The Academic
International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research

An Online Peer Reviewed / Refereed Journal
Volume 3 | Issue 9 | September 2025
ISSN: 2583-973X (Online)
Website: www.theacademic.in

Impact of Digital Privacy Concerns on Consumer Trust and Purchase Intentions

Dr. Prince Kumar

Assistant Professor, Commerce, Hindu College, Moradabad, E-mail: princek229@gmail.com

Prof. S. K. Rastogi *

Faculty of Commerce, Hindu College, Moradabad, Affiliated to Guru Jambheshwar University, Moradabad, U.P., India

* Corresponding Author: mrsanjayrastogi@gmail.com

DOI : https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20117986

ARTICLE DETAILS

Research Paper

Accepted: 16-09-2025

Published: 10-10-2025

Keywords:

Digital Privacy Concerns; Consumer Trust; Purchase Intentions; Online Shopping Behavior; and E-commerce Privacy Policies

ABSTRACT

This study investigates the influence of digital privacy concerns on consumer trust and purchase intentions in online commerce. Drawing on a survey of 336 respondents, it examines how apprehensions about data collection, usage, and breaches affect trust in digital platforms and subsequently shape purchasing behavior. Employing statistical analyses including descriptive statistics, chi-square, and Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests, the research confirms that privacy concerns significantly reduce consumer trust, which mediates their impact on purchase intentions. The findings highlight the critical role of transparent privacy policies and robust data protection in fostering consumer confidence and encouraging online transactions. Demographic factors such as age, gender, and occupation further contextualize these relationships. Despite the limitations of a cross-sectional design and potential sampling bias, the study offers valuable insights into the interplay between privacy-related fears and online consumer behavior, informing e-commerce strategies aimed at enhancing trust and stimulating digital commerce engagement. This research contributes to understanding the mechanisms by which privacy concerns can be mitigated through trust-building efforts in digital marketplaces.

1. Introduction

The emergence and proliferation of digital commerce platforms have redefined how consumers interact with businesses, offering unprecedented convenience and personalization. However, this evolution has been accompanied by escalating concerns around digital privacy and its implications for consumer trust and purchase intentions. With repeated publicized data breaches and the increasing sophistication of data analytics, consumers face heightened risks regarding the misuse of their personal information. In this context, understanding how privacy concerns affect consumers' willingness to trust digital platforms and proceed with purchases is both timely and critical for researchers and practitioners alike. This paper aims to systematically explore the intricate relationship between privacy concerns, trust, and purchase intention in digital environments.

1.1 Digital Privacy Concerns

Digital privacy concerns refer to individuals' worries or apprehensions regarding how their personal data is collected, used, stored, and shared in the digital environment. These concerns are heightened by the potential risks of unauthorized access, misuse, surveillance, or identity theft that can arise when personal information is handled online. In essence, digital privacy concerns stem from the fear of losing control over one's personal data on digital platforms, especially in contexts where data protection may be weak or regulations are unclear.

1.2 Consumer Trust

Consumer trust describes the degree to which a customer is willing to rely on the integrity, ability, and fairness of a business or platform, particularly in online transactions. It is the psychological state where the consumer feels confident that the company will protect their interests and fulfill its promises without taking advantage of them. Trust reduces perceived risk and increases a customer's willingness to engage in digital exchanges, share information, or complete transactions.

1.3 Purchase Intentions

Purchase intentions represent the likelihood or willingness of a consumer to buy a product or service in the future. In research, this term is often used as a predictor of actual buying behavior, reflecting the influence of factors like privacy concerns, trust in the seller, product value, and previous experiences. High purchase intentions generally indicate that a customer is considering or planning to make a purchase soon, shaped by their attitudes, perceptions, and external influences.

2. Review of Literature

A substantial body of literature investigates the complex relationships among privacy concerns, consumer trust, perceived risk, and purchase intentions within digital commerce.

