The Academic
International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research

An Online Peer Reviewed / Refereed Journal
Volume 4 | Issue 5 | May 2026
ISSN: 2583-973X (Online), SJIF IF: 5.6
Website: www.theacademic.in

Corporate Governance in India: Emerging Trends, Challenges and Regulatory Responses

Dhruv Joshi
PG Student USL, RBU MOHA, Punjab
Prof. (Dr.) M.S. Bains
Professor USL, RBU MOHA, Punjab

DOI : https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20777447

ARTICLE DETAILS

Research Paper

Accepted: 24-05-2026

Published: 10-06-2026

Keywords:
Corporate Governance, Companies Act 2013, SEBI, Transparency, Accountability, Corporate Ethics, Shareholder Protection, Regulatory Framework.

ABSTRACT

Corporate governance has emerged as a crucial mechanism for ensuring transparency, accountability, ethical conduct, and sustainable growth within corporate entities. In India, the evolution of corporate governance has been significantly influenced by economic liberalization, corporate scandals, regulatory reforms, and increasing investor awareness. This research examines the concept, significance, and legal framework of corporate governance in India, with particular emphasis on the provisions of the Companies Act, 2013, the regulations of the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI), and the role of judicial intervention in promoting corporate accountability. The study adopts a doctrinal research methodology based on the analysis of statutory provisions, judicial decisions, committee reports, and scholarly literature. It explores the principles of corporate governance, including transparency, accountability, fairness, responsibility, and stakeholder protection, while evaluating the effectiveness of regulatory mechanisms designed to ensure compliance. The research further analyses major corporate governance failures and their impact on policy reforms and corporate regulation. The study concludes that although India has developed a comprehensive governance framework aligned with international standards, challenges relating to enforcement, board

independence, minority shareholder protection, and corporate ethics continue to persist. Strengthening regulatory oversight, enhancing corporate disclosures, and promoting ethical business practices are essential for achieving effective corporate governance and maintaining investor confidence in the Indian corporate sector.

STATEMENT OF PROBLEM

Corporate governance has become an essential component of modern corporate regulation, aimed at ensuring transparency, accountability, fairness, ethical conduct, and protection of stakeholder interests. Despite the existence of a comprehensive legal and regulatory framework in India, including the Companies Act, 2013, SEBI Regulations, and various institutional mechanisms, instances of corporate fraud, financial mismanagement, accounting irregularities, and governance failures continue to occur.

Major corporate scandals such as the Satyam Computer Services Scam, Yes Bank Governance Crisis, and DHFL Fraud have exposed serious deficiencies in board oversight, auditor independence, risk management practices, disclosure standards, and regulatory enforcement. These failures have adversely affected investor confidence, market integrity, and corporate accountability.

The persistence of such governance failures raises important questions regarding the effectiveness of existing corporate governance mechanisms and the adequacy of judicial and regulatory responses in preventing corporate misconduct. Therefore, there is a need to critically examine the evolution of corporate governance in India, evaluate the statutory and regulatory framework governing corporate entities, analyze major governance failures, and assess the effectiveness of regulatory reforms and institutional oversight mechanisms.

This study seeks to address these concerns by examining whether the current corporate governance framework in India adequately promotes transparency, accountability, and stakeholder protection, and by identifying the challenges that continue to hinder the effective implementation of good corporate governance practices.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The present study adopts a doctrinal research methodology. The research is primarily based on the analysis of secondary sources of information, including statutes, judicial decisions, regulatory reports, committee recommendations, books, journal articles, research papers, government publications, and online legal databases.

The study examines the concept, evolution, principles, and significance of corporate governance in India with reference to the Companies Act, 2013, the Securities and Exchange Board of India Act, 1992, SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015, and other relevant regulatory frameworks. It further analyses the role of regulatory institutions such as SEBI, the Ministry of Corporate Affairs (MCA), the Serious Fraud Investigation Office (SFIO), the National Financial Reporting Authority (NFRA), and the Reserve Bank of India (RBI) in promoting corporate accountability and investor protection.

A case-study approach has also been employed to examine major corporate governance failures in India, including the Satyam Computer Services Scam, Yes Bank Governance Crisis, and DHFL Fraud. These case studies are analysed to evaluate the effectiveness of existing governance mechanisms and the judicial and regulatory responses adopted in addressing corporate misconduct.

The research follows an analytical and descriptive approach, focusing on the strengths and limitations of the current corporate governance framework in India. The study aims to identify existing challenges in implementation and enforcement and to suggest measures for strengthening corporate governance standards and regulatory oversight in the Indian corporate sector.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Corporate governance has attracted considerable scholarly attention due to its importance in promoting transparency, accountability, and ethical corporate management. Various scholars, committees, and regulatory authorities have contributed significantly to the development of corporate governance principles and practices in India.

