The Linguistic Architecture of Power: A Comprehensive Analysis of Language and Politics in India

Rahul Saxena

Research Scholar, Political Science, Govt Degree Collge, Cantt, DBRAU, Agra rahulsaxena@aol.in

Dr. Neelam Yadav

Associate Professor, Deptt. Of Linguistics, DBRAU, Agra. dr.neelam2012.yadav@gmail.com

ARTICLE DETAILS	ABSTRACT
	The balance between political power and language has been one of the dominant aspects of the democratic and federal experience of India. As opposed to most postcolonial states, India has not seen language as a mere cultural identifier but understood it as a central part of institutional governance, party politics and relations among centers of state. The paper examines the way in which the historical development of the Indian languages and the constitutional language policy, in particular, Part XVII of the Constitution, the Eighth Schedule, and the Official Languages Act, 1963, molded political incentives and oriented linguistic conflict into democratic institutions. The study triangulates the constitutional provisions, the statutory instruments, and the state-level political paths, using a historical-institutionalist approach to the study. It presents a systematic comparative study of Tamil Nadu, Punjab and Maharashtra, with mini-cases of Telugu statehood, the Barak Valley Bengali movement, the Gokak agitation in Karnataka and Urdu politics. It should be seen that the results of language politics in India are not just a form of expression of identity; they change the institutions through the redefinition of state boundaries, education and administrative regimes, and result in the formation of enduring regional

party-political systems. Mobilisation of linguistics also creates a policy lock-in over the long term by means of schools, media, and bureaucracy to allow parties to convert cultural claims into longer-lasting political power. The article has presented the thesis that democracy in India has not been achieved by breaking the language diversity but by institutionalising it. An approach that involves multilingualism under management where Hindi and statutory preservation of English are used in addition to state control of regional languages has transformed what could have been disruptive politics in to bargain politics. Nevertheless, in current changes in education policy, digital governance, and AI-based language infrastructure traditional language debates are reemerging in new contexts. The article ends by establishing future research agendas, such as those of English as political capital, the differences between the scheduled and non-scheduled languages, the politics of the script, and how language mobilisation may change with the digital crowd.

1. Introduction

In India, language is an identity, institution and resource at the same time. It gives meaning to belonging, establishes state borders, forms access to education and public jobs and forms centre-state bargaining. India did not follow the model of monolingual nation-states: the official-language system in the Union, state freedom regarding official languages, minority rights, and constitutional status via the Eighth Schedule. Language was a competing imperative at independence, one the aspiration to a unifying language of national administration and national symbolism, and the other the fact that regions of India had always had their own literary and administrative requirements to serve, in other languages. The settlement in the Constitution did not include the name of a single national language, but created a regime of an official language. Part XVII made Hindi in Devanagari the official language of the Union with English allowed to remain the official language in fifteen years (and, by subsequent legislation, afterwards). At the same time, the Eighth Schedule established a constitutional recognition category of languages, and today includes 22 languages. This was not a depoliticalised language design; it directed language conflict into the democratic process--parliament, courts, commissions, state legislation, elections and federal negotiation. Managed bilingualism at the Union level was formalised by the Official

Languages Act, 1963 (forced since 1965). The main argument of the paper is that language in India should be understood as a political technology: as a stable mechanism by which movements can mobilise publics, parties can build brand identities and policies can be entrenched in routine administrative practices. This is observable in classical episodes- anti-Hindi agitations, linguistic reorganisation of states, Punjabi Suba, sons-of-soil mobilisation, and Kannada language mobilisations.

2. Theory and Conceptual View: The Process of Party Attachment to Languages.

Language and politics research is based on various theoretical traditions. The nationalist theory considers language to be a central aspect of nation building and national identity. Lingual nationalism in India was created as a reaction to the colonial rule and then it developed into regional claims within a federal system.

The language federalism concept elaborates on how multilingual nations can embrace multiculturalism using territorial and institutional aspects. This is the same design that can be seen in the federal design of India which reorganised the states on linguistic basis. The approaches of political economy emphasize the role of language as a resource that determines access to power, jobs and education. Elite theory also describes how political leaders can use language to gather support and form electoral alliances.

In this paper I suggest three mechanisms which transform language into long standing party identity. As a government-owned entity, the company experiences governance-related challenges as it must manage unnecessary expenses and perceived competition among staff members.

2.1 Governance Incentives (Policy Stakes)

Because it is a government-owned company, it has various issues to governance since it has to deal with redundant spending and perceived rivalry among employees.

Language is politically relevant where it concerns:

- **Education**: the medium of instruction; mandatory language; two-language or three-language options. The three-language formula is an outcome of language diversity and political opposition in the form of policy.

- **Jobs and exams**: recruitment policies, state-level language policies, and perceived benefit/disadvantage of Hindi or English.

- **Administrative access**: language of forms, correspondence, parliamentary proceedings, and communication between the Union and the States. The Official Languages Act clearly organises continuing use of the English language and the circumstances of communication between the Unions and the States.

