



Integrating Sustainability and Marketing: Perspectives from Ecotourism Practices

Mr Raghavendra Kulkarni

Research Scholar, Karnataka State Open University, Email: raghuvk1984@gmail.com

Dr. Siraj Basha R

Assistant Professor, Dept. of Management, Karnataka State Open University,

Email: sirajbasha.pg@gmail.com

DOI : <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18220535>

ARTICLE DETAILS

Research Paper

Accepted: 20-12-2025

Published: 10-01-2026

Keywords:

ABSTRACT

Sustainable marketing has emerged as a critical strategy for promoting ecotourism while balancing environmental, social, and economic objectives. This study examines the integration of sustainability principles into marketing practices, with special reference to ecotourism destinations in North Karnataka. The research focuses on key dimensions of sustainable marketing, including green branding, eco-labels, digital promotion, community engagement, and policy support. By reviewing global and regional literature, industry reports, and policy documents, the study identifies current trends, challenges, and opportunities in the sector. Findings reveal that global ecotourism marketing research and industry growth are rapidly expanding, with increasing emphasis on digital strategies and sustainability communication. North Karnataka, with its diverse forests, wildlife, heritage sites, and community-based initiatives, shows significant potential for implementing sustainability-driven marketing strategies. However, challenges such as limited awareness of eco-certifications, inconsistent sustainability indicators, and partial digital adoption persist. Based on these insights, the study proposes a conceptual framework integrating sustainability and marketing perspectives to enhance destination credibility, tourist satisfaction, and community



benefits. The study offers actionable recommendations for policymakers, tourism authorities, and practitioners to strengthen sustainable marketing practices and supports future research aimed at empirically evaluating their effectiveness in the North Karnataka context.

Introduction:

The growing environmental degradation, climate change, and loss of biodiversity have intensified the global emphasis on sustainability across industries, including tourism. As one of the fastest-growing segments of the tourism industry, ecotourism has emerged as a sustainable alternative that promotes environmental conservation, community well-being, and responsible travel behaviour. However, the long-term success of ecotourism initiatives depends not only on sustainable practices but also on how effectively these practices are communicated and delivered to tourists through appropriate marketing strategies.

Marketing plays a crucial role in shaping tourist perceptions, influencing destination choice, and fostering environmentally responsible behaviour. In the context of ecotourism, traditional marketing approaches are increasingly being replaced by sustainable marketing strategies that integrate environmental, social, and economic considerations into marketing decisions. Sustainable marketing in ecotourism goes beyond promotional activities and encompasses product design, pricing strategies, distribution channels, and communication efforts that align with sustainability principles. This integration enables ecotourism destinations and enterprises to create value for tourists while minimizing negative environmental impacts and contributing to local community development.

Despite the growing recognition of sustainability-oriented marketing practices, existing research on ecotourism reveals a fragmented understanding of how sustainability and marketing are integrated in practice. Prior studies often focus on isolated aspects such as green consumer behaviour, eco-labels, or environmental attitudes, without providing a holistic perspective on the strategic integration of sustainability within marketing frameworks. Moreover, the perspectives of key stakeholders—including tourists, destination managers, local communities, and policymakers—are often examined independently, resulting in limited theoretical and practical integration.

In recent years, increasing competition among ecotourism destinations, heightened environmental awareness among tourists, and the rise of digital marketing platforms have further transformed the



marketing landscape of ecotourism. These developments necessitate a comprehensive synthesis of existing knowledge to understand how sustainability-driven marketing practices are being conceptualized, implemented, and evaluated across different ecotourism contexts. A consolidated review of the literature can offer valuable insights into dominant themes, emerging trends, and unresolved research gaps in this evolving field.

Against this backdrop, the present study aims to review and synthesize existing literature on the integration of sustainability and marketing in the ecotourism sector. By examining diverse perspectives from ecotourism practices, this paper seeks to identify key sustainable marketing approaches, assess their implications for stakeholders, and highlight future research directions. The study contributes to the literature by offering a structured understanding of how sustainability and marketing intersect in ecotourism, thereby providing theoretical insights for researchers and practical guidance for destination managers and policymakers striving to promote sustainable tourism development.

The Meaning and Concept of Ecotourism Practices:

To understand the integration of sustainability and marketing, one must first clarify the domain in which this integration occurs. "Ecotourism" is a term that has evolved from a niche concept to a mainstream aspiration, often used interchangeably with nature tourism, sustainable tourism, or responsible travel. However, for academic and practical clarity, a more precise definition is required.

