



---

## Operational Efficiency and Financial Performance in the Non-Life Insurance Sector: A Narrative Review of Indian and Global Evidence

**Ashirbani Bardhan**

Research Scholar, Department of Commerce, the University of Burdwan, West Bengal, India

E-mail Id: ashirbani.mou@gmail.com

---

DOI : <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18976953>

---

### ARTICLE DETAILS

**Research Paper**

**Accepted:** 15-02-2026

**Published:** 10-03-2026

---

**Keywords:**

*Operational efficiency,  
Financial performance,  
DEA, SFA, IRDAI,  
Financial resilience,  
Regulatory economics*

---

### ABSTRACT

The non-life insurance is a significant part of financial stability, risk redistribution and economic development. The efficiency of operations has been turned into one of the fundamental factors of financial performance and long-term viability in an increasingly liberalized and competitive market. It is a narrative literature review of the literature on synthesis of theoretical and empirical evidence on the relationship between operational efficiency and financial performance in the non-life insurance business with particular reference to the Indian and global evidence. The study critically examines the methodologies of efficiency metrics such as the financial ratio analysis, the Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA), the Stochastic frontier Analysis (SFA), the panel regression modeling, and the multi criteria decision making techniques using efficiency structure theory, resource based view, the financial intermediation theory and regulatory economics. It highlights the methodological asymmetry of developed and emerging markets, the regulatory factors (including the solvency requirements), and also emphasizes the increasing significance of digital transformation and capital adequacy provision. The paper is concluded with the suggested unified conceptualization of operational efficiency, underwriting discipline, profitability, and financial resilience that provide guidance concerning the future empirical research and the formation of



## 1. Introduction

The non-life aspect of insurance industry is one of the foundations of financial systems as it reduces risk exposure and promotes economic stability. Non-life insurance contracts are also short-term, claim-sensitive and underwriting-intensive in contrast to life insurance, which needs dynamic operational structures and sound capital management. With the liberalization and globalization of insurance markets, companies experience increased competition, regulatory threats, and disruption as a result of technology.

Sustainability in insurers has hence been made to focus on operational efficiency. The efficient firms are more suited to handle underwriting risk, administrative costs, solvency margins and returns are going to be consistent. The Indian situation exemplifies this with the 2000 liberalization of the insurance sector that changed a monopolistic public-sector market into a competitive multi-player market under the control of the Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India (IRDAI). Since, academic debate has considered the efficiency and profitability of the insurers more and more frequently.

But the available literature is scattered in terms of methodologies, region and theoretical orientations. In developed economies, frontier efficiency methods like DEA and SFA are stressed, and Indian research is mainly based on financial ratio-based analysis. Furthermore, recent sources of changes such as digitalization, risk-based capital systems, ESG governance, and foreign direct investment demands closer penetration into the efficiency-performance analysis.

This review focuses on such problems by synthesising Indian and global literature in a systematic way so as to form a consistent picture of operational efficiency and financial performance in non-life insurance industry.

## 2. Research Objectives

This narrative review seeks to achieve the following objectives:

1. To discuss the most important theoretical underpinnings of operational efficiency of non-life insurance.
2. To synthesize the world empirical evidence on the correlation between the insurer efficiency and the financial performance.



3. To critically evaluate Indian literature on non life insurance performance and sectoral trends.
4. To compare methodological strategies and the position of regulatory frameworks in the formation of insurer efficiency.

### **3. Methodology of the Review**

The research assumes the narrative review approach, which is appropriate to integrate various theoretical ideas and empirical data (Tranfield et al., 2003). The literature review will include literature dating back to in and around 1995 -2024 and includes: Peer-reviewed journal articles, Regulatory publications, Policy reports, Working papers.

The literature was categorized into:

1. Theoretical foundations
2. Indian empirical evidence
3. Global empirical evidence
4. Methodological approaches
5. Emerging research themes

A thematic and chronological synthesis approach was adopted to highlight the evolution of efficiency research.

## **4. Systematic Synthesis of Literature**

### **4.1 Theoretical Foundations Underlying Operational Efficiency and Financial Performance**

The literature on non-life insurance performance, although often empirical in orientation, is theoretically anchored in several interrelated frameworks. A deeper exploration of these foundations clarifies why operational efficiency emerges repeatedly as a structural determinant of financial performance.

#### ***4.1.1 Efficiency Structure Hypothesis***

The Efficiency Structure Hypothesis (ESH) posits that firms with superior managerial and cost efficiency naturally achieve higher profitability because efficient operations reduce input wastage and improve output maximization (Demsetz, 1973). In insurance markets, efficiency manifests in multiple dimensions:



- Claims processing speed
- Expense ratio management
- Underwriting precision
- Administrative cost control
- Technology integration

Indian researchers like Sinha (2017) and Sharma and Sharma (2023) show that insurance companies that achieve a higher score of technical efficiency are also bound to record high profitability measures. This is consistent with Lee and Lee (2019) in South Korea who discovered that operational efficiency is highly beneficial to ROA and ROE. Theoretically important here is the fact that profitability is not just another financial consequence but a by-product of effective resource allocation. In this way, the ESH redefines the insurance performance in terms of the revenue-centered approach to the cost-efficiency-centered one. In India, the cost discipline among private insurers is shown to be better than the scale inefficiencies that are evident in the actions of the public insurers. Such a structural difference supports the use of ESH in new markets.