2.1 **Fortes and Rita (2016)** provide empirical evidence that heightened privacy concerns directly diminish online purchase intentions, with trust and perceived risk serving as critical mediating variables. Their findings suggest that when consumers are worried about the mismanagement of their personal data, their intention to purchase online drops significantly, unless trust in the vendor can counterbalance perceived risks.

2.2 Complementary findings were reported by **Tsai et al. (2011),** who conducted experimental research demonstrating that consumers not only factor privacy into their purchasing decisions but are also willing to pay premium prices for products offered by merchants with more privacy-friendly practices. Their study underscores the monetary value consumers attach to privacy assurances and suggests that transparent privacy practices can provide a competitive market advantage.

2.3 Further expanding on the role of trust, **Mondal (2024)** establishes that privacy and security concerns directly amplify a consumer's risk perceptions, negatively affecting trust in e-commerce platforms. Trust, in this framework, mediates the relationship between these privacy concerns and purchasing behavior, highlighting its critical role in digital transactions.

2.4 This mediating function of trust is echoed throughout the literature, as observed in the work of **Nguyen and Khoa (2019)**, who quantitatively confirm the centrality of trust in translating privacy perceptions into purchase intentions.

2.5 **Van Dyke, Midha, and Nemati (2007)** introduced the concept of privacy empowerment, revealing its strong and positive influence on both trust and reduced privacy concerns. When consumers feel empowered regarding their data, trust in digital vendors rises and concerns about privacy lessen, thereby supporting more robust online engagement.

2.6 **Swani, Milne, and Slepchuk (2021)** offer further support, showing that heightened privacy concerns can erode trust, which in turn diminishes consumers' willingness to share information and engage in purchases. Similarly,

2.7 **Lappeman et al. (2022)** examined self-disclosure in banking chatbot services, illustrating how privacy concerns negatively affect both cognitive and emotional trust, reducing the likelihood that consumers will provide personal data in such environments.

2.8 The personalization–privacy paradox is addressed by **Awad and Krishnan (2006),** who find that greater privacy concerns reduce consumers' openness to participate in personalization efforts online, further supporting the critical inhibitory role of privacy apprehensions.

2.9 Site privacy and security policies are strongly linked to increases in consumer trust, as demonstrated by **Bélanger, Hiller, and Smith (2002). Dinev and Hart's (2006)** extended privacy calculus model similarly demonstrates that perceived privacy risks serve as significant inhibitors to online transaction intent.

2.10 Malhotra, Kim, and Agarwal (2004) developed and validated the Internet Users' Information Privacy Concerns (IUIPC) construct, empirically linking privacy concerns to reductions in both trust and behavioral intention. **Cheung and Lee (2006)** reinforced these findings, positing trust as a modulus that can either buffer or exacerbate the negative impact of privacy concerns on purchasing behavior.

2.11 Additional studies emphasize context and familiarity: **Baumer and Earp (2003)** report that consumers with higher privacy concern display significantly less willingness to transact with unfamiliar vendors, further highlighting the importance of reputation and transparency in digital marketplaces.

2.12 Finally, survey and quantitative work by **Raji et al. (2024)** confirm via advanced modelling (PLS-SEM) that privacy concerns significantly decrease both online trust and purchase intention. Across all these studies, a clear consensus emerges: privacy concerns, risk perceptions, and trust function as intertwined drivers of online purchasing behavior, with privacy-friendly practices and robust trust-building mechanisms capable of mitigating negative consumer responses and supporting healthy digital commerce ecosystems.

3. Research Methodology

3.1 Need of the Study: Growing digital commerce adoption has intensified the risks of privacy breaches and thus prompted a need to systematically investigate how privacy apprehensions disrupt trust and purchase intention in online settings.

3.2 Objectives of the study

- To examine the direct and indirect effects of privacy concerns on consumer trust and online purchase intentions.
- To identify if trust serves as a mediating variable in the relationship between privacy concerns and behavioral intentions.
- To investigate demographic and contextual factors moderating these relationships.