A.C. Fernando, in his work *Corporate Governance: Principles, Policies and Practices*, explains the conceptual framework of corporate governance and highlights the importance of transparency, accountability, fairness, and ethical conduct in corporate management. The author emphasizes that effective governance mechanisms are essential for protecting shareholder interests and ensuring sustainable corporate growth.

R. Edward Freeman, through the Stakeholder Theory, broadens the traditional shareholder-centric approach and argues that corporations must consider the interests of all stakeholders, including employees, customers, creditors, and society. His work has significantly influenced modern governance frameworks and corporate social responsibility initiatives.

The Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), through the G20/OECD Principles of Corporate Governance, provides internationally accepted standards for governance practices. The OECD framework emphasizes board accountability, disclosure requirements, shareholder rights, and stakeholder participation, serving as a benchmark for governance reforms worldwide.

The Kumar Mangalam Birla Committee Report (1999) laid the foundation for modern corporate governance in India by recommending board independence, audit committees, and enhanced disclosure requirements. These recommendations subsequently formed the basis of Clause 49 of the Listing Agreement and influenced future governance reforms.

Scholars such as Andrei Shleifer and Robert W. Vishny have examined governance structures from an economic perspective, emphasizing the mechanisms through which investors protect themselves from managerial misconduct and misuse of corporate resources. Their work highlights the significance of legal and institutional safeguards in ensuring effective corporate governance.

Various studies conducted after the Satyam Computer Services scandal have focused on governance failures, auditor accountability, and regulatory deficiencies. These studies generally conclude that while India possesses a comprehensive governance framework, effective enforcement, board independence, ethical leadership, and regulatory oversight continue to present significant challenges.

The existing literature demonstrates substantial discussion on governance principles, regulatory frameworks, and corporate scandals. However, there remains a need for a comprehensive examination of the evolution of corporate governance in India, major governance failures, and the effectiveness of judicial and regulatory responses in strengthening corporate accountability. The present study seeks to address this gap.

AIM OF THE STUDY

The primary aim of this study is to critically examine the concept, evolution, principles, and regulatory framework of corporate governance in India and to evaluate the effectiveness of existing governance mechanisms in promoting transparency, accountability, investor protection, and ethical corporate conduct. The study further aims to analyse major corporate governance failures and assess the adequacy of judicial and regulatory responses in addressing governance-related challenges.

RESEARCH QUESTIONS

1. What is the concept and significance of corporate governance in the Indian corporate sector?
2. How has corporate governance evolved in India, and what factors have influenced its development?
3. What are the core principles of good corporate governance and their relevance in corporate management?
4. How effective are the Companies Act, 2013, SEBI Regulations, and other regulatory mechanisms in ensuring corporate accountability and transparency?
5. What lessons can be derived from major corporate governance failures such as the Satyam Scam, Yes Bank Crisis, and DHFL Fraud?
6. To what extent have regulatory authorities and legal reforms succeeded in strengthening corporate governance standards in India?
7. What challenges continue to hinder the effective implementation of corporate governance practices in India?

HYPOTHESIS

H1: The existing statutory and regulatory framework governing corporate governance in India has significantly improved transparency, accountability, and investor protection within the corporate sector.

H2: Despite substantial legislative and regulatory reforms, challenges relating to enforcement, board independence, ethical compliance, and regulatory oversight continue to limit the effectiveness of corporate governance mechanisms in India.

Alternative Hypothesis:

The effectiveness of corporate governance in India depends not only on the existence of legal and regulatory frameworks but also on their proper implementation, enforcement, and adherence to ethical corporate practices.

Meaning And Scope of Corporate Governance-

Corporate Governance refers to the way a corporation is governed. It is the technique by which companies are directed and managed. It means carrying the business as per the stakeholders' desires. It is actually conducted by the board of Directors and the concerned committees for the company's stakeholder's benefit. It is all about balancing individual and societal goals, as well as, economic and social goals.

Corporate Governance is the interaction between various participants (shareholders, board of directors, and company's management) in shaping corporation's performance and the way it is proceeding towards. The relationship between the owners and the managers in an organization must be healthy and there should be no conflict between the two. The owners must see that individual's actual performance is according to the standard performance. These dimensions of corporate governance should not be overlooked.

Corporate Governance deals with the manner the providers of finance guarantee themselves of getting a fair return on their investment. Corporate Governance clearly distinguishes between the owners and the managers. The managers are the deciding authority. In modern corporations, the functions/ tasks of owners and managers should be clearly defined, rather, harmonizing.