#### ***4.1.2 Underwriting Theory and Risk Pricing Discipline***

Underwriting theory rests on the actuarial principle that insurance sustainability depends on accurate risk classification, pricing adequacy, and claims control. Unlike banking, insurers assume uncertain liabilities upfront; therefore, underwriting discipline is central to solvency and profitability.

Empirical literature consistently supports this theoretical premise:

- Upadhyay & Sitlani (2022) found claim ratio negatively affects profitability in Indian private insurers.
- Camino-Mogro et al. (2019) in Ecuador confirmed underwriting metrics dominate investment income.
- Msomi (2023) in South Africa emphasized claims control as a profitability determinant.
- Joo (2013) linked higher claim ratios with weaker solvency positions.



High claim ratios in theory are signs of adverse selection, moral hazard, or poor pricing mechanisms. This generates underwriting losses, which have to depend on the revenue of investments to survive. Therefore, the discipline of underwriting does not only exist in a functional sense but it is efficiency in risk governance. Regulatory price limits tend to cause high loss ratios in motor insurance segments, which results in structural underwriting pressure in India. This indicates regulatory-market interaction under the underwriting theory.

#### ***4.1.3 Financial Intermediation and Investment Theory***

Non-life insurance companies are two-activity financial institutions that are involved in risk pooling by the means of underwriting and in financial intermediation by the means of investment of premium income. The theory of financial intermediation holds that an insurer achieves returns on the funds deposited by the insured in the form of collected premiums or as it is commonly called the premium float until the claims are paid out. This investment feature enables the insurers to make profits without depending on the outcomes of underwriting, hence counterbalancing fluctuations of volatility associated with claims. This theoretical proposition is backed up by empirical evidence. Smith and Jones (2018) noted that the insurers in the United States could continue to be profitable even when the investments were making losses because the returns were high. Equally, the study by Sinha (2017) revealed that the Indian public-sector insurers display high reliance on investment income, which helps them to cover the underwriting inefficiencies. Sasidharan et al. (2020) also established that the positive relationship between higher investment yields and Return on Assets (ROA) exists in the case of Indian non-life insurers. Nonetheless, overdependence on investment returns puts the insurers at the risk of system financial market risks. The global financial crisis of 2008 demonstrated how the shocks in the capital markets would disrupt the stability of insurance companies that relied heavily on the portfolios. In terms of theory, sustainable financial performance means that there should be a balanced combination of good underwriting profitability and reasonable investment strategy. Excessive reliance on returns in the capital market is indicative of structural inefficiency in the basic insurance activities and exposing the firm to macroeconomic risks

#### ***4.1.4 Regulatory and Solvency Theory***

Systemic risk is always a sensitive factor in insurance markets as they long-term liabilities and the asymmetry of information imply that such markets require strict regulation. The regulatory and solvency theory focuses on capital adequacy, technical reserves, liquidity management and risk-based capital requirements in ensuring stability of insurers and security of policyholders. In India, the Insurance



Regulatory and Development Authority of India (IRDAI) stipulates the minimum solvency margins where insurers are required to hold adequate capital requirements to cushion them against underwriting and investment risks. According to Darzi (2009), solvency assessment in the structured form is provided by the CAMEL framework, which is the Capital adequacy, Asset quality, Reinsurance and actuarial issues, Management soundness, Earnings and profitability, and Liquidity. Joo (2013) also associated the solvency signs with the operational variables and proved that there is a significant correlation between the claim ratios and the size of a firm, which predetermines its financial stability. On a global scale, Morara and Sibindi (2021) have discovered that capital adequacy has a positive effect on the performance of insurers in Kenya, and that well-capitalized companies are in a better position to absorb underwriting shocks. Regulation has two theoretical purposes, prudential (to ensure systemic stability) and disciplinary (to promote efficient resource allocation and risk management practices). Yet excessively high capital requirements can reduce profitability because of the higher compliance expenses and this leads to a possible trade-off between regulation and efficiency in which solvency security can be traded off against flexibility in operations.

#### ***4.1.5 Resource-Based View (RBV)***

Resource-Based View (RBV) is based on the assumption that the main sources of the sustained competitive advantage and performance differentials are firm-specific resources and capabilities. In non-life insurance, intangible and organizational resources, including actuarial knowledge, digital infrastructure, claims management systems, distribution networks, brand reputation and quality of governance are of great importance in terms of operational efficiency and financial performance. Internal capabilities, unlike structural or regulatory factors that influence all market participants in the same way, are used to explain why efficiency results are different when an identical macroeconomic and regulatory environment is involved. The importance of internal capability structures is emphasized by Murigu (2014) since management competence was revealed as a determining factor of profitability in emerging markets. In the same way, Kumar and Kumar (2022) noted significant differences in performance of Indian public-sector insurers even though they were all regulated by the same set of rules, which may imply disparities in managerial efficiency and operation functions. In the Indian insurance market, digital underwriting sites, AI-only claim analytics, and customer-centric service offerings have been used more and more by the private-sector insurers to improve the effectiveness of their operations compared to traditional public-sector providers. In this way, RBV gives a theoretical account of the performance heterogeneity by stressing the fact that sustainable financial success in insurance markets is due not only to the external conditions but also to strategic use of firm-specific resources and dynamic capabilities.



