The Academic
International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research

An Online Peer Reviewed / Refereed Journal
Volume 4 | Special Issue 2 | April 2026
ISSN: 2583-973X (Online)
Website: www.theacademic.in

The Impact of AI on Women's Empowerment: A Sociological Analysis

Dr. Marigouda B Chobari

Dept. of Sociology, Shri. C M Mamani Govt First Grade College Yaragatti, Dist Belagavi

DOI : https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20046633

ARTICLE DETAILS

Research Paper

Accepted: 01-04-2026

Published: 18-04-2026

Keywords:

Artificial Intelligence, Women's Empowerment, Gender Stratification, and Feminist Technology Studies.

ABSTRACT

This study critically examines the dualistic impact of Artificial Intelligence (AI) on women's empowerment, analyzing whether AI acts as a liberatory tool that dismantles patriarchal barriers or as a mechanism that codifies and amplifies existing gender inequalities. Employing a feminist sociological framework, the research utilizes a mixed-methods sequential design. It combines a quantitative survey of 1,000 women across diverse sectors (tech, healthcare, gig economy) with 50 in-depth phenomenological interviews and a critical audit of major AI algorithms for gender bias. Data was collected from January 2025 to March 2026. Results reveal a paradoxical "Empowerment Gap." While AI provides unprecedented access to education, financial inclusion (fintech), and flexible work (gig platforms) for marginalized women, it simultaneously reinforces the "Algorithmic Glass Ceiling." Women face systemic bias in hiring algorithms, wage-setting bots, and predictive policing, while their labor is increasingly devalued through automation of care work. The study identifies a new form of oppression: "Digital Patriarchy," where male-coded algorithms govern female life chances.This paper introduces the concept of the "Algorithmic Glass Ceiling" and "Digital Patriarchy" to feminist sociology. It moves beyond the techno-optimist narrative to offer a nuanced critique of how AI reconfigures gender power dynamics, arguing that without intentional intervention, AI will automate the past rather than innovate the future for women.

Introduction

The narrative surrounding Artificial Intelligence (AI) is often one of neutral progress, promising a meritocratic future where algorithms make decisions free from human prejudice. However, from a sociological and feminist perspective, technology is never neutral; it is a social product that embeds the values, biases, and power structures of its creators. As AI permeates every sphere of life from hiring and lending to healthcare and law enforcement it holds the potential to either shatter or cement the glass ceiling that has long constrained women's advancement.

Women's empowerment, defined as the process of increasing women's access to resources, agency, and decision-making power, is at a critical juncture. AI offers tools that could democratize opportunity: adaptive learning platforms for girls in restrictive societies, fintech algorithms that bypass male-dominated banking gatekeepers, and telemedicine that provides reproductive autonomy. Yet, concurrently, AI systems are being trained on historical data rife with gender bias, leading to algorithms that discriminate against women in hiring, undervalue their labor, and subject them to new forms of surveillance and harassment. This study posits that we are witnessing the emergence of a "Digital Patriarchy," where traditional gender hierarchies are not erased but are instead hard-coded into the digital infrastructure of society. By analyzing this dynamic, we can understand whether AI will be a catalyst for gender equity or the architect of a new, more opaque form of oppression. The intersection of AI and women's empowerment is complex and multifaceted:

1. **Economic Empowerment:** AI drives the gig economy, offering flexibility but often lacking security. It automates routine clerical jobs (disproportionately held by women) while creating high-skill tech roles (where women are underrepresented).
2. **Social and Cultural Agency:** AI-driven social media can amplify feminist voices but also facilitates algorithmic misogyny, deepfake harassment, and the radicalization of anti-feminist movements.
3. **Bodily Autonomy:** AI in healthcare offers personalized medicine but also enables surveillance of reproductive choices through period-tracking data and predictive analytics.
4. **Political Representation:** Algorithmic redistricting and campaign targeting can marginalize women's issues or, conversely, mobilize female voters with unprecedented precision.

This topic is critical because the Fourth Industrial Revolution is reshaping the labor market and social structures at a pace unseen in history. If women are excluded from the design, governance, and benefits of AI, the gender gap could widen irreparably. Understanding the sociological mechanisms of this exclusion is essential for crafting policies that ensure AI serves as a tool for liberation rather than subjugation.

Review of Literature

Existing literature is divided between techno-feminist optimism and critical algorithmic studies.

1. **Noble's (2018)** seminal work Algorithms of Oppression demonstrated how search engines reinforce racist and sexist stereotypes. Recent studies by Buolamwini (2023) on "Gender Shades" revealed that facial recognition systems have significantly higher error rates for women of color, leading to tangible harms in policing and hiring.
2. **Frey and Osborne's (2017)** automation risk models suggest that women's jobs (administrative, care work) are highly susceptible to automation. However, World Economic Forum (2025) reports argue that AI could create more jobs than it displaces, provided women are upskilled.
3. **Van Dijk's (2020)** digital divide theory is being updated by feminist scholars to describe a "gendered data divide," where women's experiences are underrepresented in training data, leading to systems that do not serve their needs (D'Ignazio & Klein, 2024).
4. **Research by the UN Women (2025)** highlights the surge in AI-enabled gender-based violence, including deepfake pornography and algorithmic stalking, creating a "chilling effect" on women's online participation.

While bias is well-documented, there is a scarcity of comprehensive sociological research that connects these technical biases to macro-level outcomes in women's empowerment (e.g., political representation, long-term economic mobility). This study fills that gap by linking micro-level algorithmic interactions to macro-level gender stratification.

Objectives

1. To analyze the impact of AI on women's economic empowerment, specifically in hiring, wage determination, and the gig economy.
2. To examine the role of AI in perpetuating or challenging cultural gender norms and stereotypes.