Corporate Governance deals with determining ways to take effective strategic decisions. It gives ultimate authority and complete responsibility to the Board of Directors.

In today's market-oriented economy, the need for corporate governance arises. Also, efficiency as well as globalization are significant factors urging corporate governance. Corporate Governance is essential to develop added value to the stakeholders.

Corporate Governance ensures transparency which ensures strong and balanced economic development. This also ensures that the interests of all shareholders (majority as well as minority shareholders) are safeguarded. It ensures that all shareholders fully exercise their rights and that the organization fully recognizes their rights.

Corporate Governance has a broad scope. It includes both social and institutional aspects. Corporate Governance encourages a trustworthy, moral, as well as ethical environment.

Scope of corporate governance

Corporate governance not only deals with the conduct of members of a company and the regulation of its affairs; it also deals with the interaction of the members among themselves and with the company and the public at large. It also provides that the relationship between the members of the company must be healthy so that they can work towards gaining the trust of the customers and the public. This will also help them to achieve progress in their performance.

It also provides regulations to make an effective decision and work towards its implementation. The board of directors, appointed by the shareholders, has been given the full responsibility of making decisions on all important matters after the required discussion and consultation with the members of the company, and so it is necessary for them to act according to the set principles and rules of corporate governance.

The scope of corporate governance is much wider as it includes various forms of development. These are:

Financial growth

Corporate governance ensures transparency and trustworthy relationships among the members and the public at large. When a company is able to gain the faith and trust of people, it is able to attract customers due to its reliability and accountability. This helps with the financial growth and development of the company.

Sustainable growth and social responsibility

Corporate governance helps in the sustainable growth of the company as it ensures the proper utilisation of resources without any misuse or wastage. This further ensures the social responsibility that every company has towards the environment and society. It promotes fairness in different market practices, which further encourages the investor to invest in a company, thus increasing employment and the generation of income.

Expansion of business

With the help of effective business strategies and governance, a company can expand its business and trade not only in its own country but also in international markets. The increasing demands of the customers depend on the conduct and affairs of the company. How a business deals with failure and complaints is important for customers in order to build healthy relationships with the management of the company. If people are satisfied with the service of the company, it will surely help in expanding the market.

Transparency and accountability

The kind of governance opted for by a company reveals whether it is accountable and promotes transparency. If proper governance is followed, then there are fewer chances of mismanagement, which further increases the efficiency of the members and the company. Corporate governance ensures that there is transparency in the procedure for the appointment of directors, executives, and other managerial personnel in a company. Accountable management will promote fair and impartial decisions and provide suitable legal remedies to the aggrieved.

Thus, corporate governance and its regulation of the company are necessary to achieve growth and development in the market.

Corporate governance in India

With the growth of technological advancements and inventions, there has been a tremendous increase in the number of products and companies in the market. At the same time, the country was witnessing a large number of scams and frauds. Some of them are Satyam Computers, Kingfisher Airlines fraud, ILFS fraud, PNB fraud, etc. As a result, there was a need to regulate the conduct of companies and their management to avoid such scams and frauds and to make the authorities of a company accountable and responsible.

Origin and evolution

The origin and evolution of corporate governance in India can be divided into two phases. These are:

- Phase one (1996-2008)
- Second phase (after 2009)

Phase one (1996-2008)

This phase is the starting phase of corporate governance in India. During this phase, the Ministry of Corporate Affairs and the Securities and Exchange Board of India worked together to bring much needed principles of corporate governance. The aim was to form audit committees and appoint independent directors and supervisors for the internal management of a company.

The Confederation of Indian Industries (CII) in 1996 took a great step and an initiative to draft a code that provides for transparency in the affairs, security of investors' interests, implementation of international standards on disclosure of information, and builds confidence and trust among people. Mr. Kumar Mangalam Birla, the then chairman of SEBI, was told to form a committee that concerned corporate governance. The committee, in its recommendations, mandated the companies to submit annual reports and reports on corporate governance in order to help the shareholders know where the company stands in the implementation of corporate governance. It also realised the importance of the audit committee and provided the structure for its constitution and functions.

In 2001, a Standing Committee on International Financial Standards and Codes was formed to compare the situation of corporate governance in India with the international standards and suggest measures to improve it. Further, a consultative group of bank directors and various financial institutions were asked by the Reserve Bank of India in April 2001 to submit a report on the supervision of boards, audit committees, transparency, disclosure of information, etc. and suggest measures to effectively incorporate corporate governance and enhance the role of the board of directors. Another report was submitted by SEBI in 2003 on the issues of risk management, independent directors, compensation, etc. As a result of this report, major changes related to audit committees, board of directors, disclosure of information to shareholders, etc. were brought in the Indian Companies.