2.2 Boundary-Making of Symbolic Character (Dignity and "Imposition")

Language is a border in the open space:

- signboards, writing, naming.

- slogans ("Maharashtra to Marathi," "Tamil pride," "Punjabi Suba")

- the ethical assertion that it is the language of the speaker which is being overpowered, swept away, or slandered.

This turns the art of daily conversation into a politics of dignity, in which parties are able to do protection.

2.3 Competition between parties is based on the competitiveness of policy alternatives offered in the party platform.

In the case of ideology/class becoming merged, language cuts a clean line:

- National parties might lose to **regional parties** in terms of being authentic (we are the real protectors of the region).

- **National parties** may rebrand language protection as parochialism, or a pan-Indian unity discourse based on Hindi (or multilingual inclusion).

3.1 Language and Nationalism

Language as well as nationalism is linked through one of the oldest and most powerful branches of literature. According to Ernest Gellner, language is the main focus of the building of modern nations because it not only standardises communication but also allows mass political involvement. The notion of imagined communities by Benedict Anderson stresses the importance of a common language in helping people to build a sense of belonging. These theories lead to the establishment of an initial explanation of the reasons why linguistic identity is a political issue, specifically in post-colonial communities such as India.

In the Indian example, researchers indicate that nationalism was not formed on the basis of a single language, but rather on the basis of a multi-layered accommodation of various linguistic identities. The fact that India is an exception to the European model is what makes it unique when it comes to comparative nationalism studies. Indian language played both roles as a unifying symbol that opposed colonialism and as a source of regional distinction.

3.2 Multilingual States and Linguistic Federalism.

Linguistic federalism is a concept that has received a lot of coverage in comparative politics. The consociational democracy by Arend Lijphart puts emphasis on the power-sharing mechanisms that promote the management of deep social divisions such as language. Using this model in relation to India, scholars hold that linguistic reorganisation of states was a conflict-management mechanism and not a disintegration mechanism. The seminal work on the language, religion and politics in North India by Paul R. Brass shows that linguistic identities have been constructed and mobilised by the elites in a political way. Brass highlights that language movements are not spontaneous cultural phenomena but rather influenced by the competition in politics and reactions of the states. His interpretation continues to be important in explaining the language mobilisation processes in India.

3.3 Language, Political Parties, as well as Electoral Mobilisation.

There is a considerable amount of literature that looks at the correlation between language and party politics. Christophe Jaffrelot examines the mobilisation of linguistic and cultural identities via regional parties to challenge the central dominance. The examples of the Dravidian movement in Tamil Nadu show that the mobilisation of language can contribute to the prolonged political change and policy development. Studies of the states in North India indicate different trends as Hindi has served as a national language as well as a regional opposition. According to scholars, linguistic identity can be connected to other socio-economic concerns, and it is the capacity of linguistic parties to do so that makes them successful.

4. Historical evolution of language politics in India

4.1 Language Pre-Colonial India.

The pre-colonial India was defined by multilingualism. Alongside each other there were languages that included Sanskrit, Persian, Prakrit, and other local vernaculars which had various social and administrative uses. Sanskrit was a language of learning and religion whereas Persian was the language

of administration in various medieval administrations. Notably, linguistic diversity was not associated with strict political lines, rather, cultural accommodation and translation processes facilitated the communication between the regions.

Language homogeneity was not the basis of political power in the pre-colonial era. Several languages benefitted in the courts of rulers, and the local languages were thriving in literature and local government. This pluralistic linguistic order provided the cultural groundwork of future discussions of the topic of language and identity.

4.2 The Colonial Language Policy and Its Effect.

The British colonial rule completely changed the linguistic situation in India. The establishment of English as language of higher administration and education produced a new breed of English educated elites. The Minute on Education (1835) by Macaulay was a representation of the choice of the colonial state to use English over indigenous languages in governance.

Meanwhile, several Indian languages were standardised by the colonial administration to make them convenient in administration. The process inadvertently reinforced the identity of languages and enabled the rise of the language-based publics. The role of print capitalism, vernacular newspapers, and modern education in the politicisation of language was that it connected language to social reform and political mobilisation.

4.3 Language and the National Movement.

The Indian national movement was largely dependent on language. Other leaders like Mahatma Gandhi stressed the need to mobilise masses through vernacular languages and democratic votes. In 1920, the Indian National Congress restructured their provincial committees along linguistic lines; it realised the language as a valid organising principle of politics.

The struggle to have a national language was heated up during this time. Although Hindi has come out as a powerful competitor, opposition by non-Hindi areas highlighted the multifacetedness of lingo-nationalism in India. The national movement was therefore an indicator of a fine line between unity and diversity which was later codified in the Constitution.

4.4 Assembly Constituent Discussions about Language.

One of the most controversial issues in the Constitution framing process was the debate on language in the Constituent Assembly. Members were split into those who demanded Hindi as the only national language and those who wanted English to be continued in use and that multiple languages be accepted. There were fears over integration of the nation, effectiveness of administration, and the rights of the minority.