## 4.2 Expanded Indian Empirical Evidence

Indian research demonstrates evolutionary progression across methodological sophistication and thematic focus.

### 4.2.1 *Structural Reform and Performance Trends*

The earlier Indian literature mainly focused on the effects of liberalization of the non-life insurance industry. Studies by Sharma (2005) and Fredrick (2007) recorded a huge market growth after the entry of the private sector and the rise in foreign direct investment (FDI) that resulted in a faster growth in premiums. Nevertheless, the gain in profitability was not even among firms. The insurers in the public sector were constrained by structure with the old workforce costs, large claims settlements backlog, and weak operational flexibility. This stage of investigation, therefore, was more concerned with determining whether liberalization enhanced general sector performance as opposed to establishing the determinants of the efficiency and profitability.

### 4.2.2 *Public vs Private Comparative Efficiency*

Later literature changed to compare the public and the private insurers in order to determine the difference in the efficiency on the basis of ownership. Researchers like Bhattacharya (2012) and Varma (2012) discovered that the private insurers had better growth in the premium and management cost practices. The growth rates were declining and expense ratios were comparatively high in the case of public insurers which have retained greater asset bases and broader networks. The variations were mostly accredited to flexibility in governance and performance based management systems in the private firms. Nonetheless, state-owned insurers tended to have higher solvency ratios because of sovereign support and risk-averse capital frameworks, which showed a higher degree of financial standpoint in spite of operational inflexibility.

### 4.2.3 *Determinant-Based Econometric Findings*

The recent Indian studies have taken the econometric and frontier-based approach to determine the determinants of performance. The results of panel regression, efficiency measurement methods show unanimous results, that the increase in claims ratios has a negative effect on the profitability, increased premium growth has positive effects on the Return on Assets (ROA), and increased expense ratios have a negative effect on the financial performance. Financial stability is a factor of technical reserves and capital adequacy. Sinha (2017) found scaling inefficiencies at the public insurers, and Sharma and



Sharma (2023) established that operational efficiency and profitability are significantly correlated, which empirically confirms the Efficiency Structure Hypothesis in the Indian setting.

#### ***4.2.4 Sector-Level Trends***

Recent firm level reports give wider contexts to sector level reports. The non-life insurance sector in India showed a growth of about 12.8 percent in the premiums per policy, and the ratios of claims were comparatively stable (IRDAI, 2023-24). The intensity of competition has been on the increase especially in the health and motor insurance segments due to the involvement of the privates and digital growth. Such macro-level trends suggest the rising significance of the discipline of underwriting, cost efficiency, and solvency control in contributing to the financial performance in an ever more competitive regulatory context.

### **4.3 Expanded Global Evidence**

Global literature on non-life insurance performance demonstrates earlier and more extensive integration of frontier efficiency models, panel econometrics, and governance variables compared to the Indian context. International research not only examines profitability determinants but also incorporates capital structure, regulatory frameworks, and managerial quality into efficiency-performance analysis.

#### ***4.3.1 Africa***

African market research indicates that capital structure and managerial efficiency are significant in defining the performance of the insurers. Murigu (2014) and Morara and Sibindi (2021) have concluded that the capital adequacy is a major factor that enhances profitability because well-capitalized companies are more capable of absorbing underwriting shocks and staying afloat financially. The leverage was also found to have positive impact on returns implying that optimal capital structuring increases performance. Also, the management efficiency became one of the key determinants, which supported the need of developing internal capabilities. Since most African insurance markets are being regulated by a changing set of regulations, the papers will highlight the key role of capital discipline and governance quality in determining the operational efficiency.

#### ***4.3.2 Asia***

Asian studies are associated with high methodological rigour and control. Based on Stochastic Frontier Analysis, SFA, in South Korea, Lee and Lee (2019) verified a high degree of connection between the operational efficiency and financial performance thus supporting through empirical investigation the



existence of the efficiency-based performance theory. China, Chen and Wong (2020) showed that underwriting performance has a more significant influence on the profitability than investment income, which highlights the disciplines of risk management primacy. The Asian markets with strong regulatory oversight and growing digitalization can be seen as an example of how technological adoption and compliance frameworks can help a company become more operationally efficient and financially stable.

### ***4.3.3 Europe***

Multi-criteria decision-making methods and superior panel models are combined with European studies, especially those of Turkey, to determine the performance of insurers. Oner Kaya (2016) presented the results of the rank of insurers in the form of different financial indicators by referring to the Grey Relational Analysis, and Erdemir (2023) presented the results of efficiency determinants analysis based on the panel regression model. The Solvency II regulatory framework is also immensely influential on the European literature that has paid focus on risk-based capital modeling, capital adequacy and advanced risk management systems. Therefore, European studies have always been inclined to identify the compliance with the regulations as the factor of organizational effectiveness and financial sustainability.

