



The Conscience of a Nation Guru Tegh Bahadur Saheb and the Ethical Architecture of Human Freedom

Assispal Singh Daswal

Student of Political Science at SGTBKC, University of Delhi

DOI : <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17919929>

ARTICLE DETAILS

Research Paper

Accepted: 18-11-2025

Published: 10-12-2025

Keywords:

*Ethical Sovereignty,
Freedom, Human rights,
Martyrdom, Pluralism.*

ABSTRACT

Occupying a singular place in the global history of moral resistance and principled martyrdom, Guru Tegh Bahadur's legacy stands tall even in the 21st century. His execution by the Mughal state was the result of his refusal to allow the coercive homogenisation of the subcontinent's diverse religious life. His sacrifice, rather being a sectarian episode, constitutes a principled defence of human freedom at a moment when coercive imperial policies threatened the subcontinent's pluralistic fabric. Examining the contemporary relevance of Guru Tegh Bahadur's ideals within the frameworks of moral philosophy, political ethics, and human rights discourse, this paper tries to contextualise his intervention within seventeenth-century socio-political dynamics, arguing that the Guru articulated a proto-modern theory of religious liberty and expressive freedom long before such concepts entered formal constitutional vocabularies. Grounded in fearlessness, and ethical universality, his example remains a compelling lens through which to understand the obligations of moral resistance in any era marked by intolerance and ideological coercion.

Introduction

Guru Tegh Bahadur's legacy transcends the boundaries of religious biography and enters the domain of universal ethics, and hence it resists easy categorization. Both he and his martyrdom stood for the elemental human right to believe, express, and exist freely; representing a philosophical position: that the



conscience of a single individual can serve as the final barrier against the encroachment of state-sanctioned oppression. To interpret or label his sacrifice as a religious event obscures the deeper normative logic lying behind it. It was neither accidental nor strategic-Guru saheb's refusal to submit to a coercive imperial decree; rather, it was the culmination of a sustained intellectual journey rooted in a vision of human dignity. Guru situated himself as a custodian of universal conscience-through his martyrdom, which aimed at collective human rights. His opposition of coercion for those whose faith and worldview were doctrinally distinct from his own, is something that makes his stance exceptional. The present study aims to examine the relevance of Guru Saheb's ideals in shaping present-day discourses on human rights, freedom of conscience, and the ethical responsibility of resisting injustice.

Life and Times

Guru Tegh Bahadur was born as Tyag Mal to Guru Hargobind (sixth guru of the sikhs) and Mata Nanki on April 1, 1621 in Amritsar. Young Tegh Bahadur grew up absorbing the demands of both-a life attentive to divine awareness yet unafraid of worldly duty. At the age of thirteen, during the Battle of Kartarpur (1634), he demonstrated extraordinary bravery, earning the title 'Tegh Bahadur' the wielder of a mighty sword. After Guru Hargobind shifted to Kiratpur, Tegh Bahadur entered a long period of self-cultivation. Marrying Mata Gujri in 1633, he spent years in disciplined meditation, scriptural study, and internal refinement. In 1664, following the short tenure of Guru Har Krishan, Tegh Bahadur was anointed as the ninth Guru. His assumption of leadership occurred during a time of socio-political tension. The Mughal Empire, under Aurangzeb, was increasingly centralising authority and scrutinising religious and intellectual identities. Regional elites, spiritual communities, and non-conforming traditions often faced pressure to align with the imperial vision of orthodoxy. Guru Tegh Bahadur travelled extensively across the Indian subcontinent-Punjab, Bengal, Assam, Bihar-strengthening Sikh congregations, engaging with diverse communities, and offering spiritual solace. His travels were not merely missionary journeys; they were acts of ethical presence, reinforcing the idea that spiritual authority must remain accessible to the most distant and marginalised. During this period, he laid the foundation of Anandpur Sahib, which would become a centre of Sikh learning, community, and resilience. Anandpur symbolised not only geographical expansion but the crystallisation of a new ethical community grounded in resistance to oppression and commitment to equality. The defining moment of Guru Tegh Bahadur's life-and one of the most monumental events in world history-came in 1675. A deputation of Kashmiri Pandits approached him, pleading for protection from religious coercion. They were not Sikhs, nor was their cause tied to Sikh institutional interests. The group is led by Pandit Kirpa Ram Dutt, who then briefs the Guru about their state of despair. He looks upon Guru as their only hope.