Phase two (after 2009)

The Satyam Computers Scandal is still counted as one of the biggest scams in the country. It was exposed in 2009 and made the government think again over the issue of corporate governance. This scandal imposed a direct question on the accountability of mechanisms and principles that were implemented to prevent such activities.

The CII gave its report on the issue of the scandal and termed it a "one-off incident." The report claimed that the rest of the corporate sector is working smoothly, free from such activities. Apart from this, the National Association of Software and Services Companies (NASSCOM) and the Chamber of Commerce of IT-BPO formed a Committee on Corporate Governance and Ethics in this regard to avoid such activities in the future. This committee is headed by Mr. N.R. Narayana Murthy.

Need for corporate governance in India

- In a company, there are different members, and each person has his or her own attitude and way of perceiving things. The management is supposed to work according to their needs and problems faced by them so that they can satisfy all the shareholders and employees. This is only possible if there is a system that regulates and controls the behaviour and conduct of all the members.
- With an increase in the number of companies over the years, there has been an increase in the demand for investment, which is another challenge faced by a company. Only a healthy working environment

and trustworthy affairs and dealings could muster the support of any investor. Thus, in order to build trust, one has to follow certain principles and guidelines and adhere to proper management and governance.

- Apart from professional dealings, a company has to fulfil other responsibilities as well. According to modern norms and principles, a company has to make sure that it does not violate any law, hurt the religious sentiments of people, avoid any kind of pollution that could damage the environment, and fulfil social responsibility as well. This is possible with corporate governance.
- Companies doing their trade and business in international markets and countries are expected to follow a certain code of conduct to regulate their management at such a high level and attract more foreign investment.

Importance of corporate governance

- The importance of the government lies in the fact that it helps in the growth and development of any venture by dealing with problems like proper financial assistance, structure, market strategies, etc. It ensures the smooth running of all the departments in a company, which further reduces the chances of chaos and confusion.
- It mitigates risk and reduces the chances of fraud, scams, and other illegal activities. If a company works on ethical principles and is governed properly, then its members become efficient and responsible in their work.
- The ultimate purpose of corporate governance is to protect the interests of the shareholders and build healthy relationships with them. This further increases the number of shareholders and their valuation, which serves as a boon for the company.
- It builds trust in the minds of the public regarding a particular company and so maintains its reputation even if the company is facing a crisis.
- It improves the efficiency of the company and its services.
- Proper corporate governance and surveillance of audits, accounts, and financial data can help in attracting several big investors by boosting their confidence in a company, which further increases capital investment.
- Corporate governance is important at times of mergers, acquisitions, and reconstruction. It helps a business or company decide which is a better deal to crack and differentiates the hoax company from others.
- It ensures that a company also makes strategies and plans for effective corporate social responsibility and welfare.

- If the norms and principles of corporate governance are followed in a company, it increases its global market and boosts economic development.
- It cultivates ethical standards and practices in the management and dealings of the company.

Challenges of corporate governance

The evolution of corporate governance in India

The evolution of corporate governance in India is a fascinating journey that mirrors the country's economic transition from a heavily regulated, socialist-leaning economy to a liberalized, globally integrated market. Because the Indian corporate landscape has historically been dominated by family-owned or "promoter-driven" businesses, the governance frameworks have had to uniquely balance global best practices with local market realities.

Here is a structured overview of this evolution, broken down into key historical phases and landmark committees. You can use this as a structural outline for a dissertation chapter.

1. Phase I: The Pre-Liberalization Era (1947–1991)

In the decades following independence, corporate governance in India was largely rudimentary and heavily regulated by the state, rather than driven by market forces.

- The Managing Agency System: Inherited from the British era, this system allowed a small group of individuals (managing agents) to control multiple companies with minimal ownership. It was plagued by severe conflicts of interest and wealth tunneling, leading to its abolition in 1970.
- The Companies Act, 1956: This was the foundational legislation for Indian businesses. However, its primary focus was on administrative control, government approvals, and preventing the concentration of economic power (supported by the MRTP Act of 1969), rather than protecting minority shareholders or ensuring board independence.
- Governance Characteristics: During this period, boards were often filled with family members or friends of the promoters. Transparency was low, institutional investors had little to no voice, and financial institutions (mostly state-owned) rarely intervened in management decisions.

2. Phase II: Economic Liberalization and Early Voluntary Codes (1991–2000)

The economic crisis of 1991 forced India to open its markets to foreign investment. To attract global capital, Indian companies had to align their governance standards with international expectations.