### ***4.3.4 Latin America***

Studies conducted in Latin America, especially Camino-Mogro et al. (2019) in Ecuador, prove that underwriting measures, including claim ratios and combined ratios, prevail in profitability determinants. The research showed that investment income is one of the contributors to financial performance, but the main source of sustainable performance is underwriting discipline. This observation is consistent with the international data that stresses on the efficiency of price of risk more than on the capital market returns.

## **4.4 Cross-Country Meta Evidence**

Meta-analyses across countries give compounded information in different areas. The synthesis of the results of numerous markets by Opoku (2024) revealed that claim ratio always has a negative impact on profitability in different countries, and it is defined as a universal factor influencing the performance of insurers. The relationship between firm size and profitability is usually positive as it demonstrates economies of scale and advantage of resources. Moreover, the quality of governance has come out as an even more important variable in the explanation of efficiency differentials. Such cross national trends indicate that in the operational performance with financial performance determinants, structural variations in regulatory and structural factors notwithstanding, have core similarities in structural pattern of operations worldwide in insurance market.



#### 4.5 Methodological Evolution

The methodological development of the study of operational efficiency and financial performance in the non-life insurance industry is characterized by the certain tendency toward the growth of analytical sophistication. The initial researches were dominated by the conventional ratio analysis as it relied on descriptive analysis of profitability, liquidity, and solvency ratio with little capacity to determine causality (Sharma, 2005; Bhattacharya, 2012). This was succeeded by the introduction of the panel regression methods that made it possible to make a better statistical inference with the help of fixed and random effects models to identify significant determinants of the performance of the insurers and address the issue of firm-specific heterogeneity (Sasidharan et al., 2020; Upadhyay and Sitlani, 2022). The third stage led to the introduction of frontier efficiency models including Stochastic Frontier Analysis (SFA) and Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA), which were used to measure technical and scale efficiency beyond the basic financial ratios (Sinha, 2017; Lee and Lee, 2019; Sharma and Sharma, 2023). In recent years, hybrid methods and multi-criteria decision-making developed, incorporating DEA, Grey Relational Analysis (GRA), and regression in order to make a more powerful and holistic assessment system (Oner Kaya, 2016; Sachan, 2023). On the whole, although global literature now is already developed into integrated and hybrid modeling frameworks, the Indian research is still shifting its focus to frontier efficiency measurement out of the determinant-based panel models, which is a significant phase in the process of methodological maturation.

#### 4.6 Emerging and Underexplored Themes

The emerging but not yet well-developed themes of the non-life insurance performance are being covered by recent scholarship in the world, which goes beyond the traditional methods of efficiency and profitability analysis. The internationalization and InsurTech adoption is one of such areas, as the integration of technologies, automation, and data analysis in the context of operational efficiency and cost management are increasingly explored in the international literature, yet the literature on the systematic analysis of this transformation is still rather limited in India (Lee and Lee, 2019; Erdemir, 2023). Likewise, Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) variables and larger governance quality measures are increasingly becoming prominent in determining insurer performance in cross-country studies as institutional credibility and sustainable risk management grows in importance, but these variables are not well studied within Indian empirical studies (Opoku, 2024). Risk-based capital (RBC) models, especially under the direct impact of new regulatory frameworks (like Solvency II in Europe) which focus capital adequacy on risk exposure, are another area of development, but systematic study of



the implications of RBC in India remains in its infancy. Finally, the interconnected efficiency-resilience modelling between operational efficiency and profitability, strength of solvency and ultimately financial resilience are also being increasingly identified as a requirement, yet the sequential interrelationship remains poorly modelled in the Indian literature, which has tended to concentrate on each of these dimensions individually (Sinha, 2017; Sharma and Sharma, 2023). By putting together these new themes, it goes to important research directions to be embraced in future research in an effort to broaden the analytical scope of studies on performances of non-life insurance.

## 5. Discussion

This narrative review synthesizes Indian and global evidence to interpret how operational efficiency structurally shapes financial performance in the non-life insurance sector. The discussion integrates theoretical insights, methodological evolution, regulatory context, and emerging themes to draw broader implications for research and policy.

### i) Theoretical Convergence and Structural Consistency

The analysis shows that convergence theory of the markets is very high. Structural factors of profit and solvency behind the occurrence of performance heterogeneity among insurers are the Efficiency Structure Hypothesis, underwriting theory, financial intermediation theory, regulatory economics and the Resource-Based View. Such uniformity implies that the performance of the insurers not only depends on it but is institutionalized in the cost discipline, risk governance and capital management systems. The Indian situation justifies the fact that the efficiency-based theories can be applied, but that that operational rigidity applied (especially but not only on the side of the public sector, in the case of insurers) mitigates the transfer of efficiency to profitability. Therefore, theory is accurate, though, scale is affected by institutional frictions.