The faith of their forefathers, which their families have practised for thousands of years, is in jeopardy. Iftikhar Khan, the governor of their homeland, Kashmir, acting on the authority of the mighty Mughal emperor, Aurangzeb, has decreed that they may no longer follow their ancient faith. They are to convert to Islam or be put to the sword (Singh, 2021). The Guru was deeply moved on listening to the stories told by the Brahmins and said that their problems could be solved only if some man of truthfulness and integrity offers himself for sacrifice to save the faith of the Hindus (Chawla, 1991). It was left to him to instill confidence among his own people and the Hindus, who had now begun to look to the Sikhs to protect them from the tyranny of officials (Singh, 1977). He said to the Pandits, 'Go and tell the Emperor that all of you shall embrace Islam, if he is able to make Guru Tegh Bahadur embrace Islam' (Singh, 1891). On 11 July 1675 the Guru set out for Delhi (Singh, 2021). He was apprehended, along with a band of devotees, at Agra, brought to Delhi, and arraigned before the Kazi's court (Singh, 1977). A series of dialogue followed and Aurangzeb was convinced that the Guru would not embrace Islam. Tegh Bahadur was sentenced to death and executed on 11 November 1675. Before his body could be quartered and exposed to public view, it was stolen under cover of darkness by one of his devotees. The Guru's body was cremated a few miles from the place of execution; the head was brought to Anandpur and cremated by Tegh Bahadur's son Gobind (Singh, 1977).

The Socio-Political Milieu: Coercive Orthodoxy and the Crisis of Pluralism

An unmistakable intensification of orthodoxy in imperialism was witnessed in the later Mughal period. A shift was seen from a system marked by degrees of accommodation toward an insistence on uniformity that challenged the autonomy of several religious and cultural communities. Even the heavens shook with the terror created by Aurangzeb (Singh, 1967). The anxiety of Kashmiri Pandits was symptomatic of a broader confrontation between imperial absolutism and religious pluralism. Within this context, rather being just another dissenter, Guru Tegh Bahadur's presence was a counter-sovereign ethic. Practices like justice, equality, and the indivisibility of human dignity, has long been a part of Sikh intellectual tradition, which was shaped by earlier gurus. Inheriting and expanding this tradition by confronting the state's attempt to impose doctrinal uniformity Guru Saheb's stance stood as not a political calculus but from a principled recognition of what was at stake: the freedom of conscience as the foundation of any just society. Not merely representing just a community, he represented the principle that no authority may invade the sanctity of inward belief.

*The Martyrdom: An Ethical Intervention of Global Significance*

Articulating a moral argument through action, Guru Tegh Bahadur's martyrdom functions as a philosophical text. It is to diminish its intellectual depth-to see it just as a religious act, rather it was an act that stood for the collective good of all. Recognising that to submit would be to concede the principle that conscience can be compelled-through his act he refused to legitimize coercion even symbolically. Historical accounts consistently emphasise that the Guru was fully aware of the consequences of his defiance. His sacrifice was not the culmination of confrontation but the culmination of contemplation. The martyrdom of the Guru signifies an important stage in the evolution of human sensibility which distances political authority from freedom of faith (Chawla, 1991). He understood that the moral fabric of Indian society would suffer irreparably if the state succeeded in coercing vulnerable communities into ideological submission. Thus, Guru Tegh Bahadur's martyrdom becomes an ethical bulwark- an act of deliberate resistance intended to preserve a civilisational commitment to plurality.

The Relevance of Guru Tegh Bahadur's Ideals in Contemporary Human Rights Discourses

Occupying a unique position in contemporary moral and political theory, Guru Tegh Bahadur's ideals embody philosophical positions that predate yet parallel the core commitments of modern human rights frameworks. It can be said that his martyrdom is not a historical relic but an enduring normative resource that illuminates the ethical obligations of societies navigating coercion, ideological dominance, and challenges to individual freedoms.

