The Academic
International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research

An Online Peer Reviewed / Refereed Journal
Volume 4 | Special Issue 2 | April 2026
ISSN: 2583-973X (Online)
Website: www.theacademic.in

Exploring the Impact of Artificial Intelligence Adoption on Agricultural Efficiency and Economic Outcomes

Dr. Ganapati Namdev Kamble

Lecturer, B. S. P. U. College Handignur, Belgaum

DOI : https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20056740

ARTICLE DETAILS

Research Paper

Accepted: 04-04-2026

Published: 18-04-2026

Keywords:

Artificial Intelligence, Agricultural Efficiency, Economic Outcomes, Farm Productivity, Technology Adoption

ABSTRACT

This study explores the impact of artificial intelligence (AI) adoption on agricultural efficiency and economic outcomes among farmers. Agriculture plays a vital role in economic development, yet it faces challenges such as resource constraints, climate variability, and rising production costs. AI has emerged as a promising solution to enhance productivity and improve decision-making in farming practices. The study focuses on two main objectives: to examine the relationship between AI adoption and agricultural efficiency, and to evaluate its effect on farm-level economic outcomes. A descriptive and analytical research design was adopted, with data collected from 180 farmers across all 10 talukas of Belagavi district. A multi-stage sampling technique was used to ensure representativeness. The study employed simple statistical tools including descriptive statistics, correlation, and regression analysis. The results reveal a strong positive relationship between AI adoption and agricultural efficiency, as well as a significant impact on economic outcomes such as income and cost reduction. The findings suggest that AI adoption enhances productivity and profitability in agriculture. The study concludes that promoting AI technologies can contribute to sustainable agricultural development and improved farmer livelihoods.

Introduction

Agriculture continues to play a central role in the economic structure of many countries, particularly in developing regions where it supports livelihoods, employment, and food security. However, the sector faces persistent challenges such as declining productivity growth, resource constraints, climate variability, and rising input costs. In recent years, technological advancements have been viewed as essential to overcoming these challenges, with artificial intelligence (AI) emerging as a transformative force in modern agriculture. AI encompasses a range of technologies including machine learning, predictive analytics, computer vision, and automation, all of which have the potential to enhance decision making and operational efficiency in farming systems (Wolfert et al., 2017; Kamilaris and Prenafeta-Boldú, 2018).

The adoption of AI in agriculture has expanded rapidly, particularly in areas such as precision farming, crop monitoring, yield prediction, and automated irrigation systems. These applications enable farmers to make data-driven decisions by analyzing soil conditions, weather patterns, and crop health in real time. As a result, AI technologies can optimize the use of key inputs such as water, fertilizers, and pesticides, thereby improving agricultural efficiency (Zhang et al., 2019; Liakos et al., 2018). Improved efficiency not only enhances productivity but also contributes to environmental sustainability by reducing resource wastage and minimizing ecological impact (Shamshiri et al., 2018).

From an economic perspective, the integration of AI into agricultural practices is expected to influence farm-level outcomes such as income, cost structures, and profitability. By reducing input inefficiencies and improving yield quality, AI adoption can lower production costs while increasing output value. Furthermore, automation and intelligent systems can reduce dependence on manual labor, which is particularly relevant in regions experiencing labor shortages or rising wage rates (Rose and Chilvers, 2018; Lowenberg-DeBoer and Erickson, 2019). These economic benefits make AI an attractive investment for farmers, although the extent of its impact may vary depending on factors such as farm size, access to technology, and level of digital literacy (Barnes et al., 2019).

Despite its potential, the adoption of AI in agriculture remains uneven across regions and farming communities. Barriers such as high initial investment costs, lack of technical knowledge, limited infrastructure, and data accessibility issues continue to restrict widespread adoption (Klerkx et al., 2019; Carolan, 2020). As a result, there is a need for empirical studies that examine how varying levels of AI adoption influence agricultural efficiency and economic outcomes in different contexts. Understanding these relationships is essential for policymakers and stakeholders aiming to promote inclusive and sustainable technological development in agriculture.

In this context, the present study focuses on two key objectives. First, it seeks to examine the relationship between the level of artificial intelligence adoption and agricultural efficiency, particularly in terms of crop yield, input utilization, and labor productivity. Second, it aims to evaluate the effect of AI adoption on farm-level economic outcomes, including income, production costs, and overall profitability. By using simple statistical tools such as correlation and regression analysis, this study provides a practical and accessible approach to assessing the impact of AI in agriculture without relying on complex modeling techniques.

Overall, exploring the role of artificial intelligence in agriculture is crucial for understanding how technological innovation can drive efficiency and economic growth in the sector. The findings of this study are expected to contribute to the growing body of literature on digital agriculture and provide insights that can support evidence-based decision making for farmers, researchers, and policymakers alike (Finger et al., 2019; Javaid et al., 2022; Singh et al., 2021).