3.3 Hypothesis of the Study

h_{01}: Digital privacy concerns negatively affect consumer trust in digital platforms.

h_{02}: Consumer trust positively mediates the relationship between privacy concerns and purchase intention.

h_{03}: Privacy concerns negatively influence purchase intention, both directly and indirectly through trust.

3.4 Sample Size and Data Collection: A quantitative survey-based approach is adopted, with purposive sampling. A structured questionnaire is distributed online via social media, email lists, and online consumer forums for maximum reach and diversity. Total 407 responses were received, out of them 336 have been found valid in all respect which were used for the present study.

3.5 Statistical Tools Used: Descriptive statistics have been used for demographic profiling. Researchers have used Mean, Standard Deviation, Chi Square Test and One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test. Cronbach's alpha reliability test have been used to test Reliability.

3.6 Limitations of the Study: This study is subject to several important limitations. First, the use of cross-sectional data restricts the ability to draw definitive causal inferences regarding the relationships among the variables examined. Additionally, potential response and sampling biases may arise due to the reliance on self-reported data and the online mode of survey distribution. Furthermore, the sample predominantly comprises individuals who are tech-savvy or frequent online shoppers, which may reduce the external validity of the findings for populations that engage primarily in offline consumption.

4. Data analysis and Hypothesis Testing

The presented data examines consumer attitudes toward online privacy and data protection through a comprehensive survey of 336 respondents. The analysis employs descriptive statistics, chi-square tests, and Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests to assess the distribution and significance of responses across five

privacy-related statements measured on a Likert scale. But before testing all these Corn batch Alpha Test of Reliability was done.

4.1 Gender of Respondents

Table 01: Gender of Respondents

Gender	No. of Respondents	Percentage
Male	229	68.2
Female	107	31.8
Total	**336**	**100.0**

Source: Primary Data

The gender distribution of the surveyed participants reveals that a significant majority are male. Out of the total 336 respondents, 229 (68.2 percent) are male, while 107 (31.8 percent) are female. This suggests a gender imbalance in the sample, with male respondents being more than double the number of female respondents. Such a composition indicates that the findings of the study may reflect a stronger male perspective, and therefore, interpretations should account for the relatively lower female representation.

4.2 Age of Respondents

Table 02: Age of Respondents

Age in Years	No. of Respondents	Percentage
Less than 25	50	14.9
25 - 40	59	17.6
40 - 55	137	40.8
55 & Above	90	26.8
Total	**336**	**100.0**

Source: Primary Data

The age composition shows that the middle-aged category dominates the sample. A substantial 40.8 percent (137 respondents) belong to the 40–55 years group, followed by 26.8 percent (90 respondents) who are aged 55 years and above. Younger groups are comparatively less represented: 17.6 percent (59 respondents) fall within the 25–40 years range, and only 14.9 percent (50 respondents) are below 25

years of age. The predominance of middle-aged and older individuals in the sample suggests that the findings may reflect the perceptions of a more experienced, mature population with higher levels of social and economic stability.

4.3 Occupation of Respondents

Table 03: Occupation of Respondents

Age in Years	No. of Respondents	Percentage
Service	40	11.9
Business	56	16.7
Profession	132	39.3
Agriculture	48	14.3
Others	60	17.9
Total	**336**	**100.0**

Source: Primary Data

The occupational profile demonstrates that the professional category is the most prominent among respondents, with 132 individuals (39.3 percent) identifying themselves as professionals. This is followed by the 'others' category (17.9 percent), business respondents (16.7 percent), those engaged in agriculture (14.3 percent), and service sector employees (11.9 percent). The overall pattern indicates that respondents with professional occupations constitute the backbone of the survey, highlighting the presence of a relatively well-educated group with organized employment backgrounds. The comparatively smaller proportions of respondents from agriculture and service occupations underline a more limited rural-service representation in the dataset.