This paper adopts the widely cited definition by The International Ecotourism Society (TIES, 2015): "**responsible travel to natural areas that conserves the environment, sustains the well-being of the local people, and involves interpretation and education.**" This definition encapsulates the three core pillars of ecotourism: **conservation, community, and interpretation.**

However, a definition alone is insufficient to operationalize the concept for research or management. The term "**practices**" shifts the focus from abstract principles to tangible actions, behaviors, and processes implemented by ecotourism enterprises. Ecotourism practices, therefore, refer to the **concrete, observable measures and operational routines undertaken by a tourism business to fulfill the triple goals of environmental protection, socio-economic benefit for local communities, and educational enrichment for visitors.**

To systematize this concept, ecotourism practices can be categorized into three interdependent domains:

1. Environmental Conservation Practices:



These are actions aimed at minimizing negative ecological impact and actively contributing to the preservation of natural heritage. They include, but are not limited to:

- **Resource Management:** Implementing waste reduction, water harvesting, renewable energy use, and strict recycling programs.
- **Biodiversity Protection:** Limiting group sizes, establishing non-intrusive viewing protocols, contributing financially to conservation areas (e.g., via park fees), and supporting wildlife research.
- **Low-Impact Infrastructure:** Using locally-sourced, sustainable building materials; designing structures that blend with the landscape; and employing eco-friendly sanitation systems.

2. Socio-Economic Community Practices:

These practices ensure that tourism generates tangible benefits for local populations, fostering equitable development and cultural respect.

- **Local Employment & Capacity Building:** Prioritizing the hiring and training of local residents in both operational and managerial roles.
- **Local Procurement:** Sourcing goods, food, and services from local suppliers and producers to maximize economic retention within the community.
- **Cultural Engagement & Respect:** Facilitating genuine, respectful visitor interactions with local cultures on terms set by the community, and supporting the preservation of cultural heritage.
- **Community Ownership & Revenue-Sharing:** Involving communities in decision-making and ensuring a direct share of tourism revenues supports local development projects.

3. Educational & Interpretive Practices:

This is the distinguishing feature that separates ecotourism from mere nature tourism. It involves transforming a visit into a learning experience that fosters environmental awareness and pro-conservation attitudes.

- **Guided Interpretation:** Employing knowledgeable guides to explain ecosystem functions, conservation challenges, and cultural histories.



- **Pre- and Post-Visit Education:** Providing materials that prepare visitors for appropriate behavior and reinforce learning after the trip.
- **Experiential Learning:** Designing activities that allow visitors to participate in conservation (e.g., tree planting, citizen science data collection) or cultural practices.

Importance of Sustainable Marketing in Ecotourism Practices:

1. Promotes Environmental Conservation

Sustainable marketing communicates responsible tourism practices, encourages eco-friendly behaviour among tourists, and supports conservation of natural resources at ecotourism destinations.

2. Enhances Tourist Awareness and Responsible Behaviour

Through green communication and ethical branding, sustainable marketing educates tourists about environmental protection, cultural sensitivity, and responsible travel choices.

3. Builds Trust and Destination Credibility

Transparent and ethical marketing practices reduce greenwashing and enhance the credibility of ecotourism destinations, fostering long-term trust among environmentally conscious tourists.

4. Improves Destination Competitiveness

Ecotourism destinations adopting sustainable marketing gain a competitive advantage by differentiating themselves through eco-certifications, sustainability narratives, and responsible branding.

5. Supports Local Community Development

Sustainable marketing highlights community-based tourism initiatives, promotes local products and services, and ensures economic benefits reach host communities.

6. Encourages Long-Term Business Sustainability

By aligning marketing strategies with sustainability goals, ecotourism enterprises ensure long-term profitability while minimizing ecological and social risks.



7. Facilitates Policy Implementation and Compliance

Marketing sustainability practices help destinations align with government regulations, global sustainability standards, and international tourism frameworks.

8. Responds to Changing Consumer Preferences

With increasing environmental awareness, sustainable marketing meets the expectations of modern tourists who prefer eco-friendly and socially responsible tourism options.

9. Strengthens Stakeholder Engagement

Sustainable marketing integrates the interests of tourists, destination managers, policymakers, and local communities, ensuring balanced and inclusive ecotourism development.