Part XVII of the Constitution was the ultimate compromise. The Devanagari script of Hindi became the official language of the Union and during a transition period, English was allowed as an official language. This was further recognized by the inclusion of the Eighth Schedule which recognized the linguistic diversity of India. These arguments demonstrate the pragmatic and accommodative idea that the framers of the Constitution had.

4.5 The issue of nationalism and language: unity vs plurality.

The nationalism of the Indians by independence needed to come to terms:

- the symbolic value of a common language,

- the multilingual inclusion, which is a necessity of the democracy,

- the expediency of continuity in administration.

A federal multilingual compromise was adopted in the Constitution instead of a monolingual model national language.

Political value of language in India has developed through centuries influenced by the cultural customs, colonialism, national movements, and discussions on the constitution. This historical course is important in the analysis of the modern language politics. In this section, the development of language politics since the pre-colonial era to the Constitution-making era will be followed.

5. The Language and the Constitution of India.

The Indian Constitution offers one of the most detailed world constitutional frameworks on how to handle linguistic diversity through a democratic polity. The framers of the Constitution were well aware of the fact that India was multilingual and multicultural and therefore they tried to strike a balance where national unity was maintained and cultural and linguistic independence were preserved. The provisions covering language are spread between Fundamental Rights, Directive Principles, and certain constitutional sections, which is indicative of both symbolic concerns and concerns of governance.

5.1 Multilingualism Vision in the Constitution.

In India, there is neither an assimilationist nor a separatist constitutional approach to language. It is rather accommodative and pluralistic. The framers also did not believe in the suggestion to impose a national language and instead chose to have a flexible system that enabled more than one language to thrive under a single political system. This vision is grounded on the postulation that linguistic diversity is a strength and not a weakness of Indian democracy.

The Constitution views language as a cultural right, an administrative medium and a democratic instrument. The fact that it has incorporated language provisions in various sections of the Constitution means that linguistic challenges are not limited to symbolism only but rather they are incorporated in governance and protection of rights.

5.2 Part XVII (Articles 343-351): Official Language of the Union.

In Part XVII of the Constitution (Articles 343-351), there is an explicit description of the official language of the Union and the states. Article 343 states Hindi in the Devanagari script to be the official language of the Union, although English could still be used as an official language within a transitional period. This was to be a compromise between conflicting linguistic interests.

In Article 344 and 351, the appointment of commissions and committees to revise the use of language and to encourage the use of Hindi in the region of other languages has been provided. Notably, the Constitution does not subject the states to Hindi, but gives them the choice of having their own languages as the official languages under Article 345. This federal spirit of the Constitution is indicated by this decentralised approach.

5.3 Official Languages Act, 1963 and Amendment (1967): Entrenching Continuity.

The Official Languages Act allows (but does not compel) the continued use of English as an official language along with Hindi even after the fifteen-year mark, and prescribes Union-State communication particularly in states that have never embraced Hindi.

These policy politics and the amendment of 1967 are important in stabilising non-Hindi state anxiety at a sudden Hindi-only shift.

5.4 The Eighth Schedule: 22 Official Languages.

Initially, fourteen languages were provided in the Eighth Schedule, as the country recognized the linguistic populations of India. New languages have been added with time due to political mobilisation and cultural recognition. Being listed in the Eighth Schedule is symbolically prestigious and practically advantageous, including being represented in national exams and institutions.

But researchers argue as to whether the expansion of the Eighth Schedule goes far enough in dealing with linguistic diversity or introduces new levels of priority among languages. Nonetheless, the Schedule is an important constitutional tool in the achievement of linguistic plurality. The 22 existing planned languages are named on official government lists with an expansion observed due to the amendments.

Milestones in Amendments include:

- 21st Amendment (1967): Sindhi added

- Kokani, Manipuri (Meitei), Nepali were added as 71 st Amendment (1992).

- 92nd Amendment (2003; assented 2004): Bodo, Dogri, Maithili, Santhali were added.

- Subsequent nomenclature change: Oriya - Odia (generally assumed to be the result of the 2011 amendment to standard references)

5.4 Linguistic Rights are Fundamental Rights (Articles 29 and 30)

Articles 29 and 30 of the Constitution safeguard the cultural and educational rights of minorities including linguistic minorities. Article 29 provides the right to preserve the unique language and culture and Article 30 allows the minority to create and manage educational institutions of their own.

The interpretations of the courts have enhanced these requirements, confirming that language minorities have constitutional rights regardless of their strength in the national level. These rights are essential in averting language assimilation and inclusive citizenship.

5.5. Language, Judiciary and administration.

The use of language at courts and administration is a practical issue in the multilingual society. Although English is still the language of higher courts, lower courts are allowed to speak regional languages. There are still arguments regarding the access to justice and linguistic inclusivity.