- Establishment of SEBI (1992): The Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) was granted statutory powers to regulate the securities market, markng the beginning of modern regulatory oversight.
- The CII Code (1998): The Confederation of Indian Industry (CII) took the first major initiative by publishing the "Desirable Corporate Governance Code." It was a voluntary code, largely influenced by the UK's Cadbury Report, and focused heavily on maximizing long-term shareholder value and board composition.
- Kumar Mangalam Birla Committee (1999): Appointed by SEBI, this committee's recommendations formed the bedrock of modern Indian corporate governance. It led to the introduction of Clause 49 in the Listing Agreement, which mandated specific governance practices for listed companies, including the appointment of independent directors and the establishment of audit committees.

3. Phase III: Consolidation, Scandals, and Mandatory Frameworks (2000–2013)

This era was characterized by the transition from voluntary guidelines to strict, mandatory compliance, accelerated by major corporate scandals both globally (Enron) and locally (Satyam).

- The Satyam Scandal (2009): Often called "India's Enron," the massive accounting fraud at Satyam Computer Services exposed severe flaws in the roles of independent directors and external auditors in India. It acted as an urgent catalyst for governance reform.
- A Decade of Committees: The government and SEBI formed several committees to continuously tighten regulations (see table below).
- The Companies Act, 2013: This was a watershed moment. It replaced the archaic 1956 Act and brought sweeping, mandatory changes. It codified the duties of directors, mandated the inclusion of resident and women directors, introduced class-action suits for shareholders, and made Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) spending mandatory for qualifying companies.

4. Phase IV: The Modern Era and ESG Integration (2013–Present)

Currently, Indian corporate governance is focused on refining board independence, protecting minority shareholders from dominant promoters, and rapidly integrating sustainability.

- SEBI (LODR) Regulations, 2015: SEBI consolidated all listing agreements into the Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements (LODR). This provided a unified, legally enforceable framework for governance disclosures.

- Uday Kotak Committee (2017): Formed to enhance governance in listed entities, this committee recommended separating the roles of CEO/MD and Chairperson, increasing the minimum number of board members, enhancing the role of the audit committee, and improving information flow to independent directors.

The Shift to ESG: SEBI replaced the Business Responsibility Report (BRR) with the Business Responsibility and Sustainability Report (BRSR). Starting in 2022-23, the top 1,000 listed companies by market capitalization are required to file comprehensive ESG disclosures, aligning Indian governance with global climate and social accountability standards.

Core Principles of Good Corporate Governance

Meaning of the Core Principles of Good Corporate Governance

The core principles of good corporate governance refer to the essential standards and guiding values that ensure effective, transparent, ethical, and responsible management of a company.

These principles are called “core” because they form the basic foundation of every sound governance system. Without these principles, corporations may suffer from:

1. Mismanagement,
2. Corruption,
3. Fraud,
4. Abuse of power,
5. Financial manipulation,
6. Lack of investor confidence,
7. Corporate collapse.

The principles guide:

- Directors in decision-making,
- Management in conducting business operations,
- Shareholders in exercising their rights,
- Regulators in supervising corporations.

The primary objective of these principles is to balance corporate power with corporate responsibility.

Background and Evolution of Corporate Governance Principles

Early Development

The concept of corporate governance developed alongside the growth of corporations and industrial capitalism. Earlier business organizations were usually family-managed enterprises where ownership and management remained in the hands of the same individuals.

However, during the Industrial Revolution and later economic expansion, companies became larger and ownership became dispersed among many shareholders. This created a “separation between ownership and management.”

As a result:

- Shareholders owned the company,
- Managers controlled daily operations.

This separation created the possibility of misuse of power by management, leading to agency problems.

Core Principles of Good Corporate Governance

After understanding the meaning, background, evolution, and importance of corporate governance, the major core principles can now be discussed under separate headings.

1. Transparency

Transparency means openness and proper disclosure of information by the company regarding its financial position, decision-making, operations, risks, and governance practices.

A transparent company ensures that shareholders and stakeholders receive accurate and timely information.

Features

1. Proper financial disclosures,
2. Honest accounting practices,
3. Publication of annual reports,
4. Independent auditing,
5. Risk disclosure.

Importance

1. Prevents fraud,
2. Builds investor confidence,
3. Promotes ethical conduct,
4. Strengthens market reputation.

Example

The collapse of Satyam Computer Services highlighted the consequences of lack of transparency in financial reporting.

2. Accountability

Accountability means that directors and management are answerable for their decisions and actions.

The board must justify its conduct and performance to shareholders and regulators.

Features

1. Clear responsibilities,
2. