christ relates to gendered identity. The New Testament offers a richer terrain for gender reading: equality in Christ, role tensions, disruption of norms, and translation issues. Gender theory encourages bringing to the text questions about power, identity, and difference rather than assuming gender neutrality.

### **Modern Gender Theory and Biblical Interpretation**

It is insufficient to merely apply gender theory as a checklist to the Bible; rather, scholarship argues that theory *matters*. The article by Jeremy Punt demonstrates that biblical scholars benefit from cross-disciplinary gender theory (sociological, anthropological) to engage responsibly with biblical texts.

The foundational work in feminist biblical studies argued that many biblical texts reflect patriarchal sociologies and that women's voices are marginalized. The volume by Esther Fuchs, *Feminist Theory and the Bible: Interrogating the Sources*, maps the terrain of feminist biblical criticism, arguing for the need of theoretical frameworks in the field.



Scholars such as Ken Stone examine the Bible from perspectives of sexuality, gender variance, queerness, and power. This approach asks how biblical texts construct sexual/gender normativity and how readings might expose alternative possibilities.

Gender theory today emphasises how gender intersects with other axes of identity (race, class, ethnicity, disability). In biblical interpretation this invites reading texts that address women, slaves, foreigners, and the oppressed together. For example, Galatians 3:28 points to multiple social markers, not only gender.

Gender theory warns against assuming fixed, universal, immutable gender traits (gender essentialism). In the biblical world, one might ask: were gender roles fixed? How did cultural context shape them? How much of our modern categories (masculinity/femininity) were foreign to the biblical world?

Methodological reflections: In deploying gender theory, scholars emphasise that one must reflect on one's own horizon (modern categories of gender) and the historical-cultural horizon of the biblical texts. As the Oxford Handbook chapter puts it: The theological study of gender must be rooted in creation while open to the linguistic turn and intersectional critique.

Modern gender theory enriches biblical studies by offering tools to critique gendered power, open up readings of difference and identity, and reflect on the interpreter's own presuppositions. It invites reading the Bible not only *about* gender but *through* gender.

### **Hermeneutical and Theological Implications**

A gender-theoretical reading of the Bible has practical implications for teaching, community, theology, and scholarship. For a professor of literature and biblical studies, incorporating gender theory in courses helps students understand how texts shape identity and power. It encourages critical engagement rather than rote acceptance of gendered roles derived from scripture. Churches and communities grappling with gender, sexuality, and leadership can turn to the Bible with a nuanced lens. For example, recognizing both the creation affirmation of male & female in God's image and the complex realities of gender norms and restrictions invites a more just and inclusive theology of gender.

The imago Dei doctrine (both male and female created in God's image) grounds human dignity irrespective of gender, challenging cultural constructs of superiority/inferiority. But theology must also wrestle with difference: not erasing gender but honouring difference without hierarchy. Gender theory



highlights how biblical texts may reflect or challenge systems of gendered power, including patriarchy, slavery, violence, and exclusion. For instance, reading the *sotah* ritual as gender-based violence invites a pastoral and ethical reflection on marriage, accusation, and power.

As gender categories evolve (non-binary, transgender, intersectional identities), biblical studies must adapt: How do texts respond to or accommodate these categories? What resources do they offer for imagining gender beyond binary or oppressive roles? The article on “gender identity: a religious perspective” suggests the first chapter of the Bible (Genesis) offers a resource for thinking beyond rigid binaries.

## Conclusion

In sum, a gender-theory-informed reading of the Bible invites us to:

- Recognize the theological affirmation of human dignity (male & female in God’s image).
- Identify how gender difference is constructed, lived, and regulated in biblical texts (roles, power, hierarchy).
- Attend to the ways early Christian texts and communities disrupt or negotiate gender norms (Galatians 3:28, female disciples, translation issues).
- Employ modern gender theory (feminist, queer, intersectional) not as external imposition but as hermeneutical tool that enables us to read texts responsibly, critically, and creatively.
- Translate this into teaching, community practice, and theological reflection that respects difference, resists domination, and affirms human flourishing across gender.

For students of literature, theology, and culture-such as those engaging with canonical texts (e.g. Nathaniel Hawthorne’s writings) or social questions in women’s mental health or motherhood-the interplay of gender theory and biblical narrative offers a model of how identity, text, and society interlock. The Bible remains a rich resource: not unproblematic, but full of tension, potential, challenge, and hope.



## Work Cited

- Edwards, Katie & Stiebert, Johanna. “Gender in Biblical Studies: a Brief Overview.” *Dead Sea Discoveries* 26(3) (2019).
- Fuchs, Esther. *Feminist Theory and the Bible: Interrogating the Sources*. Lexington Books / Bloomsbury (2016).
- Punt, Jeremy. “Gender studies and biblical interpretation: (How) Does theory matter?” *African Journal of Gender and Religion* 24(2) (2020).
- Setianto, Yusak. ‘Gender structure’ (Biblical perspective on gender equality).” *Phronesis: Jurnal Teologi dan Misi* 5(1) (2022).
- “Translation and the Construction of Gender in the Bible.” *Journal of Theta Alpha Kappa*.
- Thatcher, Adrian (ed.). *The Oxford Handbook of Theology, Sexuality, and Gender*. (Chapter: “The Theological Study of Gender”).