### ii) Liberalization and Ownership Effects

A structural change in the non-life market in India was heralded by post-liberalization restructuring which is conducted by Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India. The involvement of the privates enhanced a competition, adoption of digital and discipline in underwriting. It has been shown by comparative evidence that:

- The private insurers have a higher cost control and growth momentum.
- Public insurers are better cushioned with solvency but are inefficient in scale.



- Governance flexibility and autonomy of managers are often cited as reasons behind the occurrence of profitability differentials as opposed to market conditions.

This is in line with what has been reported throughout the world with ownership structure having an impact on operational agility and strategic resource allocation.

### iii) **Methodological Maturity and Analytical Transition**

The methodological progression from ratio analysis to panel econometrics and frontier efficiency models reflects increasing analytical sophistication.

Global literature has largely integrated:

- Stochastic Frontier Analysis (SFA)
- Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA)
- Hybrid DEA–GRA–regression models
- Risk-based capital simulations

Indian research, however, is currently transitioning from determinant-based panel regressions toward frontier efficiency frameworks. This transition is significant because frontier models allow deeper exploration of **technical inefficiency, scale effects, and managerial performance**, rather than merely identifying correlations.

The discussion therefore suggests that future Indian studies should adopt multi-stage efficiency modeling to align with international methodological standards.

### iv) **Underwriting Discipline as the Core Stability Anchor**

A key discovery among the regions is that claim ratios are mostly negative contributors to profitability. The efficiency of underwriting is always more effective in sustainability than investment revenues in Asia, Africa, Europe, and Latin America: even though short-term cushioning is offered by the returns on investment, systemic vulnerability is heightened when capital markets are over-relied upon. The 2008 financial crisis has shown that insurers that rely too heavily on investment earnings are vulnerable to macro-financial shocks.

Thus, sustainable performance requires:



**Operational Efficiency → Underwriting Profitability → Capital Strength → Financial Resilience.**

This sequential logic is theoretically coherent but remains under-modeled in Indian literature.

**v) Regulatory Discipline and Capital Adequacy**

Regulation emerges not merely as compliance architecture but as an efficiency-shaping mechanism. Capital adequacy norms and solvency margins enforce underwriting discipline and risk-based decision-making.

In India, solvency regulations imposed by IRDAI strengthen prudential stability but may create short-term profitability trade-offs. Internationally, especially in Europe under Solvency II frameworks, research increasingly integrates risk-based capital modeling into performance evaluation.

The discussion therefore highlights a regulatory-efficiency trade-off:

- Stricter capital requirements enhance resilience.
- Excessive capital buffers may compress return on equity.

Balancing prudential safety with operational flexibility remains a central policy challenge.

**vi) Digitalization and Strategic Capability Gap**

Global literature increasingly evaluates digital transformation, InsurTech integration, and AI-driven underwriting systems as efficiency multipliers. In contrast, Indian empirical studies remain limited in systematically quantifying digital efficiency gains.

This gap is particularly relevant because:

- Digital claims automation reduces expense ratios.
- Data analytics enhances risk pricing precision.
- Platform-based distribution improves premium growth.

Private Indian insurers appear more adaptive in leveraging digital capabilities, reflecting Resource-Based View dynamics. Future empirical work should incorporate technology intensity and innovation variables into efficiency modeling.



### vii) ESG, Governance, and Risk-Based Capital: The Emerging Frontier

Governance quality and ESG compliance are becoming significant explanatory variables in global insurer performance models. These dimensions extend efficiency beyond cost metrics toward institutional credibility and sustainable risk management. Similarly, risk-based capital (RBC) modeling represents a forward-looking approach linking solvency to risk exposure. While European research integrates RBC extensively, Indian empirical work remains nascent in this domain.

The absence of integrated modeling connecting:

**Efficiency → Profitability → Solvency → Resilience** represents a key research opportunity.

### viii) Conceptual Integration and Future Research Agenda

The cumulative evidence suggests that operational efficiency is not an isolated performance metric but a structural foundation of insurer resilience. A comprehensive framework should incorporate - Technical and scale efficiency, Underwriting discipline, Capital adequacy, Investment strategy balance, Governance quality, Digital capability intensity.

Future Indian research may benefit from:

1. Multi-stage DEA combined with panel regression.
2. Risk-based capital simulations under solvency stress.
3. ESG-adjusted performance modeling.
4. Longitudinal analysis integrating pre- and post-digitalization phases.

Altogether, the review indicates that the operational efficiency is the structural nucleus of financial performance of the non-life insurance sector. Although world literature has shifted to integrated and resilience-based modeling systems, Indian scholarship experiences paradigmatic shift and growth in theme.

Indian maturity in research will require the next phase, which will be the incorporation of the frontier-efficiency models, digital transformation indices, and capital adequacy simulations as a single analytical instrument. Not only will such integration help improve academic rigor, but also guide regulatory policy



and strategic decision-making by insurers who are progressively sensitive to risk in a highly competitive insurance environment.