The language policy in administration has an impact on efficiency of governance and citizen involvement. The constitutional system is flexible where states have the flexibility to adjust the use of language based on the regional requirements without eliminating intrastate and national coordination.

5.6 Official Language Commission and Committee.

The Constitution offers application of official language commissions to periodically review language policy. These agencies become intermediaries of conflicting language interests and propose changes in policy. Their presence highlights the unstable and changing character of language governance in India.

6. Timeline: Major Language-Policy Turning Points (1950–Present)

Note: This timeline focuses on nationally significant constitutional/legal/education-policy moments and landmark mobilisation–policy interactions. Some items reflect state-level politics with national consequences.

"Major Language-Policy Turning Points (1950–Present)", keeping only the most politically decisive moments:

1950 – Constitution comes into force: Part XVII establishes Hindi as Union official language with continued use of English, institutionalising a multilingual compromise.

The Linguistic Architecture of …

1953 – Formation of Andhra State after Telugu mobilisation sets the precedent for language-based territorial reorganisation.

1956 – States Reorganisation Act restructures India primarily on linguistic lines, embedding linguistic federalism.

1963 – Official Languages Act allows continued use of English beyond 1965, calming non-Hindi state anxieties.

1965 – Anti-Hindi agitations in Tamil Nadu transform language resistance into enduring party realignment.

1967 – Amendment to Official Languages Act reinforces Hindi–English bilingualism and stabilises centre–state relations.

1968 – National Policy on Education adopts the three-language formula, making education a central arena of language politics.

1992 – 71st Constitutional Amendment expands the Eighth Schedule (Konkani, Manipuri, Nepali), signalling recognition-driven bargaining.

2004 – 92nd Constitutional Amendment adds four more languages, reflecting coalition-era expansion of linguistic recognition.

2020 – National Education Policy 2020 re-energises language debates through renewed emphasis on multilingual education and medium of instruction.

7. Indian language and political parties.

The political parties have been very decisive in converting linguistic identity into organised political power in India. Although the Constitution offers institutional structure of the linguistic diversity management, political parties are the main actors who mobilise language in election, governing and policy-making. The section is a study of the role of language in shaping the party formation, strategies and the transformation of Indian party system.

7.1 The Development of Regional Parties based on language.

The emergence of regional parties based on languages is one of the major changes in Indian politics where the Congress party-controlled centralism gave way to pluralistic and competitive parties. In other states, language identity formed the basis of sustainable political organisations. [Reginal parties based on language in Tamil Nadu, Punjab and Maharashtra]

Regional parties in Maharashtra paralysed language to express regional pride and counter what was perceived as cultural oppression by the centre.

These parties did not rest entirely on the linguistic sentiment but they were able to associate language with social justice, employment as well as regional development. This political connection helped them to turn the cultural shifts into continuous electoral victory.

7.2 National Parties and Language Strategy.

The politics of language have been manifested as subtle by the national parties, especially the National Party (INC & BJP). Historically, the NATIONAL PARTY had advocated linguistic accommodation in its

nation-building policy, whereby it promoted the restructuring of states and the fact that English was to be used to reassure non-Hindi states.

As they advance Hindi language as a marker of cultural nationalism, the BJP has also been able to respond to local linguistic environments to increase its voter following. This two-pronged approach corresponds to limitations of the linguistic diversity in India on political mobilisation on the national level.

7.3 Language as a Tool of Election.

In electoral mobilisation, language is an essential factor. Language is employed by political parties as a means of campaign rhetoric, manifestos and symbolic gestures to reach out to voters. The decision to use a given language in politics is an indicator of inclusivity or exclusiveness and this can largely affect voting.

In multilingual states, the political parties tend to use multilingual approaches, and in non-homogenous languages, language is used as a source of authenticity and legitimacy. Language politicisation is increased as a result of electoral competition.

7.4 Linguistic Bargaining and Coalition Politics.

The coalition politics at the national level has improved the bargaining power of the language based regional parties. These parties use their influence in parliaments to affect the policy of the national language, distribution of resources and in federal bargaining. Coalition governments have thus strengthened the capacity of the use of language as a negotiating tool in the federal polity of India.

7.5 Impact on the Party System

Mobilisation of the language has led to decentralisation and regionalisation of Indian party system. Although this has enhanced democratic representation, it has equally broken down the political competition. However, linguistic parties have turned out to be important to the operation of the Indian democracy, which proves that linguistic diversity can go together with political stability.

8. The H-E-R triangular of Hindi-English-Regional languages.

The language politics in India are not simply that of Hindi vs regional languages. It is a strategic triangle:

- Hindi: presented as unity/indigenous identity; sold by constitutional desire (Part XVII)

- English: as opportunity/neutral and preserved by act of parliament (Official Languages Act)

- Regional languages: as dignity/federal rights, guaranteed by linguistic states and schedule acknowledgment.

The parties place themselves by deciding what corner(s) of this triangle they would highlight:

- National parties can give privileges to Hindi (symbolic togetherness) and tolerate English as an administrative language.