Philosophical Foundations of Religious Freedom: The Guru's Proto-Modern Human Rights Vision

Modern discourse conceptualises freedom of religion and conscience as foundational rights essential for a pluralistic society. If analysed deeply, Guru Tegh Bahadur's life demonstrates an intuitive grasp of these ideas at a time when they had not been codified in any constitutional form. His insistence that no authority can compel inward belief represents an early articulation of what would later be formalised in documents such as the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. The martyrdom of Guru Tegh Bahadur has a multidimensional significance (Chawla, 1991). It was not an incident that helped safeguard the religious and philosophical beliefs of the Hindus, rather it was a message to the upcoming Sikh rule that this is what Guru Nanak's idea of Sikhism stands for-the religious, cultural and socio-political freedom of all; no matter if their faith aligns with that of yours or not, you must always be there to stand against any injustice-no matter who the oppressor or the oppressed is. The Guru's stand redefines the boundaries of religious identity by acknowledging that faith is intrinsically voluntary. Any "belief"-for him-if



extracted through fear becomes a mere performance, devoid of spiritual integrity and moral worth. In this sense, he perceived religious coercion not just as injustice but as a philosophical impossibility—a violation of conscience that invalidates the very substance of faith. If observed keenly, Guru Tegh Bahadur’s martyrdom aligns with, yet predates, Enlightenment-era arguments concerning individual autonomy. This episode reflects that the roots of religious liberty in South Asia were not derivative but indigenous, grounded in its own ethical traditions.

Ethical Responsibility Toward the “Other”: A Radical Universalism

One of the most distinctive elements of Guru Tegh Bahadur’s legacy lies in his unwavering commitment to the rights of communities with whom he shared no theological affinity. This is something more than compassion; it is an ethical revolution. It can be said that his martyrdom is the most earliest and clearest assertion of what moral philosophers later termed ethical universalism: the belief that the dignity of the “other” is not separate from one’s own moral obligations; in fact there exist no “other”—all transcend from the same divine light. Hence, he defended a principle, not an identity. His willingness to help the harassed Pandits was an integral part of his creative vision (Chawla, 1991). Included in his vision was an expanded conception of justice—one that does not require common ancestry, doctrine, or culture. It suggests a moral imagination capable of seeing the self in the other, and the other within the self. This universality is rare in the history of martyrdoms, which are often anchored in intra-group solidarity. Guru Tegh Bahadur’s sacrifice stands apart precisely because it is altruistic without being reciprocal, universal without being abstract. It is this ethical move that destabilizes any parochial reading of his martyrdom. Instead, establishes him as a thinker whose moral universe embraces all humanity and anticipates the inclusive vision required in diverse societies today.

Courage in Moments of Silencing: Defining Resistance as a Moral Imperative

Contemporary societies—although may not witness the same overt authoritarian practices of the seventeenth century, often confront more refined and covert mechanisms that constrain free expression—social pressures, cultural conformity, and unspoken boundaries of permissible speech. Guru Tegh Bahadur’s life speaks directly to these subtler forms of suppression. He teaches that the defence of free expression is a moral responsibility—not just a mere political activity. It becomes crucial at the precise moment when silence appears safest. In fact, if observed, the Guru not only teaches these ideals rather himself works on them—his refusal to submit even when submission might have spared his life establishes that certain principles must be defended regardless of personal cost. His martyrdom—if understood



philosophically-presents a theory of moral agency wherein conscience cannot be compromised without spiritual consequences.

Keeper of the Secular Flame

During the Emergency, the Forty-second Amendment in 1976, involving various articles, brought two key principles of the Indian idea, hitherto implicit rather than explicit, formally into the Preamble of the Constitution—‘Socialist and Secular’ (Tharoor, 2025). The martyrdom of Guru Tegh Bahadur is the most convincing argument in favour of secularism (Chawla, 1991). His act of sacrificing his own life, back then in 1675, for the religious freedom of Kashmiri Brahmins—a community whose beliefs he did not share, becomes the strongest possible demonstration that the defence of another’s faith is the highest form of one’s own moral integrity. This act embodies in itself the essence of a secular order: the recognition that freedom of belief is universal, indivisible, and not contingent upon the identity of the person whose rights are under threat. Unlike philosophical treatises or constitutional clauses, his martyrdom represents a lived argument, a concrete historical demonstration of secularism as an ethical imperative. His sacrifice, in many ways, anticipates the modern understanding of secular democracy. The uniqueness of his resistance lies in the fact that it was not against a particular ruler rather it was against the idea that any authority-political or religious-can prescribe uniformity of belief. It is this ethical dimension of his martyrdom—that the diversity of spiritual identities is not a weakness to be controlled but a fundamental human condition to be protected—that makes his martyrdom the most convincing argument in favour of secularism as it demonstrates that the safeguarding of freedom requires sacrifice, conviction, and an unwavering commitment to justice. When viewed against contemporary realities, his example becomes even more significant. The social fabric at times reflects anxieties around identity, difference, and ideological disagreement—even as if the Indian nation state today formally embraces secularism. These tensions at times manifest through subtle pressures like that of cultural conformity, social polarisation, suspicion of dissent, and growing discomfort with plurality. In such an environment, Guru Tegh Bahadur’s martyrdom functions as a moral mirror—the one which reminds us that the greatness of a society lies not in its capacity to enforce uniformity but in its ability to protect those who stand outside dominant frameworks. Drawing inspiration from his sacrifice means cultivating an ethic of active secularism—one that does not stop at tolerance but extends to the conscious defence of the vulnerable. His life compels us -at times-to question whether our public sphere remains spacious enough to accommodate disagreement, whether our civic culture still values diversity of belief, and whether we possess the moral stamina to defend the rights of others even when no direct advantage is at stake. His sacrifice is thus not simply a historical event but an enduring moral argument—one that continues to