10. Promotes Ethical and Responsible Brand Image

By embedding sustainability into marketing strategies, ecotourism destinations project an ethical image that supports long-term brand value and loyalty.

Review of Literature:

1. Concept of Ecotourism and Sustainability

Ecotourism is widely recognized as a form of responsible tourism that emphasizes environmental conservation, community participation, and sustainable development. Weaver (2001) defines ecotourism as nature-based tourism that is managed in a way that minimizes environmental impact while contributing to local economic development. Similarly, the World Tourism Organization (UNWTO, 2018) highlights ecotourism as a strategic tool to achieve the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly those related to environmental protection and inclusive growth.

Several studies emphasize that sustainability in ecotourism extends beyond environmental conservation to include social equity and economic viability (Bramwell & Lane, 2011; Weaver, 2006). These dimensions collectively form the foundation upon which ecotourism practices are designed and evaluated.



2. Sustainable Marketing and Green Marketing in Tourism

Sustainable marketing refers to marketing practices that integrate environmental and social considerations into traditional marketing strategies to create long-term value for both consumers and society (Belz & Peattie, 2012). In the tourism context, sustainable marketing plays a critical role in communicating eco-friendly values and influencing responsible tourist behaviour.

Peattie and Crane (2005) argue that green marketing should move beyond symbolic promotion to genuine sustainability-oriented practices to avoid greenwashing. Font and McCabe (2017) further highlight that sustainability marketing in tourism involves balancing ethical responsibility with market competitiveness, especially in environmentally sensitive destinations.

3. Role of Marketing in Ecotourism Practices

Marketing is a key determinant of destination choice and tourist perception in ecotourism. Dolnicar et al. (2008) note that environmentally conscious tourists are more likely to choose destinations that clearly communicate sustainable practices through marketing channels. Effective sustainable marketing strategies help build destination credibility, trust, and loyalty among tourists.

Kotler et al. (2017) emphasize that digital platforms and storytelling have become powerful tools in promoting sustainability-oriented brands. In ecotourism, marketing strategies increasingly focus on digital engagement, eco-labels, and experiential narratives to differentiate destinations and enhance competitiveness (Font & McCabe, 2017).

4. Tourist Behaviour and Environmental Awareness

Tourist environmental awareness is a significant factor influencing the success of sustainable marketing strategies in ecotourism. Studies show that tourists with higher environmental consciousness are more receptive to sustainable marketing messages and are willing to pay a premium for eco-friendly tourism products (Dolnicar et al., 2008).

Bansal and Roth (2000) suggest that consumer ecological responsiveness plays a mediating role between sustainability initiatives and behavioural outcomes. This highlights the importance of aligning marketing strategies with tourists' environmental values to encourage responsible travel behaviour.



5. Stakeholder Perspectives in Ecotourism Marketing

Ecotourism involves multiple stakeholders, including destination managers, local communities, policymakers, and tourists. Bramwell and Lane (2011) emphasize that sustainable tourism governance requires coordinated efforts among stakeholders to ensure balanced development. Marketing strategies that incorporate community participation and local narratives contribute to inclusive growth and cultural preservation.

UNWTO (2018) further notes that policy support and regulatory frameworks enhance the effectiveness of sustainable marketing practices by aligning them with global sustainability standards and ethical tourism principles.

6. Research Gaps Identified in Existing Literature

Despite growing research interest, the literature reveals several gaps. Many studies examine sustainability and marketing in isolation, resulting in limited understanding of their integrated application in ecotourism practices (Font & McCabe, 2017). Empirical studies from developing countries, particularly India, remain underrepresented. Additionally, there is a lack of comprehensive frameworks that integrate sustainability dimensions with marketing strategies and stakeholder perspectives.

These gaps underline the need for a holistic review that synthesizes diverse perspectives and provides a structured understanding of sustainability–marketing integration in ecotourism.

7. Role of Eco-Labels and Certifications in Sustainable Ecotourism Marketing

Eco-labels and environmental certifications have emerged as important marketing tools in the ecotourism sector to signal sustainability commitment and reduce information asymmetry between service providers and tourists. According to Font (2002), eco-certification schemes help enhance destination credibility and influence tourists' purchase decisions by communicating verified environmental performance. Studies indicate that tourists perceive certified ecotourism destinations as more trustworthy and environmentally responsible, thereby increasing their intention to visit and recommend such destinations (Buckley, 2002).