- The parties of the region tend to protect regional language dignity and at the same time use English as a balance (resource/neutrality).

- The politics of coalition promotes compromising language: the embrace of multilingualism with an indication of cultural priorities.

9. Restructuring of States on a Linguistic basis.

Linguistic reorganisation of Indian states is one of the greatest political and constitutional experimentation in the post-independence India. It brought a clear change of the colonial administrative borders with the federal system where linguistic identity should be treated as the valid basis of political organisation. This was a profound transformation of relations between centre-states and the predetermination of language politics in independent India.

9.1 The first requirement of Linguistic States.

Language provinces were demanded long before independence. Some of the leaders during the national movement realised that language homogeneity would lead to improved efficiency in administration and participation in the democracy. In 1920, this understanding was manifested by the decision of the Indian National Congress to re-plan its provincial committees on linguistic basis. But following the independence, the leadership was initially against linguistic reorganisation due to concerns of unity of the nation.

The pressure was aggravated when **Potti Sriramulu**, who was fasting to have a separate Telugu-speaking state, died in 1952. This incident showed how profoundly the sentiments of language were and politically unsustainable was the central government to disregard the linguistic ambitions.

9.2 Linguistic Provinces Commission and States Reorganisation Commission.

The Government of India responded by appointing Linguistic Provinces Commission (1948) that took a conservative approach given the increasing demands. Later the States Reorganisation Commission (SRC) was set up in 1953 to carry out an extensive review. SRC recognised language as a force of identity but focused on administrative feasibility and national integration.

States Reorganisation Act of 1956 restructured state borders, which was mostly based on the language lines and formed a more rational and representative federal system. This reform established the linguistic identity in the constitutional framework and minimised the possibility of linguistic conflicts through violence.

9.3 Political and Federal Implications.

The reorganisation of linguistics enhanced the federalism of the Indians by bringing the governance nearer to the people. It improved political involvement by making the citizens communicate with the state using their own language. Against expectations, lingual states did not dilute national unity; it was also a source of political stability as it was able to mitigate regional ambitions.

Nevertheless, other effects of linguistic federalism were strengthened regionalism and competitive identity politics. The political elites at the state level mobilised language more and more because of demanding autonomy and more resources at the centre. These dynamics transformed the Indian party system and helped in the emergence of great regional parties.

9.4 Evaluation of Linguistic Federalism.

Linguistic reorganisation has been considered by scholars as a successful case of democratic accommodation. It revealed how the Indian state was able to modify constitutional arrangements to the demands of the society. Simultaneously, constant pressures to create new states and accept other languages imply that linguistic federalism is dynamism and a process of change.

10. CASE STUDY

Case Study 1. 10.1: Tamil Language Politics and the formation of regional party of TAMIL NADU.

The traditional example of the language politics restructuring the party system is the situation in Tamil Nadu. The key pattern is:

- Perceived danger (Hindi-only future; central dominance),

- Mass mobilisation,

- Electoral transformation into sustainable party system.

One of the biggest impetuses was opposition to the imposition of Hindi particularly in the time when there were heightened language transition anxieties. The political implications were far-reaching: the mobilisation of language has led to the loss of the leading role of Congress in the state and the emergence of the Dravidian parties as the main rival (as historical summaries of the anti-Hindi campaigns describe it).

Party linkage mechanism: -

- Tamil was the emblematic heart of a wider ideology (regional pride, social justice discourses, anti-north dominance framing).

- It was the parties that became popular as the defenders of Tamil through which rule could be culturally, which was not the case just through administrative means.

The policy outcomes that are normally related to this route (which will be filled with state documents):

- intense student focus on Tamil in government and education,

- opposition to perceived language policy centralization,

- longevity in the construction of culture-institutions (academies, literary commemorations).

The Tamil Identity and the Dravidian Movement.

The **Regional parties**, are, perhaps, the most successful interplay between language and politics. The origins of the movement had been in the 1930s, in reaction to Hindi imposition, the imposition of Hindi that Periyar E.V. Ramaswamy saw as a move towards making Tamils subservient to North Indians, but which the few regional parties instead supported as a language of modernity and rationality capable of empowering the subaltern.23 It is interesting to note that the few regional parties opposed Hindi as a

symbol of Aryan domination, but instead advocated English as a language of modernity and rationality that could empower the subaltern.

Case Study 2: Punjabi Language, State ReOrganisation and Party Competition.

The language politics in Punjab cannot be distanced with identity institutions and reorganisation of territories. Linguistic reorganisation is a national mechanism that has been validated since the 1950s and most notably the 1956 reorganisation which made language a valid boundary-making tool.

In Punjab, language mobilisation traditionally crossed with the identity of the communities and institutional power formation-dropping party competition and coalition building. There are several Punjab-politics theises that can be exploited to obtain finer levels of electoral historical data and institutional analysis (e.g., theses on regional parties factionalism and periods of Punjab politics performance).