illuminate the secular spirit of India and challenges every generation to rise to the ethical responsibilities that such a vision demands.

Guru Tegh Bahadur in the Global Intellectual History

The legacy of Guru Tegh Bahadur-if revisited in broader historical lens-reveals that it is not confined to the Sikh tradition or the subcontinent, rather it belongs to a global archive of moral resistance. When situated alongside other world figures who defended conscience against coercion, his martyrdom gains new dimensions of significance. The philosophical origins of human rights are often conventionally attributed to the European Enlightenment thinkers. However, this narrative is complicated in certain ways when viewed through the ethical positions articulated by Guru Tegh Bahadur as it presents to us a fully formed, indigenous articulation of liberty centuries earlier. Demonstrating that the defence of conscience and religious autonomy did not emerge in a vacuum, nor solely from European intellectual traditions-his sacrifice highlights that South Asia produced its own theorists and practitioners of human rights grounded in spiritual philosophy, ethical reasoning, and moral practice. This makes Guru Tegh Bahadur stand as a foundational figure in a global, polycentric genealogy of rights-one in which non-Western intellectuals did not merely echo European ideas but contributed original frameworks and lived demonstrations of universal dignity. Guru Tegh Bahadur's significance lies not only in his resistance to oppression but also in the ways he did so. His approach embodies four universal ethical qualities that resonate across cultural and historical boundaries:

1. Altruistic Motivation – He acted for others without seeking any personal or communal gain.
2. Moral Absoluteness – He refused to compromise on principles even under extreme duress.
3. Non-Violent Assertion – His resistance was grounded in moral force, not physical retaliation.
4. Universal Dignity – He affirmed the inherent worth of all human beings regardless of religious identity.

The Guru through his martyrdom has provided a template for resistance rooted in dignity, not destruction; conviction, not animosity; universality, not tribalism. This is what makes him a transcivilizational figure, whose relevance exceeds geographical and temporal boundaries. His martyrdom persists as a part of humanity's collective moral memory. Such events-like the one of his martyrdom-shape the ethical consciousness of societies far beyond their immediate contexts.



Conclusion

In essence, the life and martyrdom of Guru Tegh Bahadur reveals a moral and political vision that remains foundational to any meaningful understanding of human rights and freedom of expression-both in India and across the globe. His defence in favour of the rights of those who belonged to a tradition different than himself, demonstrates an unparalleled commitment to the universality of human dignity and the sanctity of individual conscience. His principle of philosophical universalism and his readiness to embrace death rather than compromise collectively create one of the strongest moral arguments for a secular and humanist order rooted in compassion and justice rather than mere coexistence. From this entire discussion, we can conclude that Guru Tegh Bahadur's Martyrdom is not only a mere historical event but rather a continuing ethical blueprint for contemporary India. It affirms that secularism and defence of human freedom is a lived commitment requiring courage in defence of others, vigilance against coercion, and an unwavering respect for plurality. His legacy stands as a reminder that the strength of a nation lies in protecting the freedom of every individual, and that his example remains a guiding light for sustaining India's moral and constitutional fabric.

References

- Tharoor, S. (2025). *Our Living Constitution A Concise Introduction and Commentary*, Aleph Book Company.
- Singh, K. (1977). *A History of the Sikhs Volume 1: 1469-1839*, Oxford University Press.
- Singh, S. (2021). *The story of the Sikhs 1469-1708*, Penguin Random House, India.
- Chawla, S. (1991). *Martyrdom of Guru Tegh Bahadur Message for Mankind*, Harmony Publishing House.
- Singh, G. (1891). *Twarikh Guru Khalsa*. Sialkot.