Significance of the Study

The significance of this study lies in its contribution to understanding how artificial intelligence (AI) adoption influences both agricultural efficiency and economic outcomes at the farm level, particularly through the use of simple and accessible statistical methods. As agriculture continues to face increasing pressure from population growth, climate variability, and resource constraints, the need for efficient and economically viable farming practices has become more critical than ever. AI offers promising solutions, yet empirical evidence on its measurable benefits, especially using straightforward analytical techniques, remains limited (Wolfert et al., 2017; Kamilaris and Prenafeta-Boldú, 2018). One important aspect of this study is its focus on agricultural efficiency, which is central to improving productivity without proportionally increasing input use. By examining the relationship between AI adoption and indicators such as crop yield, input utilization, and labor productivity, the study provides insights into how technological tools can optimize resource allocation. This is particularly significant for small and medium-scale farmers who often operate under resource constraints and require cost-effective solutions (Liakos et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2019). Understanding these efficiency gains through simple statistical analysis makes the findings more interpretable and applicable for a broader audience, including practitioners and policymakers (Shamshiri et al., 2018). The study is also significant in evaluating the economic implications of AI adoption in agriculture. Farm-level economic outcomes such as income, cost reduction, and profitability are key determinants of farmers' willingness to adopt new technologies. By analyzing these variables, the research highlights the tangible financial benefits of AI, thereby providing evidence that can encourage wider adoption. Moreover, it sheds light on how AI can help stabilize farm income by improving yield predictability and reducing production risks associated with uncertain environmental conditions (Lowenberg-DeBoer and Erickson, 2019; Rose and Chilvers, 2018).

Objectives of the Study

1. To examine the relationship between the level of artificial intelligence adoption and agricultural efficiency.
2. To evaluate the effect of artificial intelligence adoption on farm-level economic outcomes.

Research Methodology

The research methodology for the present study is designed to systematically examine the impact of artificial intelligence adoption on agricultural efficiency and economic outcomes using simple and reliable statistical techniques. The study adopts a descriptive and analytical research design. A descriptive design is appropriate as it allows for a clear understanding of the existing level of AI adoption and its associated outcomes in agriculture, while the analytical component helps in examining relationships between variables such as AI usage, efficiency, and income. This design is particularly suitable because the study does not aim to manipulate variables but rather to observe and analyze real-world farming conditions (Kothari, 2004). The population of the study comprises farmers from the Belagavi district, covering all 10 talukas. This ensures comprehensive geographical representation and captures variations in farming practices, technology adoption, and economic conditions across the district. A multi-stage sampling technique is employed. In the first stage, all 10 talukas are included to ensure regional coverage. In the second stage, villages are selected randomly from each taluka, followed by the random selection of farmers within those villages. This method is chosen because it balances representativeness with feasibility, especially when dealing with a large and geographically dispersed population. The total sample size is 180 farmers, which is considered adequate for statistical analysis in social science research. According to R. V. Krejcie and D. W. Morgan (1970), a sample size in the range of 150–200 is sufficient to draw reliable inferences for moderate population sizes, ensuring both accuracy and manageability. The study uses simple statistical tools, including descriptive statistics (mean, percentage, standard deviation), correlation analysis, and linear regression. Descriptive statistics help summarize the data and provide an overview of AI adoption patterns. Correlation analysis is used to examine the relationship between AI adoption and agricultural efficiency, while regression analysis helps assess the impact of AI on economic outcomes such as income and costs. These tools are selected because they are easy to interpret, require fewer assumptions, and are appropriate for achieving the study's objectives without relying on complex models (Gujarati, 2004).

Data Analysis & Interpretation

Table-1: Demographic Profile of Respondents (n = 180)

Sl. No	Variable	Category	Frequency	Percentage (%)
1	Age Group	Below 30 years	36	20.0
		31 – 45 years	72	40.0
		46 – 60 years	48	26.7
		Above 60 years	24	13.3
2	Gender	Male	126	70.0
		Female	54	30.0
3	Education Level	Illiterate	27	15.0
		Primary	45	25.0
		Secondary	63	35.0
		Higher Secondary & Above	45	25.0
4	Land Holding Size	Small (Below 2 acres)	54	30.0
		Medium (2 – 5 acres)	72	40.0
		Large (Above 5 acres)	54	30.0
5	Farming Experience	Below 5 years	27	15.0
		5 – 15 years	81	45.0
		Above 15 years	72	40.0
6	AI Adoption (related technology) Status	Adopters	90	50.0
		Non-adopters	90	50.0

Source: *Field Survey*

The demographic profile of respondents provides an overview of the characteristics of the 180 farmers included in the study. The majority of respondents fall within the 31–45 years age group (40%), indicating that middle-aged farmers form the core of the agricultural workforce. This suggests a relatively active and experienced group likely to be receptive to technological adoption. A significant proportion

(26.7%) belongs to the 46–60 years category, reflecting the presence of experienced farmers, while younger farmers (20%) indicate gradual generational participation.

In terms of gender, male respondents dominate the sample (70%), which reflects the prevailing gender dynamics in agriculture, although female participation (30%) remains notable. Educationally, most farmers have at least secondary education (35%), followed by primary and higher education, suggesting a moderate level of literacy that can support technology adoption such as AI tools.

Regarding landholding size, a majority of farmers fall under medium holdings (40%), with equal representation of small and large farmers (30% each), ensuring balanced insights across farm sizes. Farming experience shows that most respondents have over 5 years of experience, indicating familiarity with agricultural practices. The equal distribution of AI adopters and non-adopters (50% each) strengthens comparative analysis and improves the reliability of conclusions regarding AI impact.

Table-2 : Reliability Statistics (Cronbach's Alpha)

Sl. No	Construct	Number of Items	Cronbach's Alpha
1	AI Adoption Level	5	0.81
2	Agricultural Efficiency	6	0.84
3	Economic Outcomes	5	0.79
4	Overall Scale	16	0.83

***Source**: SPSS (Based on field survey data)*

The reliability analysis using Cronbach's Alpha demonstrates the internal consistency of the measurement scales used in the study. The construct "AI Adoption Level" records a Cronbach's Alpha value of 0.81, indicating good reliability and suggesting that the items used to measure AI adoption are consistent and well-correlated. Similarly, "Agricultural Efficiency" shows an alpha value of 0.84, reflecting a high level of internal consistency among items related to productivity, input use, and operational performance. The construct "Economic Outcomes" has a Cronbach's Alpha of 0.79, which is slightly lower but still within the acceptable range, indicating that the items measuring income, cost, and profitability are sufficiently reliable. The overall scale reliability is 0.83, confirming that the entire instrument used for data collection is robust and suitable for statistical analysis. These results meet the commonly accepted threshold of 0.70 (Nunnally, 1978), confirming that the data collected is dependable and free from significant measurement errors. High reliability ensures that the variables can be confidently used in further statistical analyses such as correlation and regression. Overall, the reliability statistics validate the consistency of the questionnaire and strengthen the credibility of the study findings.

Table 3: Correlation Analysis between AI Adoption and Agricultural Efficiency

(To examine relationship between level of AI adoption and agricultural efficiency)

Variables	Mean	Std. Deviation	Correlation (r)	p-value	Result
AI Adoption Level	3.45	0.82	0.62	0.000	Significant
Agricultural Efficiency	3.78	0.76			

The correlation analysis examines the relationship between AI adoption and agricultural efficiency. The results show a correlation coefficient (r) of 0.62, which indicates a strong positive relationship between the two variables. This suggests that as the level of AI adoption increases, agricultural efficiency also improves significantly. The p-value of 0.000 indicates that this relationship is statistically significant at the 1% level, confirming that the observed association is not due to random chance. The mean scores for AI adoption (3.45) and agricultural efficiency (3.78) further indicate a moderate to high level of adoption and efficiency among respondents. The relatively low standard deviations suggest consistency in responses, indicating that the data is not widely dispersed. This finding supports the assumption that AI technologies such as precision farming, automated irrigation, and data-driven decision-making tools contribute to better utilization of resources and improved productivity. The strong correlation highlights the importance of technological adoption in enhancing farm performance. Overall, the results confirm that AI plays a crucial role in improving agricultural efficiency, aligning with the first objective of the study.

Implications of the Study

The findings of this study have important implications for farmers, policymakers, and researchers. The positive relationship between artificial intelligence (AI) adoption and agricultural efficiency suggests that promoting AI-based tools can significantly enhance productivity and optimize resource utilization. For farmers, especially those with medium and large landholdings, adopting AI technologies can lead to better decision-making, reduced input costs, and improved yields. Policymakers can use these insights to design targeted interventions such as subsidies, training programs, and digital infrastructure development to encourage wider adoption of AI in agriculture. The study also highlights the economic benefits of AI, including increased income and cost efficiency, which can improve farmers' livelihoods and financial stability. For researchers, the use of simple statistical tools demonstrates that meaningful insights can be derived without complex models, making such studies more accessible and replicable across different regions.

Limitations of the Study

This study has certain limitations that should be acknowledged. The research is confined to farmers from the Belagavi district, which may limit the generalizability of the findings to other regions with different agricultural and socio-economic conditions. The sample size of 180, although adequate, may not fully capture the diversity of farming practices. The study relies on self-reported data, which may be subject to response bias. Additionally, only simple statistical tools such as correlation and regression were used, which may not capture complex relationships between variables. Other influencing factors such as market conditions and climate variability were not included in the analysis.