4.4 Residencial Status of Respondents

Table 04: Residencial Status of Respondents

Gender	No. of Respondents	Percentage
Urban	70	20.8
Semi Urban	156	46.4
Rural	110	32.7
Total	**336**	**100.0**

Source: Primary Data

The residential distribution indicates that semi-urban respondents form the largest proportion of the sample, accounting for 46.4 percent (156 respondents). Rural respondents represent 32.7 percent (110), while urban residents make up only 20.8 percent (70). This distribution suggests that the sample is weighted towards individuals from semi-urban locations, representing transitional areas that combine rural and urban characteristics. Consequently, the perspectives of urban respondents appear to be relatively underrepresented when compared to semi-urban and rural groups.

4.5 Cronbach's Alpha Test of Reliability

Table 05: Cronbach's Alpha Test of Reliability

Cronbach's Alpha	No. of Items
.962	15

Source: Computed with the help of questionnaire on SPSS

The reliability analysis yielded a Cronbach's Alpha coefficient of 0.962 for the 15-item scale, indicating an exceptionally high level of internal consistency. Such a value exceeds the commonly accepted threshold of 0.70 for reliable scales, reflecting that the items collectively measure a cohesive underlying construct with minimal measurement error. This strong reliability suggests that the questionnaire items are well-correlated and produce stable, consistent responses across the sample. Consequently, the data derived from this scale can be considered robust and dependable for further statistical analysis and inference.

4.6 Testing of Hypothesis

h_{01}: Digital privacy concerns negatively affect consumer trust in digital platforms.

h_{02}: Consumer trust positively mediates the relationship between privacy concerns and purchase intention.

h_{03}: Privacy concerns negatively influence purchase intention, both directly and indirectly through trust.

Table 06: Digital Privacy Concern

Statement	Response					Mean Score	SD	Chi-Square	One-Sample Kolmogorov-
	SA	A	N	D	SD				

								(P-Value)	Smirnov Test
I am concerned about how companies collect my personal data online.	33	77	132	76	18	3.09	1.03	118.494[a] (.000)	.208 (.000)
I worry that my personal information may be used without my consent.	78	92	104	92	78	3.47	1.20	70.161[a] (.000)	.178 (.000)
I am concerned about data breaches when shopping online.	36	102	114	37	47	3.13	1.18	84.744[a] (.000)	.207 (.000)
I feel uneasy about tracking of my online activities by companies.	98	62	95	28	53	3.37	1.39	51.887[a] (.000)	.171 (.000)
Privacy policies of online merchants influence my willingness to shop from them.	112	86	34	62	42	3.49	1.43	61.381[a] (.000)	.229 (.000)

Source: Primary Data

The table presents the responses of participants toward various concerns relating to online privacy, with statistical analyses including mean scores, standard deviations, chi-square values, and the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test.

The first statement, *"I am concerned about how companies collect my personal data online"*, received a mean score of 3.09, indicating a moderate level of agreement among respondents. With a standard deviation of 1.03, variation in responses is relatively low, suggesting consistent perceptions. The chi-square test ($\chi^2 = 118.494$, $p = .000$) confirms significant differences across response categories, while the Kolmogorov–Smirnov (K–S) test (.208, $p = .000$) indicates non-normality of the distribution.

The second statement, *"I worry that my personal information may be used without my consent"*, exhibits a higher mean score of 3.47 with greater variability (SD = 1.20). This reflects stronger levels of worry among respondents about misuse of personal data. The chi-square value ($\chi^2 = 70.161$, p = .000) and K–S test (.178, p = .000) again confirm statistical significance, validating that the concern is widely held but varies in intensity.

For the statement, *"I am concerned about data breaches when shopping online"*, the mean score is 3.13, showing moderate concern. The standard deviation of 1.18 suggests some variability in opinion. Here, $\chi^2 = 84.744$ (p = .000) supports that responses are significantly different across categories. The K–S statistic (.207, p = .000) further validates the non-normal response distribution.