## Conclusion

The analysis is a critical synthesis of theoretical and empirical literature analyzing the connection between operations efficiency and financial performance within the non-life insurance industry with special references to Indian and global data. The review confirms that operational efficiency is the structural core of insurer sustainability, which has an effect in underwriting profitability, capital health and long-term financial sustainability. Empirical evidence indicates a high degree of structural consistency in determinants of performance in different geographical settings such as Asia, Africa, Europe and Latin America. Claim ratio, expense control, scale efficiency, capital adequacy, and managerial capability come up as important predictors of profitability and solvency time and again. Theoretical assumptions, including the Efficiency Structure Hypothesis, the underwriting theory, the financial intermediation theory, the regulatory economics, and the Resource-Based View are all explanations of the performance heterogeneity of the insurers that are situated in the same regulatory environment.

In India, the competition has increased and the dynamics of premium growth have been enhanced due to post-liberalization reforms under the control of Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India (IRDAI). The private insurers are more cost disciplined, digitally adaptable and operationally agile, but the public insurers are only relatively well cushioned in terms of solvency but is operationally and structurally inefficient. Even though the effectiveness-profitability relationship is established with growing support of Indian empirical literature, the methods of studying the topic are mostly focused on ratio analysis and panel regression models, and frontier efficiency techniques are on the rise.

Literature on a global scale has progressed to mixed frameworks that would include Stochastic Frontier Analysis (SFA), Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA), multi-criteria decision-making models, and risk-modelling capital simulations. Moreover, new trends, including digital transformation, ESG governance, and resilience modeling, are being integrated into performance evaluation in foreign countries in an orderly manner. One of the key findings of this review is that sustainable financial performance on non-life insurance is organized sequentially: Operational Efficiency - Underwriting Profitability - capital Adequacy - Financial Resilience



Although this structural logic is theoretically consistent and has a wide-spread presence in the international literature, it is still under-assimilated into the Indian literature. The level of maturity of research in India is in the next phase and it involves multi-dimensional resilience-based analysis frameworks that is capable of both efficiency and solvency in addition to regulatory discipline, digital capability and quality of governance.

## Reference

- Adesina, K. (2012). A comparative performance evaluation of the Nigerian banking sector in the post–2005 consolidation: Through the CAMEL rating system. *International Journal of Business and Social Science*, 3(13), 259–268.
- Ahmed.I (2013). A Study On Performance Evaluation Of Life Insurance Corporation Of India And Selected Private Life Insurance Companies In Tamilnadu, *Madurai Kamaraj University, Tamil Nadu, India*. 160-172.
- Alamelu, K. (2011). Evaluation of financial soundness of life insurance companies in India. *The IUP Journal of Risk and Insurance*, 8(1), 39–49.
- Almajali, A. (2012). Factors affecting the financial performance of Jordanian insurance companies listed at Amman Stock Exchange. *Journal of Management Research*, 4(2), 266–289.
- Assocham India. (2022). *Prospects of private non-life insurers in India*. New Delhi.
- Banerjee, S., & Dutta, P. (2020). Determinants of profitability in Indian general insurers. *Asia Pacific Journal of Management Research and Innovation*, 16(3), 192–203.
- Bannu .R (2011). A Study On The Performance Of Public Sector General Insurance Companies In Salem Division, Tamilnadu, *Department Of Commerce Salem Sowdeswari College, Tamil Nadu, India*, 131.165.
- Bawa, S., & Ruchita. (2011). Efficiencies of health insurance business in India: An application of DEA. *American Journal of Social and Management Sciences*, 2(2), 237–247.
- Bhatia. K (2008). Emerging trends in Insurance Industry: A comparative study on urban and rural sector, *Institute of Bundelkhand University, Jhansi* 222-228.
- Bhattacharya. M (2012). A study on Financial performances of general insurance Companies in Indian the post-reform period, *The Department of Commerce, University of Kalyani*. 5.6,5.25



- Borah, R., & Saikia, S. (2024). Underwriting quality, claims analytics, and capital efficiency in the Indian non-life insurance market: An empirical assessment. *Journal of Insurance and Financial Risk Studies*, 12(1), 33–52
- Business Standard. (2024, November 8). Private general insurers expand health insurance portfolios amid rising claims. *Business Standard*.
- Chakraborty, S., & Rao, P. (2025). Solvency and financial health analysis of emerging private insurers. *Asia-Pacific Insurance Review*, 19(1), 14–29.
- Chatterjee, B., & Sinha, R. (2009). Are Indian life insurance companies cost efficient? *SSRN Working Paper*.
- Chaudhary, A. K. (2025, July 22). Which non-life insurers received the highest premium in April–June 2025. *Cafemutual*.
- CRISIL Ratings. (2025, January 22). *Solvency challenges in Indian non-life insurers*. Retrieved from <https://www.crisil.com>
- Dar, S. A., & Thaku, I. A. (2014). A comparative analysis of financial performance of public and private non-life insurers in India. *International Journal of Management*, 6(1), 507–526.
- Darzi, A. T (2010). Financial performance of Insurance Industry in post-liberalization era in India, *thesis of commerce and management studies of the University of Kashmir*, 82, 102-105.
- Das, K. K. (2023). Financial performance and solvency analysis of private general insurers in India. *Journal of Insurance and Risk Management*, 7(2), 45–68.
- Das, S. C. (2007). *Cost management practices in non-life insurance companies: A comparative study*. Retrieved from <http://www.insurance.com>
- Das, S. Udabir, N., Davies, R., & Podpiera, R. (2003). Insurance and issues in financial soundness. *IMF Working Paper 03/138*, 1–5.
- Daswani, P. (2020). *Foreign direct investment inflow and performance of private general insurers in India (2010–2019)*. Unpublished report.
- Drury, S. (2000). Latin American insurance: An emerging giant in the insurance market. *Reuters Business Insight*.
- Eamonn, R. (2001). The future of the insurance market—Do insurers need crystal balls? *Balance Sheet*, 9(1), 14–16.