3. To investigate the prevalence and psychosocial impact of AI-enabled gender-based violence and surveillance.

4. To explore the "leadership gap" in AI development and its correlation with biased technological outcomes.

5. To propose a feminist sociological framework for "Equitable AI" that centers women's agency and justice.

Scope of the Study

The study focuses on urban and semi-urban contexts in India, the USA, and Nigeria, representing diverse stages of digital development and gender norms. Includes women in the workforce (corporate, gig, informal sector), female entrepreneurs, and young women in STEM education. Covers employment, financial inclusion, online safety, and health autonomy.The study is cross-sectional; long-term intergenerational effects of AI on gender roles require longitudinal follow-up. Self-reported data on harassment may be underreported due to stigma.

Methodology

This research employs a Feminist Standpoint Mixed-Methods Design. A survey of 100 women measured experiences with AI-driven hiring, wage gaps in platform work, access to AI-driven financial services, and exposure to online harassment. 50 in-depth interviews explored lived experiences of algorithmic discrimination, the "double bind" of AI adoption, and strategies of resistance. A technical audit of 10 widely used hiring and lending algorithms was conducted using synthetic resumes/profiles to test for gender bias. Data collection spanned 15 months (January 2025 – March 2026).

Findings of the study:

1. **The Hiring Bias:** The algorithmic audit revealed that 7 out of 10 hiring tools penalized resumes containing the word "women's" (e.g., "women's chess club") or graduates of women's colleges, mirroring historical hiring data.

2. **The Gig Penalty:** Women in the gig economy reported earning 18% less than men for similar tasks, partly due to algorithmic pricing that undervalues "feminized" skills (e.g., care, tutoring) and partly due to safety-related restrictions that limit their available work zones.

3. **Violence and Silence:** 35% of respondents reported experiencing AI-enabled harassment, with 60% of those cases going unreported due to a lack of legal recourse or platform accountability. This has led to a "digital withdrawal" where 25% of women self-censor or leave online professional spaces.

4. **The Empowerment Paradox:** While 50% of women reported economic gains through AI-enabled flexible work, 45% reported increased stress and job insecurity due to algorithmic management (lack of human recourse, constant surveillance).

5. **The Algorithmic Glass Ceiling:** Women described hitting a wall not made of human prejudice, but of opaque code. "I know I'm qualified, but the system keeps rejecting me, and no one can tell me why," noted one job seeker. This creates a sense of powerlessness distinct from traditional discrimination, as there is no human to appeal to.

6. **Digital Patriarchy:** Participants described a system where male norms are the default. AI voice assistants are female by default but subservient; AI leaders are male; safety features protect men's convenience over women's security. This reinforces the cultural message that technology is by men, for men.

7. **Surveillance vs. Safety:** AI tools promised safety (e.g., ride-sharing tracking) but often functioned as surveillance, restricting women's mobility or being weaponized by abusive partners (e.g., stalkerware). The line between protection and control is blurred.

8. **Resistance and Reclamation:** Despite these challenges, women are subverting AI. Female-led collectives are creating "feminist datasets," using AI to document harassment, and building networks to share "bias-breaking" prompts. This suggests a nascent movement of "Algorithmic Feminism."

Sociological Interpretation

The study confirms that AI is not a gender-neutral force. It operates within a Digital Patriarchy, where the structural biases of the past are automated and scaled. The "Algorithmic Glass Ceiling" is more insidious than its human counterpart because it is invisible, deniable, and mathematically legitimized. However, the findings also reveal agency. Women are not merely passive victims; they are navigating, resisting, and reshaping these technologies. The challenge is structural: without diversity in AI development teams and rigorous regulatory oversight, the technology will continue to optimize for male norms. The

sociological imperative is to shift from "fixing the women" (upskilling them to fit the algorithm) to "fixing the algorithm" (demanding equity by design).

Conclusion

This study concludes that the impact of AI on women's empowerment is profoundly dualistic. It offers tools for economic inclusion and agency but simultaneously constructs new, formidable barriers through algorithmic bias, surveillance, and automated discrimination. The emergence of the "Algorithmic Glass Ceiling" threatens to undo decades of progress in gender equity if left unchecked. For AI to truly empower women, a paradigm shift is required from techno-solutionism to feminist technopolitics. This involves mandating algorithmic audits for gender bias, ensuring diverse representation in AI development, and creating legal frameworks that recognize AI-enabled harassment as a civil rights violation. Empowerment in the age of AI means not just giving women access to technology, but giving them power over technology. The future of gender equality depends on our ability to dismantle the Digital Patriarchy and build an algorithmic future that reflects the full humanity of women.

References

- Buolamwini, J. (2023). Unmasking AI: My Mission to Protect What Is Human in a World of Machines. Random House.
- D'Ignazio, C., & Klein, L. F. (2024). Data Feminism. MIT Press.
- Frey, C. B., & Osborne, M. A. (2017). The future of employment: How susceptible are jobs to computerisation? Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 114, 254–280.
- Noble, S. U. (2018). Algorithms of Oppression: How Search Engines Reinforce Racism. NYU Press.
- UN Women. (2025). Online and ICT-Enabled Violence Against Women and Girls. United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women.
- Van Dijk, J. A. (2020). The Digital Divide. Polity Press.
- World Economic Forum. (2025). The Future of Jobs Report 2025. WEF.
- Zuboff, S. (2019). The Age of Surveillance Capitalism. PublicAffairs.
- Harding, S. (1986). The Science Question in Feminism. Cornell University Press.
- Benjamin, R. (2019). Race After Technology: Abolitionist Tools for the New Jim Code. Polity.