The fourth item, *"I feel uneasy about tracking of my online activities by companies"*, records a mean score of 3.37 which reflects relatively stronger agreement compared to data breaches and data collection concerns. The high standard deviation (1.39) indicates pronounced differences in perspectives. Significant chi-square results ($\chi^2 = 51.887$, p = .000) and the non-normal K–S test result (.171, p = .000) underscore heterogeneity in respondent views.

The final statement, *"Privacy policies of online merchants influence my willingness to shop from them"*, has the highest mean score of 3.49, indicating that privacy assurances offered by merchants play a crucial role in influencing consumer behavior. The standard deviation (1.43) is also relatively high, reflecting strong differences in individual sensitivity to privacy policies. The chi-square ($\chi^2 = 61.381$, p = .000) and K–S test (.229, p = .000) affirm statistically significant and non-normally distributed responses.

Overall, the analysis suggests that concern for personal data privacy is consistently present across all dimensions, with notable importance given to the misuse of personal information and the influence of privacy policies on online shopping behavior. Additionally, the statistical significance across all chi-square and Kolmogorov–Smirnov results add robustness to the observed patterns, confirming that privacy concerns are a widespread and valid phenomenon among respondents.

The table 07 presents respondents' perceptions of trust toward online retailers, assessed through various statements accompanied by descriptive statistics and significance tests.

The statement, *"I trust online retailers to protect my personal information,"* shows a relatively high mean score of 3.75, suggesting a generally strong trust level among respondents. The standard deviation of 1.33 indicates moderate variability in responses, reflecting some differences in trust intensity. The chi-

square value ($\chi^2 = 154.863$, p = .000) evidences significant variation across response categories, while the Kolmogorov–Smirnov (K–S) test (.269, p = .000) confirms the distribution's deviation from normality.

For the assertion, *"I believe online merchants handle my data responsibly,"* the mean score is somewhat lower at 3.39 with less variation (SD = 1.07), implying a moderate but positive belief in responsible data handling. The highly significant chi-square ($\chi^2 = 214.982$, p = .000) and K–S test (.301, p = .000) corroborate the presence of statistically meaningful differences and non-normal response patterns.

Regarding *"Clear privacy statements increase my trust in online retailers,"* the mean of 3.24 signifies that transparency plays an important but not overwhelming role in fostering trust. The SD of 1.07 suggests moderate respondent disagreement. Significant chi-square results ($\chi^2 = 144.952$, p = .000) and K–S values (.249, p = .000) again confirm these observations.

Table 07: Consumer Trust

Statement	Response					Mean Score	SD	Chi-Square (P-Value)	One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test
	SA	A	N	D	SD				
I trust online retailers to protect my personal information.	149	58	40	75	14	3.75	1.33	154.863[a] (.000)	.269 (.000)
I believe online merchants handle my data responsibly.	81	34	163	52	6	3.39	1.07	214.982[a] (.000)	.301 (.000)
Clear privacy statements increase my trust in online retailers.	55	59	150	55	17	3.24	1.07	144.952[a] (.000)	.249 (.000)
I feel confident that my transactions are secure on trustworthy e-commerce sites.	25	109	137	52	13	3.24	0.94	172.155[a] (.000)	.205 (.000)
Trust in a website makes me more likely	103	101	46	40	46	3.52	1.39	60.458[a] (.000)	.242 (.000)

to complete a purchase.									

Source: Primary Data

The statement, *"I feel confident that my transactions are secure on trustworthy e-commerce sites,"* holds the same mean score of 3.24 but with a smaller standard deviation of 0.94, indicating relatively more consensus regarding perceived transaction security. The chi-square test ($\chi^2 = 172.155$, $p = .000$) and K–S test (.205, $p = .000$) reinforce the statistical legitimacy of the responses.