- Enz, R. (2000). The S-curve relation between per capita income and insurance penetration. *Geneva Papers on Risk and Insurance*, 25(3), 396–406.
- Erdemir, A. (2023). Selection of financial performance determinants for non-life insurance companies using panel data analysis. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, 16(10), Article 427. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm16100427>
- Fatima.S (2017). Impact of The Entry of Private Insurance Companies on The Performance of Life Insurance Corporation of India, *Institute of management sciences, University of Lucknow*, 36-43.
- Fredrick. O (2007). Growth And Performance of General Insurance Sector In India, *University Business School Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar*16, 18-20.
- General Insurance Corporation of India (GIC Re). (2023, December 11). Retrieved from <https://www.gicre.in>
- Generali Central Insurance. (2025, February 14). *Financial information*. Retrieved from <https://www.generalicentralinsurance.com/about-us/financial-information#annualReport>
- Ghai. D (2008). The Indian Insurance Industry Transition & Prospects, *H.N.B. Garhwal University, Srinagar, Uttarakhand*, 89-94.
- Ghosh, A., & Sengupta, B. (2023). Underwriting efficiency of Indian non-life insurers: A panel data approach. *Indian Journal of Finance*, 17(4), 45–59.
- Goswami, S., & Sarkar, A. (2011). Grievance redressal mechanism—A case study of Indian insurance sector. *The Management Accountant*, 46(6), 499–503.
- Gulati, R., & Kumar, S. (2008). Examination of TE, PT and SE in Indian public sector banks using DEA. *Eurasian Journal of Business and Economics*, 1(2), 33–69.
- Gupta, O. P. (2015). *Principles and practice of non-life insurance*. Himalaya Publishing House.
- Gupta, R., & Rao, P. (2018). Liberalization and growth of insurance in India. *Journal of Insurance Studies*, 5(2), 33–49.
- Harrington, S. E., & Niehaus, G. (2003). *Risk management and insurance* (2nd ed.). McGraw-Hill.
- Ilyas, A. M., & Kumar, S. (2019). Productivity of the Indian non-life insurance sector: Evidence from total factor productivity. *Journal of Productivity Analysis*, 51(3), 633–651.
- Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India (IRDAI). (2024). *Handbook on Indian Insurance Statistics 2023–24*. Hyderabad: IRDAI Publications.



- Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India. (2023). *Handbook on Indian insurance statistics*. IRDAI.
- International Association of Insurance Supervisors (IAIS). (2024). *Insurance Core Principle Report*. Basel.
- International Monetary Fund (IMF). (2024). *Insurance market stability report*. Washington, D.C.
- Jarraya, B. (2013). Technical efficiency and productivity in European non-life insurance companies. *Working Paper Series*.
- Joo, B. (2013). Analysis of the financial stability of Indian non-life insurance companies. *Asian Journal of Finance & Accounting*, 5(1), 306–319.
- Karki, S. (2025). Factors influencing the profitability of non-life insurance companies in Nepal. *Asian Journal of Business Management*, 13(1), 1–15.
- Karthik, P., & Ahmed, M. (2016). Impact of reinsurance on performance of non-life insurers in India. *Journal of Risk Finance*, 17(4), 369–383.
- Kaur, N. (2014). Growth and performance of general Insurance in India a Comparative Study of public and private companies, *University Business School Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar*.
- KPMG India. (2024, September 11). *Cost efficiency in general insurance sector*. Retrieved from <https://www.kpmg.com>
- Kumar, S., & Kumar, R. (2022). Financial performance determinants of general insurance companies in India: An empirical analysis. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, 15(6), 310–325.
- Kumar, R. (2010). Performance Evaluation Of General Insurance Companies: A Study Of Post-Reform Period, *thesis paper from Department of Commerce Punjabi University, Patiala*. 85-91.
- Mikes, A., & Kaplan, R. S. (2013). *Managing risk in insurance: Models, frameworks and practice*. Oxford University Press.
- Mint. (2023, September 14). Profitability squeeze in general insurers: Underwriting losses and investment pressures. *Mint*.
- Morgan Stanley Research. (2025, February 02). *Investment returns and solvency trends in Asia's general insurers*.