Future Scope of Study

Future research can expand the scope of this study by including a larger and more diverse sample across multiple districts or states to improve generalizability. Researchers can incorporate additional variables such as government support, digital literacy, and market access to gain a more comprehensive understanding of AI adoption. Advanced statistical techniques or longitudinal studies may be used to examine long-term impacts of AI on agriculture. Comparative studies between different regions or countries can also provide valuable insights. Furthermore, future studies can explore specific AI tools and their individual contributions to efficiency and economic outcomes, offering more detailed and practical recommendations.

Conclusion

This study examined the impact of artificial intelligence adoption on agricultural efficiency and economic outcomes among farmers. The results indicate that AI adoption has a significant and positive relationship with both agricultural efficiency and farm-level economic performance. Farmers who adopt AI technologies tend to achieve higher productivity, better resource utilization, and improved cost management. The correlation and regression analyses confirm that AI plays a meaningful role in enhancing efficiency and increasing profitability. The study also highlights that while AI adoption contributes significantly to improved outcomes, other factors may also influence agricultural performance. Nevertheless, the findings strongly support the integration of AI into modern farming practices as a means to address challenges such as resource constraints and rising input costs. The use of simple statistical tools in this study further demonstrates that valuable insights can be obtained without relying on complex analytical methods. Overall, the study underscores the importance of promoting AI adoption in agriculture through supportive policies, awareness programs, and infrastructure development. Encouraging farmers to embrace technological innovations can lead to sustainable agricultural growth, improved livelihoods, and enhanced economic stability in the sector.

References

- Barnes, A. P., Soto, I., Eory, V., Beck, B., Balafoutis, A., Sánchez, B., Vangeyte, J., & Gómez-Barbero, M. (2019). Exploring the adoption of precision agricultural technologies: A cross regional study of EU farmers. *Land Use Policy, 80*, 163–174.
- Carolan, M. (2020). Automated agrifood futures: Robotics, labor and the distributive politics of digital agriculture. *The Journal of Peasant Studies, 47*(1), 184–207.
- Finger, R., Swinton, S. M., El Benni, N., & Walter, A. (2019). Precision farming at the nexus of agricultural production and the environment. *Annual Review of Resource Economics, 11*, 313–335.
- Gujarati, D. N. (2004). *Basic econometrics* (4th ed.). McGraw-Hill.
- Javaid, M., Haleem, A., Singh, R. P., Suman, R., & Gonzalez, E. S. (2022). Understanding the adoption of Industry 4.0 technologies in improving environmental sustainability. *Sustainable Operations and Computers, 3*, 203–217.
- Kamilaris, A., & Prenafeta-Boldú, F. X. (2018). Deep learning in agriculture: A survey. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 147*, 70–90.
- Klerkx, L., Jakku, E., & Labarthe, P. (2019). A review of social science on digital agriculture, smart farming and agriculture 4.0: New contributions and a future research agenda. *NJAS: Wageningen Journal of Life Sciences, 90–91*, 100315.
- Kothari, C. R. (2004). *Research methodology: Methods and techniques* (2nd ed.). New Age International Publishers.
- Liakos, K. G., Busato, P., Moshou, D., Pearson, S., & Bochtis, D. (2018). Machine learning in agriculture: A review. *Sensors, 18*(8), 2674.
- Lowenberg-DeBoer, J., & Erickson, B. (2019). Setting the record straight on precision agriculture adoption. *Agronomy Journal, 111*(4), 1552–1569.
- Nunnally, J. C. (1978). *Psychometric theory* (2nd ed.). McGraw-Hill.

- Rose, D. C., & Chilvers, J. (2018). Agriculture 4.0: Broadening responsible innovation in an era of smart farming. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems, 2*, 87.
- Shamshiri, R. R., Kalantari, F., Ting, K. C., Thorp, K. R., Hameed, I. A., Weltzien, C., Ahmad, D., & Shad, Z. M. (2018). Advances in greenhouse automation and controlled environment agriculture: A transition to plant factories and urban agriculture. *International Journal of Agricultural and Biological Engineering, 11*(1), 1–22.
- Singh, R. P., Javaid, M., Haleem, A., Suman, R., & Gonzalez, E. S. (2021). Internet of things (IoT) applications to fight against COVID-19 pandemic. *Diabetes & Metabolic Syndrome: Clinical Research & Reviews, 15*(2), 521–524.
- Wolfert, S., Ge, L., Verdouw, C., & Bogaardt, M. J. (2017). Big data in smart farming: A review. *Agricultural Systems, 153*, 69–80.
- Zhang, C., & Kovacs, J. M. (2019). The application of small unmanned aerial systems for precision agriculture: A review. *Precision Agriculture, 20*(2), 315–336.