Party linkage mechanism:

Language takes a proxy role of territorial and institutional control.

Parties acquire power by playing the role of protecting the interests of the communities-linguistic, and they affect education and administrative linguistic regimes.

Outcomes of the policies (which will be operationalised empirically):

- practices in adoption of administrative languages,

- script politics and choices of mediums of education,

- Compliance at state-level and departments.

Sikh Identity and Akali Dal.

The regional party of Punjab case is exceptional to the extent that the notion of religious and linguistic identities cannot be separated. This movement later changed into the 1966 reorganization of Punjab where the Punjab was required to be a state in which the Punjabi in the Gurmukhi script would be the most important language, however, census data in 1966 was highly disputed as linguistic data were commonly interpreted as the community-based (Sikh vs. Hindu) identification.

10.3. Case Study 3: Marathi Mobilisation and Urban Competitive Politics.

Marathi language politics is closely related to urban labour markets and municipal politics, whose migration and insecure employment makes the claims of sons-of-soil that more salient. **Party linkage usually takes the following form:**

- a linguistic version of a nativist story,

- A strategy of mobilisation based in the city level,

- symbolic policy requires (signage, job reservations, cultural primacy).

It is an instance in which language politics can also rise and fall in a cyclical manner due to such factors as economic factors, coalition politics, and division within the party- it is therefore best suited to analyzing the variation of language salience with time.

The Regional Party of Maharashtra and Maratha Pride.

The Shiv Sena, which was a party in Maharashtra, exploited the idea of the son of the soil to promote the rights of the Marathi speakers. The Bodo Struggle for Autonomy in Assam The Bodo Struggle was formed in 1966, and it grew its strength on the basis of Marathi pride and the Marathi language.

10.4 The Bodo Struggle in Assam in the Struggle to Gain Autonomy.

The Bodo movement in the Northeast demonstrates how linguistic identity may result in claims to territorial autonomy or even statehood. Although the movement expressed ethnic pride (Bodo) by means of soft ethnicity, it in the end became a fear of that preservation of the Assamese cultural identity would result in the imposition of the Assamese language on the tribes that formed the majority, and thus the tribal group (Bodoland territorial region) emerged as the most influential entity in the state.

11 — Mini-Cases

11.1. Mini-Case A: Telugu Statehood (Andhra, 1953) and the Precedent of Linguistic Territorialisation

The activism of the Telugu statehood is generally considered to be the basis of subsequent linguistic reorganisation. The fast and death of Potti Sreeramulu is generally perceived to have led to the formation of Andhra State and set a precedence in the formation of states by language.

Mechanism: language - territorial demand - state formation - new regional political arena.

Why it is important: The mini-case demonstrates that language politics can transform the federal map and establish years of lasting institutional arenas of regional party politics.

11.2. Minicase B: Assam and the Barak Valley Bengali Language Movement (1960-61).

Barak Valley movement was a movement that was a protest against the adoption of Assamese as the only official language resulting in mass mobilisation and eventual accommodation of Bengali at regional level.

Mechanism: state-level language affirmation - minority-region backlash - protest - statutory/administrative accommodation.

Party linkage: This makes language a cross-party bargaining conflict in the region and influences the strategies of the candidates.

11.3. Mini-Case C: the Gokak Movement and Education Policy Controversy at Karnataka.

Gokak agitation in Karnataka was interested in the primacy of Kannada in education and in the public life and stands as a central point of reference in Kannada sub-nationalism.

The last peer-reviewed work actually re-evaluates the Gokak movement and its modern echoes, emphasizing the possibility of the language mobilisation being rejuvenated.

Mechanism The education policy (first-language status) - mobilisation - administrative orders - ongoing contestation.

Party connection: parties are fighting to establish themselves as feasible protectors of Kannada and strike a balance between the minorities and urban middle-class interests.

11.4. Mini-Case D: Urdu Politics Identity, Institutions and Hindi-Urdu Divide.

The politics of Urdu explains how language could be intertwined with religion, minority identity and institutional presence (schools, media, administration). The research work that are directly related to the role of Urdu in identity formation and in media settings.

Individually, the academic discourse of the created political distance between Hindi and Urdu (colonial/ postcolonial) emphasizes the ability of language boundaries to trace communal frames.

Mechanism: language-identity - institutional claims (education/media) - party contention of minority representation and secularism.

The language politics of India is indicative of institutional pluralism as opposed to cultural diversity. Flexibility in the constitution which has enabled states to decide on the official languages and retain English and encourage the Hindi language has reduced conflict. However, there is still a politicization of the language as a part of education, employment, fiscal federalism, and digital technologies. Notably, the language movements tend to be integrative and aimed at gaining recognition in India and not secession. The Census is a political category-maker, which defines the recognition and invisibility of languages. There are still linguistic hierarchies, as English is cultural capital and a source of mobility to the marginalized groups, despite bringing about new exclusions. Vernacular publics have been revitalized by digital media, forming a new model of democratic participation and politics of election.