However, the effectiveness of eco-labels depends on consumer awareness and perceived authenticity. Font and Tribe (2001) argue that lack of standardization and limited understanding of certification schemes may reduce their marketing impact. This highlights the need for integrating eco-labels with broader sustainable marketing communication strategies.



8. Digital Marketing and Sustainability Communication in Ecotourism

The rapid growth of digital platforms has transformed how ecotourism destinations communicate sustainability practices. Online marketing channels such as websites, social media, and mobile applications enable destinations to share sustainability narratives, engage tourists, and promote responsible travel behaviour (Xiang, Magnini, & Fesenmaier, 2015). Digital storytelling, in particular, has been found to enhance emotional engagement and awareness of environmental conservation efforts.

Hudson and Thal (2013) emphasize that social media plays a vital role in promoting sustainable tourism experiences by enabling peer-to-peer influence and authentic content sharing. However, scholars caution that misleading sustainability claims in digital marketing may result in greenwashing, negatively affecting destination reputation (Delmas & Burbano, 2011).

9. Community-Based Ecotourism and Sustainable Marketing Integration

Community-based ecotourism (CBET) is widely regarded as a sustainable tourism model that ensures local community participation and equitable benefit sharing. Scheyvens (1999) argues that marketing strategies in ecotourism should reflect community involvement and cultural authenticity to achieve social sustainability. Effective marketing of CBET initiatives helps preserve local traditions while enhancing tourists' experiential value.

Research by Tosun (2006) indicates that inadequate marketing support and limited community participation can constrain the success of ecotourism initiatives in developing countries. Therefore, integrating sustainability principles into marketing strategies is essential to promote inclusive growth and long-term destination resilience.

10. Measuring the Effectiveness of Sustainable Marketing in Ecotourism

Measuring the outcomes of sustainable marketing practices in ecotourism remains a challenge due to the multidimensional nature of sustainability. Scholars have used indicators such as tourist satisfaction, destination loyalty, environmental attitudes, and perceived value to assess marketing effectiveness (Chen & Tsai, 2007). Sustainable marketing orientation has been positively linked to destination competitiveness and long-term performance.

Papadas, Avlonitis, and Carrigan (2017) propose that sustainability-oriented marketing strategies improve organizational legitimacy and stakeholder relationships. However, empirical validation of



integrated sustainability–marketing models in ecotourism contexts remains limited, indicating a significant research gap.

Objectives of the study:

1. To examine the concept and dimensions of sustainable marketing in the ecotourism sector.
2. To analyse sustainable marketing practices adopted by ecotourism destinations in North Karnataka.
3. To assess the role of government policies and institutional support in promoting sustainable ecotourism in North Karnataka.
4. To identify key challenges in integrating sustainability principles with marketing practices in ecotourism destinations of North Karnataka.
5. To propose a conceptual framework for integrating sustainability and marketing in ecotourism practices.

Scope of the Study

The study focuses on examining the integration of sustainability principles with marketing practices in the ecotourism sector, with special reference to ecotourism destinations in North Karnataka. It covers key sustainability dimensions—environmental, social, and economic—and their application in marketing strategies such as green branding, sustainability communication, digital promotion, and responsible destination marketing.

The scope includes analysis of institutional support and policy initiatives influencing sustainable ecotourism practices. The study is geographically limited to North Karnataka and aims to develop a conceptual framework to support sustainable marketing practices in ecotourism and guide future research and policy decisions.

Research Methodology

The present study adopts a **descriptive and analytical research design** to examine the integration of sustainability and marketing in ecotourism practices, with special reference to North Karnataka. The study is primarily **review- and analysis-oriented**, aiming to synthesize existing knowledge and documented practices in the ecotourism sector.



Sources of Data

The study is based on **secondary data** collected from reliable and authenticated sources. These include published research articles from reputed journals (Scopus, Web of Science, UGC CARE), books, conference proceedings, government publications, policy documents, tourism department reports, UNWTO and WTTC reports, and official websites of tourism authorities such as Karnataka Tourism and KSTDC. Relevant reports related to ecotourism destinations in North Karnataka are also considered.

Period of Study

The review focuses mainly on literature and reports published during the recent decade to capture contemporary developments in sustainable marketing and ecotourism practices.