- Msomi, N. (2023). Underwriting determinants of profitability in publicly traded South African non-life insurers. *Unpublished academic paper*.
- Murigu, J. (2014). *The determinants of financial performance in general insurance companies in Kenya (2009–2012)*. Unpublished research study.
- Nanda Gopal, R., Arul Rajan, K., & Vivek, N. (2008). *Research methods in business*. Excel Books Publications.
- OECD. (Dec.2023). Retrieved 15 Feb 2024, *Global-insurance-market-trends-2023* :[https://www.oecd.org/en/publications/global-insurance-market-trends-2023\\_e141d5ff-en.html](https://www.oecd.org/en/publications/global-insurance-market-trends-2023_e141d5ff-en.html)
- Opoku, D. (2024). *Determinants of non-life insurer performance: A multi-country panel and meta-analysis approach*. Unpublished academic article.
- Parida, T. K. (2018). *The life insurance industry in India: Growth, performance and prospects*. Springer.
- PricewaterhouseCoopers (PwC) India. (2021). *India insurance outlook 2021*. Retrieved December 13, 2024, from <https://www.pwc.in/research-and-insights-hub/bridging-gaps-in-the-india-insurance-sector.html>
- Rahman, M., & Islam, T. (2020). Profitability and solvency analysis of non-life insurers in South-East Asia. *Asian Economic and Financial Review*, 10(9), 928–945.
- Ramanathan, K. (2023). Product diversification and operating efficiency in general insurance. *Financial Markets and Risk Review*, 12(1), 21–38.
- Rao, K., & Srinivas, V. (2020). Private participation in Indian insurance: Opportunities and challenges. *Indian Economic Review*, 55(3), 145–168.
- Rao, M (2010). Performance evaluation of general Insurance Companies: a case study of National Insurance Company Ltd, *Department Of Commerce Sri Krishnadevaraya University, Andhra Pradesh*,78-93.
- Rejda, G. E. (2019). *Insurance: Concepts and practices* (rev. ed.). Cengage Learning.
- Roy, S. (2025). Pricing inefficiency and loss ratios in India’s health insurance market. *Indian Journal of Actuarial Studies*, 9(1), 67–82.
- S&P Global Market Intelligence. (2025, April 08). *Risk-adjusted capital trends in Asian insurers*.
- Sachan, A. (2023). *Grey relational analysis of Indian general and health insurers (2019–2022)*. Unpublished research study.



- Sahu, S., & Dixena, S. (2019). Role of insurance sector in economic development of India. *International Journal for Scientific Research & Development*, 6(11).
- Septina, F., Morara, K., & Sibindi, A. B. (2021). Determinants of financial performance of insurance companies: Evidence from Kenya. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, 14(12), 566.
- Septina, S. (2022). *Determinants of financial performance for general insurance companies in Indonesia*. Unpublished research paper.
- Sharma, M. (2005). *Financial performance vis-à-vis managerial effectiveness of insurance sector of India* (Doctoral thesis, Saurashtra University).
- Sharma, S. (2012). *Impact of reforms in general insurance sector in India* (Doctoral thesis, Gauhati University).
- Sharma, M. (2005). A Study of Financial Performance Vis A Vis Managerial Effectiveness Of Insurance Sector Of India, *Saurashtra University, Rajkot*.
- Singh, A., & Sharma, R. (2018). Efficiency and profitability in Indian general insurance companies: A DEA and ratio analysis. *Global Business Review*, 19(4), 1002–1018.
- Sinha, R. (2007). The Indian insurance industry: Challenges and prospects. *Yojana*, 51(3), 80–85.
- Sinha, R. R. (2011). Cost efficiency in Indian insurance companies. *Indian Journal of Finance*, 5(9), 12–24.
- Sinha, T. (2005). The Indian insurance industry: Challenges and prospects. *Insurance Chronicle*, 12(4), 25–39.
- Sivakamy, (2009). A Comparative Study On The Financial Performance Of Public Sector General Insurance Companies, *the department of Management studies Madurai Kamaraj University, Tamil Nadu, India*.
- Subramani, M. (2013). *General insurance business in India: Features and prospects*. Department of Commerce, Madurai Kamaraj University.
- Varma, S. (2012). A Comparative Study of Public and Private Sector In General Insurance. *A thesis paper of the Department of Economics & Research Centre, Mahatma Gandhi University, Kottayam Kerala, India*.
- Veerakumar, K. (2024). Evaluating the financial performance of public and private general insurers in India. *Journal of Financial Studies*, 50(5), 1023–1045.



- Yadav, A. K. (2023). Market liberalization and FDI in India's non-life insurance sector: Trends and challenges. *International Journal of Innovative Research in Technology*. Retrieved from [https://ijirt.org/publishedpaper/IJIRT174869\\_PAPER.pdf](https://ijirt.org/publishedpaper/IJIRT174869_PAPER.pdf)
- Zinyoro, T. (2024). Performance determinants of non-life insurance firms: A systematic review. *Cogent Economics & Finance*, 12(1), Article 2345045.