12. Contemporary Issues, Challenges, and Prospects of Language Politics in India

Current Problems, Problems, and Future of Language politics in India.

The language politics in India is an ever-changing phenomenon with respect to the political, social and technological environment. Although the constitutional structure has been able to bring about stability, some modern issues have created new controversies and frictions. In this part, the author examines existing problems, tries to outline structural problems, and evaluates the future opportunities.

12.1 Modern Problems in the Politics of language.

Among the most discussed modern debates is the issue of propagating the Hindi language and the opposition it creates in the non-Hindi speaking areas. Theories on official communication, education policy, and competitive examinations occasionally rekindle language domination phobias. Concurrently, the English language is still firmly established on the higher education, judicial and international communication level, which gives rise to a paradoxical level of subordination of other languages.

The second emerging concern is that there is need to include other languages in the Eighth Schedule. These requests are expressions of a desire to be recognized as a culture and seen as a political entity but their demands question criteria, practicality and the consequences of the ever increasing expansion.

The language politics has also been heightened by social media and digital platforms to strengthen linguistic identities and mobilisation within a short period of time. The spaces on online have turned out to be a site of cultural assertion and polarisation.

12.2 Problems of Linguistic Diversity Management.

Although there are constitutional protection, there are still a number of issues. The gaps between the constitutional intent and the administrative practice in terms of implementation are still prominent in the areas of education and justice accessibility. Linguistic minorities are usually challenged by the inability to gain proper representation and resources.

The other issue is the struggle between national unity and regional independence. Too much centralisation in language policy can lead to alienation of the regions and unrestrained regionalism can put strains on federal coordination. This is a balance that needs to be handled through continuous governance.

12.3 Future Prospects and Trajectories.

Adaptive governance, including policy-making, will be the future of language politics in India. Social cohesion can be reinforced by strengthening the multilingual education, widen the digital infrastructure of languages, and encouraging inter-linguistic dialogue. The constitutional structure is flexible enough to accommodate such changes as long as there is restraint and sensitivity on the part of the political actors.

The issue of language politics is most likely to continue as dynamic to Indian democracy. The fact that it remains in negotiation indicates the strength of democratic institutions and the ability of the Indian state to handle diversity via constitutional means.

13. Comparative Perspective

A comparative study of multilingual democracies shows the peculiarities of the Indian way of language politics. Other examples of countries that have embraced different forms of dealing with linguistic diversity include Canada, Belgium, and Switzerland that dwell on a bilingual federalism to the consociational system.

The model in India is more typified by wide linguistic recognition and lax federal reorganisation as compared to such instances. In contrast to the strict bilingual systems, India does not have a set of power-sharing quotas among various languages. Such comparative approach highlights the relative success of the accommodative constitutionalism in India as well as establishes points of improvement, especially in the policy enforcement.

14. Findings and Discussion

This paper concludes that language in India serves not only as a cultural characteristic of identity but is a sustainable political and institutional asset in the structure of the Constitution, federal structures and party politics. Stability in the democracy of India has not come as a solution to linguistic diversity but as an active institutionalisation of the diversity through constitutional vagueness and negotiated government.

A major conclusion is that the constitutional clauses, especially Part XVII of the Constitution, Eighth Schedule, the Official Languages Act, and protections of minorities in Articles 29 and 30 do not depoliticise language; they merely organise and routinise language conflict. The bilingualism of both Hindi and English as the official languages of the Union and the constitutional persistence of English involved a stable but partial settlement. This lack of completeness does not allow the establishment of an inflexible hierarchy within the language and directs conflicts into democratic bodies like Parliament, courts, commissions and elections instead of extra-constitutional conflict. This means that language can be politically relevant without necessarily being system-destabilising.

The results are quite convincing to agree that the increase in democratic legitimacy has been achieved through linguistic federalism. The decentralisation of the states based on the language groupings harmonized the administration with the daily communicative facts, enhanced the access to administration and political involvement. Linguistic states did not divide the Indian nation, as it was feared at first, but rather integrated some regional identities into the constitutional order. Things have gone, however, differently. States where literature was very strong, media systems and organisational networks succeeded in translating linguistic identity into a lasting political force, whereas less-integrated regions saw episodic mobilisation of language that never resulted in permanent change in the party-system. Tamil Nadu emerged as the best example of these states.

As a comparative case study of Tamil Nadu, Punjab, and Maharashtra reveals that language mobilisation can only result in long-term political consequences under certain circumstances. The paper states that there are three conditions of conversion to party movement including organisational depth, electoral translation and institutionalisation of policies. Tamil Nadu is an embodiment of a total conversion direction, Punjab is a kind of a bargaining direction that is built into coalition politics, and Maharashtra is a form of cyclic mobilisation under the influence of the economic pressures of the metropolis.