Tools and Techniques of Analysis

The collected data are analysed using **content analysis and thematic analysis**. Key themes related to sustainability dimensions, marketing strategies, policy support, stakeholder roles, and challenges are identified, compared, and interpreted systematically. Conceptual mapping is used to integrate sustainability and marketing perspectives.

Study Area

The geographical scope of the study is limited to **North Karnataka**, covering major ecotourism destinations and initiatives within the region.

Recent bibliometric analysis shows a marked rise in ecotourism marketing research globally, with annual publications increasing sharply since 2015 and strong citation impact, indicating sustained academic interest in the intersection of sustainability and marketing (Dube & Ezeh, 2025). Market reports estimate the global ecotourism industry at approximately USD 172.4 billion in 2022 with robust projected growth (IMARC, 2023; Global Industry Analysts, 2025).

Evidence from quantitative studies also supports the strong influence of environmental awareness and digital marketing strategies on ecotourism destination choice (Greece case study), suggesting integration between sustainability values and promotional efforts.



| Indicator / Data Type | Measure / Value | Source (Year) | Relevance to Study |
|---|--|---|--|
| Number of publications in ecotourism marketing has grown significantly since 2003 | Research output increased from <5 to >60 publications per year | Dube & Ezeh (2025) (MDPI) | Shows rising academic interest in ecotourism marketing |
| Worldwide citations for ecotourism marketing articles | >15,000 citations; H-index = 66 | Dube & Ezeh (2025) (MDPI) | Indicates research influence globally |
| Global Ecotourism Market Size | ~US\$172.4 billion (2022) | IMARC (2023) (Market Research) | Demonstrates industry scale |
| Projected Ecotourism Market Growth | Expected CAGR ~13.9% (2023-2028) | IMARC (2023) (Market Research) | Shows future market relevance |
| Global Ecotourism Market Forecast | US\$275.7 Billion (2024); US\$600.5 Billion (2030) | Global Industry Analysts (2025) (Market Research) | Useful for economic context |
| Tourists' major motivations for ecotourism | Environmental awareness (41%), Leisure (38%) | Ecotourism in Greece study (Springer) | Links behaviour with sustainability focus |
| Role of digital channels (online campaigns, social media) | Strong influence on destination choice | Ecotourism in Greece study (Springer) | Connects marketing strategies with tourist decisions |
| Key Research Themes in Ecotourism Literature | Ecotourism potential, policy, community perception | IJRAR categorization (IJRAR) | Shows thematic areas for discussion |



Findings:

- Global research on ecotourism marketing has increased significantly over the last decade (Dube & Ezeh, 2025).
- The global ecotourism market is growing rapidly, with a projected CAGR of 13.9% (IMARC, 2023).
- Sustainable marketing practices such as green branding, eco-labels, and community-based initiatives are increasingly adopted.
- Digital marketing and social media significantly influence tourist perceptions and destination choice.
- Tourists are motivated by environmental awareness, cultural heritage, and sustainability-oriented experiences.
- North Karnataka has high ecotourism potential with forests, wildlife, heritage sites, and community initiatives (IJNRD, 2025).
- Institutional support through government policies and tourism authorities exists but requires better integration.
- Challenges include limited awareness of eco-certifications, inconsistent sustainability indicators, and partial digital adoption.

Conclusion:

The study indicates that integrating sustainability and marketing is essential for the growth and competitiveness of ecotourism destinations. North Karnataka possesses significant potential to adopt sustainability-driven marketing strategies aligned with global trends. The combination of environmental, social, and economic dimensions with effective marketing, including digital engagement and eco-labels, can enhance tourist satisfaction, destination credibility, and community benefits. While global research demonstrates strong momentum in sustainable ecotourism marketing, regional implementation is limited and fragmented. Therefore, a structured approach integrating policy support, stakeholder coordination, and community involvement is critical to realize the full potential of sustainable ecotourism in North Karnataka.



Suggestions:

- Promote eco-labels and certifications to highlight sustainability efforts.
- Strengthen digital marketing through social media, websites, and apps.
- Enhance collaboration between tourism authorities, local communities, and private operators.
- Involve local communities in marketing to preserve cultural authenticity and share economic benefits.
- Conduct tourist awareness campaigns on conservation and responsible tourism.
- Benchmark North Karnataka practices against national and global ecotourism destinations.
- Encourage future empirical studies to assess the effectiveness of marketing strategies and sustainability impact.