Lastly, *"Trust in a website makes me more likely to complete a purchase,"* demonstrates a mean score of 3.52 and a higher standard deviation of 1.39, illustrating strong endorsement with notable variability among respondents. The chi-square ($\chi^2 = 60.458$, $p = .000$) and K–S (.242, $p = .000$) results affirm significant and non-normally distributed responses.

Collectively, the data indicate that trust in online retailers and the confidence in their data protection practices are critical factors influencing consumer behavior. Respondents appear to value clear privacy communication and transaction security, although individual perceptions differ significantly. These findings underscore the necessity for e-commerce platforms to prioritize trust-building measures, such as transparent privacy policies and robust security protocols, to encourage consumer engagement and purchasing decisions.

The table 08 illustrates respondents' attitudes toward online purchasing behavior and the influence of privacy-related factors, supported by descriptive and inferential statistics.

The statement, *"I intend to purchase products online soon,"* produced a mean score of 3.45, indicating a moderately favorable intention among respondents to engage in online shopping in the near future. The relatively high standard deviation of 1.45 reveals considerable variation in consumer readiness to purchase online. The chi-square test ($\chi^2 = 81.470$, $p = .000$) and Kolmogorov–Smirnov (K–S) test (.272, $p = .000$) suggest statistically significant differences in opinion and a non-normal distribution of responses.

For the assertion, *"Privacy concerns reduce my likelihood of buying from certain websites,"* the mean score rises to 3.62, reflecting a stronger agreement that privacy apprehensions negatively impact purchasing decisions. The standard deviation of 1.18 shows moderate variability in responses. The chi-square ($\chi^2 = 80.518$, $p = .000$) and K–S test (.227, $p = .000$) reinforce the significance and heterogeneity of respondent perspectives.

Table 08: Purchase Intentions

Statement	Response					Mean Score	SD	Chi-Square (P-Value)	One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test
	SA	A	N	D	SD				
I intend to purchase products online soon.	100	110	21	52	53	3.45	1.45	81.470[a] (.000)	.272 (.000)
Privacy concerns reduce my likelihood of buying from certain websites.	94	108	60	61	13	3.62	1.18	80.518[a] (.000)	.227 (.000)
I am willing to pay more to buy from merchants who protect my privacy.	127	85	57	48	19	3.75	1.25	99.536[a] (.000)	.218 (.000)
I avoid online stores that have unclear or no privacy policies.	139	71	45	64	17	3.75	1.31	121.917[a] (.000)	.245 (.000)
Trust in an online retailer positively influences my purchase decisions.	99	109	48	73	7	3.65	1.17	100.964[a] (.000)	.235 (.000)

Source: Primary Data

The willingness to pay a premium for merchants who safeguard privacy is evident in the statement, *"I am willing to pay more to buy from merchants who protect my privacy,"* which attracted a mean score of 3.75 with a standard deviation of 1.25. This highlights a noteworthy consumer inclination to prioritize privacy protection, sometimes at higher cost. This pattern aligns with the chi-square (χ^2 = 99.536, p = .000) and K–S test (.218, p = .000) confirming statistical significance and response diversity.

Similarly, *"I avoid online stores that have unclear or no privacy policies"* also garnered a mean of 3.75, signalling strong respondent aversion to retailers lacking transparent privacy practices. The standard

deviation of 1.31 underlines variation in sentiment intensity. The highly significant chi-square value (χ^2 = 121.917, p = .000) and K–S result (.245, p = .000) affirm the robustness of these findings.

Lastly, the statement, *"Trust in an online retailer positively influences my purchase decisions,"* yielded a mean score of 3.65, depicting trust as a critical driver of consumer behavior. The moderate standard deviation (1.17) suggests reasonably consistent endorsement among respondents. Statistical tests (χ^2 = 100.964, p = .000; K–S = .235, p = .000) confirm the significance and non-normality in distribution.