In cases, four common policy consequences are evident: the reorganization of territories, the change of educational policy, the administrative language regulations, and the symbolic recognition. The territorial

and administrative consequences are likely to be protracted whereas education and figurative recognition are repetitive sources of conflict. The analysis also reveals that the politics of language in India is based on a strategic triangle of Hindi, English and regional languages and each of them has distinct political functions. Lastly, although linguistic federalism has been able to increase inclusion, the inequalities have not been eliminated and some are in fact becoming more localized over time as well as linguistic capital imbalances tied to the English language and new language struggles are becoming more and more digitalized as well as access to state services.

15. Conclusion

This paper will analyze the long-term relationship that exists between language and politics and illustrate that language is not only a cultural identity but also a long-term political and institutional resource. The thesis statement is that, the stability of democracy in India has not come as a outcome to the resolution of linguistic diversity but through the institutionalisation of linguistic diversity in the constitutional design, federal accommodation, and the never-ending political bargain.

As it was analyzed, the Constitution of India followed a path of controlled multilingualism as opposed to linguistic homogenisation. The Constitution organised language into a negotiable political space through Part XVII, the Eighth Schedule as well as minorities languages protection. The same method was also applied to the English language under the Official Languages Act, which prevented linguistic majoritarianism but ensured continuity in the administration. The language conflicts were used in the democratic institutions such as Parliament, courts, commissions, elections and federal bargaining instead of being subjected to instability.

Comparative study of Tamil Nadu, Punjab and Maharashtra can bring us to the point of the fact that linguistic mobilisation is not necessarily the same as long-term political influence. The success of movement-to-party conversion is based on the organisational depth, electoral translation and policy institutionalisation. Tamil Nadu exemplifies a full-fledged trajectory, in which language politics remodeled the party system and introduced long-term lock-in of policy, Punjab is an example of a bargaining model, and Maharashtra is an example of cyclical mobilisation driven by urban economic effects. These changes show that language can only be politically lasting when it is part of conducive institutional ecosystems.

This paper recognises some common policy results of language politics, such as the reorganisation of territories, the reform of educational policy, administrative language regulations, and symbolic

recognition. The most politically unstable spheres are education and employment in the state since it directly influences social mobility as well as access to the state. Another issue raised in the paper is that linguistic federalism has increased political inclusion and, at the same time, contributed to socio-economic inequalities, especially the unequal access to linguistic capital related to English.The paper also states that the digital governance is introducing a new phase in the linguistic politics.

Policy Recommendations

- Increase multilingual education with equal focus on regional languages, Hindi and English.

- Extend digital governance platform in various Indian languages.

- Make linguistic minority rights in education and administration an effective implementation.

- Encourage mechanisms of dialogue in resolving language related conflict.

References (APA 7th Edition)

- Anderson, B. (1983). *Imagined communities: Reflections on the origin and spread of nationalism*. Verso.

- Austin, G. (1966). *The Indian Constitution: Cornerstone of a nation*. Oxford University Press.

- Austin, G. (1999). *Working a democratic constitution: The Indian experience*. Oxford University Press.

- Brass, P. R. (1974). *Language, religion and politics in North India*. Cambridge University Press.

- Brass, P. R. (1991). *Ethnicity and nationalism: Theory and comparison*. Sage Publications.

- Chatterjee, P. (1993). *The nation and its fragments: Colonial and postcolonial histories*. Princeton University Press.

- Das Gupta, J. (1970). Language conflict and national development: Group politics and national language policy in India. *World Politics, 22*(4), 617–639. https://doi.org/10.2307/2009791

- Devy, G. N. (2018). *India: A linguistic civilisation*. Penguin Random House India.

- Gellner, E. (1983). *Nations and nationalism*. Cornell University Press.

- Government of India. (1963). *The Official Languages Act, 1963* (as amended). Ministry of Law and Justice.

- Government of India. (2020). *National Education Policy 2020*. Ministry of Education.

- Government of India. (2023). *The Constitution of India*. Ministry of Law and Justice.

- Jaffrelot, C. (2003). *India's silent revolution: The rise of the lower castes in North India*. Permanent Black.

- Jaffrelot, C. (2012). Regional parties and coalition politics in India. *India Review, 11*(2), 113–135. https://doi.org/10.1080/14736489.2012.674361

- King, R. D. (1997). *Nehru and the language politics of India*. Oxford University Press.

- Lijphart, A. (1977). *Democracy in plural societies: A comparative exploration*. Yale University Press.

- Schiffman, H. (1996). *Linguistic culture and language policy*. Routledge.

- Shodhganga. (2014). *Language, education and public policy in India* (Doctoral dissertation). Jawaharlal Nehru University. https://shodhganga.inflibnet.ac.in

- Shodhganga. (2018). *Regional parties and language politics in India* (Doctoral dissertation). University of Hyderabad. https://shodhganga.inflibnet.ac.in

- Udupa, S. (2018). Enterprise Hindutva and social media in urban India. *Contemporary South Asia, 26*(4), 453–467. https://doi.org/10.1080/09584935.2018.1545007