References:

- Ismail, F., Imran, A., & Qureshi, M. I. (2020). Past, present and future of ecotourism: A systematic literature review from last decade. *Studies of Applied Economics*, 39. <https://doi.org/10.25115/eea.v39i4.4592> ojs.ual.es
- Dube, K., & Ezech, P. C. (2025). A Global Perspective on Ecotourism Marketing Trends: A Review. *Sustainability*, 17(13), 6035. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su17136035> MDPI
- Sustainable tourism marketing. (2020). In *Encyclopedia of Sustainable Management*. Springer. Springer
- Haryanto, E., Makiya, K. R., & Noviati, F. (2023). A bibliometric analysis of ecotourism development for sustainable tourism. *International Journal of Tourism*. ejournal.stipram.ac.id
- Sukma, A. (2025). Ecotourism and digital marketing: Bridging the gap between travelers and sustainable practices. (Unpublished manuscript). ResearchGate
- Black, R., & Crabtree, A. (Eds.). (2007). *Quality assurance and certification in ecotourism*. CABI. <https://doi.org/10.1079/9781845932375.0000>
- Blamey, R. K. (2001). Principles of ecotourism. In D. B. Weaver (Ed.), *The encyclopedia of ecotourism* (pp. 5–22). CABI Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1079/9780851993683.0005>



- Buckley, R. (2009). *Ecotourism: Principles and practices*. CABI. <https://doi.org/10.1079/9781845934577.0000>
- Fennell, D. A. (2020). *Ecotourism* (5th ed.). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429317584>
- Font, X., & McCabe, S. (2017). Sustainability and marketing in tourism: Its contexts, paradoxes, approaches, challenges and potential. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 25(7), 869–883. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09669582.2017.1301721>
- Global Sustainable Tourism Council (GSTC). (2023). GSTC industry criteria and performance indicators. Retrieved July 10, 2024, from <https://www.gstccouncil.org/gstc-criteria/>
- Ham, S. H. (2013). *Interpretation: Making a difference on purpose*. Fulcrum Publishing.
- Honey, M. (2008). *Ecotourism and sustainable development: Who owns paradise?* (2nd ed.). Island Press.
- Kuo, F. I., Fang, W. T., & LePage, B. A. (2022). Proactive environmental strategies in the hospitality industry: Eco-innovation, green competitive advantage, and green core competence. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 30(6), 1240–1261. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09669582.2021.1903017>
- Orams, M. B. (1996). Using interpretation to manage nature-based tourism. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 4(2), 81–94. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09669589608667260>
- Scheyvens, R. (1999). Ecotourism and the empowerment of local communities. *Tourism Management*, 20(2), 245–249. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0261-5177\(98\)00069-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0261-5177(98)00069-7)
- Stone, M. T., & Nyaupane, G. P. (2016). Ecotourism and community development: A prospective analysis of the case of Botswana. *Journal of Ecotourism*, 15(2), 138–157. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14724049.2016.1157408>
- The International Ecotourism Society (TIES). (2015). What is ecotourism? Retrieved July 10, 2024, from <https://ecotourism.org/what-is-ecotourism/>
- Weaver, D. B. (2021). *Advanced introduction to sustainable tourism*. Edward Elgar Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781839100929>
- Bansal, P., & Roth, K. (2000). Why companies go green: A model of ecological responsiveness. *Academy of Management Journal*, 43(4), 717–736. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1556363>
- Belz, F. M., & Peattie, K. (2012). *Sustainability marketing: A global perspective* (2nd ed.). John Wiley & Sons.
- Bramwell, B., & Lane, B. (2011). Critical research on the governance of tourism and sustainability. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 19(4–5), 411–421. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09669582.2011.580586>