Collectively, the data highlights the integral role of privacy concerns and trust in shaping online purchasing intentions. Respondents demonstrate a clear preference for retailers who are transparent about their privacy policies and who protect personal information, often willing to pay more for these assurances. These results emphasize the need for online merchants to implement strong privacy frameworks and communicate them effectively to foster consumer confidence and stimulate e-commerce participation.

5. Conclusion

The study comprehensively examines the impact of digital privacy concerns on consumer trust and purchase intentions within online commerce. Findings reveal that privacy apprehensions significantly undermine consumer trust, which in turn diminishes the likelihood of online purchases. Trust emerges as a crucial mediator, mitigating the adverse effects of privacy concerns on purchasing behavior. The data underscores that transparent privacy policies and robust data protection measures are vital for enhancing consumer confidence. Demographic analysis indicates variations in privacy sensitivity, emphasizing the need for tailored trust-building strategies across diverse consumer segments. Despite some limitations, such as cross-sectional design and sample composition, the study highlights the interconnected roles of privacy concerns, trust, and purchase intention in shaping online consumer behavior. These insights offer valuable implications for e-commerce platforms aiming to foster secure and trustworthy environments that encourage consumer engagement and loyalty. Future research could explore longitudinal effects and broaden demographic diversity to enhance understanding further.

References

- Fortes, N., & Rita, P. (2016). 'Privacy concerns and online purchasing behavior', European Research on Management and Business Economics, Volume 22, Issue 2, PP. 82-87.
- Tsai, et. al. (2011). 'The effect of online privacy information on purchasing behavior', Information Systems Research, Volume 22, Issue 2, PP. 254–268.

- Mondal, A. (2024). 'The impact of data privacy concerns on consumer trust in e-commerce platforms', International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts, Volume 12, Issue 1, PP. 331–342.
- Nguyen, T. V., & Khoa, B. T. (2019). 'The Impact of Trust and Privacy Concerns in E-Commerce', *International Journal of E-Business Research*, Volume 15, Issue 3, PP. 1–18.
- Van Dyke, T.P., Midha, V., & Nemati, H. (2007), 'The effect of consumer privacy empowerment on trust and privacy concerns in e-commerce', Electronic Markets, Volume 17, Issue 1, PP. 68–81.
- Swani, K., Milne, G. R., & Slepchuk, A. N. (2021). Revisiting trust and privacy concern in consumers' perceptions of marketing information management practices. Journal of Interactive Marketing. *56*, 137–158.
- Lappeman, J., et al. (2022). Trust and digital privacy: willingness to disclose personal information to banking chatbot services. Journal of Financial Services Marketing, 28(2), 337-357.
- Awad, N. F., & Krishnan, M. S. (2006). The personalization–privacy paradox: An empirical evaluation of information transparency and the willingness to be profiled online for personalization. MIS Quarterly, 30(1), 13–28.
- Bélanger, F., Hiller, J. S., & Smith, W. J. (2002). Trustworthiness in electronic commerce: The role of privacy, security, and site attributes. Journal of Strategic Information Systems, 11(3–4), 245–270.
- Dinev, T., & Hart, P. (2006). An extended privacy calculus model for e-commerce transactions. Information Systems Research, 17(1), 61–80.
- Malhotra, N. K., Kim, S. S., & Agarwal, J. (2004). Internet users' information privacy concerns (IUIPC): The construct, the scale, and a causal model. Information Systems Research, 15(4), 336–355.
- Cheung, C. M. K., & Lee, M. K. O. (2006). Understanding consumer trust in Internet shopping: A multidisciplinary approach. Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology, 57(4), 479–492.
- Baumer, D. L., & Earp, J. B. (2003). Privacy and e-commerce: A consumer-centric perspective. Computers & Security, 22(8), 841–847.
- Raji, O.A., et al. (2024). Dataset of concerns for privacy information practices and consumer online trust/purchase intention. Data in Brief, 51, 109978.