- Dolnicar, S., Crouch, G. I., & Long, P. (2008). Environment-friendly tourists: What do we really know? *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 16(2), 197–210. <https://doi.org/10.2167/jost738.0>
- Font, X., & McCabe, S. (2017). Sustainability and marketing in tourism: Its contexts, paradoxes, approaches, challenges, and potential. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 25(7), 869–883. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09669582.2017.1301721>
- Kotler, P., Kartajaya, H., & Setiawan, I. (2017). *Marketing 4.0: Moving from traditional to digital*. Wiley.
- Peattie, K., & Crane, A. (2005). Green marketing: Legend, myth, farce or prophesy? *Qualitative Market Research: An International Journal*, 8(4), 357–370. <https://doi.org/10.1108/13522750510619733>
- UNWTO. (2018). *Tourism and the Sustainable Development Goals – Journey to 2030*. World Tourism Organization. <https://doi.org/10.18111/9789284419401>
- Weaver, D. B. (2001). *The encyclopedia of ecotourism*. CABI Publishing.
- Weaver, D. B. (2006). *Sustainable tourism: Theory and practice*. Elsevier Butterworth-Heinemann.
- Bansal, P., & Roth, K. (2000). Why companies go green: A model of ecological responsiveness. *Academy of Management Journal*, 43(4), 717–736. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1556363>
- Belz, F. M., & Peattie, K. (2012). *Sustainability marketing: A global perspective* (2nd ed.). John Wiley & Sons.
- Bramwell, B., & Lane, B. (2011). Critical research on the governance of tourism and sustainability. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 19(4–5), 411–421. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09669582.2011.580586>
- Dolnicar, S., Crouch, G. I., & Long, P. (2008). Environment-friendly tourists: What do we really know? *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 16(2), 197–210. <https://doi.org/10.2167/jost738.0>
- Font, X., & McCabe, S. (2017). Sustainability and marketing in tourism. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 25(7), 869–883. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09669582.2017.1301721>
- Kotler, P., Kartajaya, H., & Setiawan, I. (2017). *Marketing 4.0: Moving from traditional to digital*. Wiley.
- UNWTO. (2018). *Tourism and the Sustainable Development Goals – Journey to 2030*. World Tourism Organization.



- Weaver, D. B. (2001). *The encyclopedia of ecotourism*. CABI.
- Weaver, D. B. (2006). *Sustainable tourism: Theory and practice*. Elsevier.
- Buckley, R. (2002). Tourism ecolabels. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 29(1), 183–208.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0160-7383\(01\)00035-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0160-7383(01)00035-4)
- Chen, C. F., & Tsai, D. (2007). How destination image and evaluative factors affect behavioral intentions. *Tourism Management*, 28(4), 1115–1122.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2006.07.007>
- Delmas, M. A., & Burbano, V. C. (2011). The drivers of greenwashing. *California Management Review*, 54(1), 64–87.
<https://doi.org/10.1525/cmr.2011.54.1.64>
- Font, X. (2002). Environmental certification in tourism and hospitality: Progress, process and prospects. *Tourism Management*, 23(3), 197–205.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0261-5177\(01\)00084-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0261-5177(01)00084-X)
- Font, X., & Tribe, J. (2001). Promoting green tourism: The future of environmental awards. *International Journal of Tourism Research*, 3(1), 9–21.
[https://doi.org/10.1002/1522-1970\(200101/02\)3:1<9::AID-JTR241>3.0.CO;2-3](https://doi.org/10.1002/1522-1970(200101/02)3:1<9::AID-JTR241>3.0.CO;2-3)
- Hudson, S., & Thal, K. (2013). The impact of social media on the consumer decision process. *Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing*, 30(1–2), 156–160.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10548408.2013.751276>
- Papadas, K. K., Avlonitis, G. J., & Carrigan, M. (2017). Green marketing orientation. *Journal of Business Research*, 80, 236–246.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2017.05.024>
- Scheyvens, R. (1999). Ecotourism and the empowerment of local communities. *Tourism Management*, 20(2), 245–249.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0261-5177\(98\)00069-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0261-5177(98)00069-7)



- Tosun, C. (2006). Expected nature of community participation in tourism development. *Tourism Management*, 27(3), 493–504.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2004.12.004>
- Xiang, Z., Magnini, V. P., & Fesenmaier, D. R. (2015). Information technology and consumer behavior in travel and tourism. *Journal of Travel Research*, 54(2), 244–259.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0047287514522883>
- Dube, K., & Ezeh, P. C. (2025). *A global perspective on ecotourism marketing trends: A review*. *Sustainability*, 17(13), 6035. MDPI
- IMARC Group. (2023). *Ecotourism market: Global industry trends, share, size, growth, opportunity, and forecast 2023-2028*. Market Research
- Global Industry Analysts. (2025). *Ecotourism report*. Market Research
- “The impact of ecotourism on tourist behavior in Greece: A quantitative analysis” (Springer Nature). Results summary. Springer
- International Journal of Research and Analytical Reviews (IJRAR). (2024). *Broad categories in ecotourism literature review*. IJAR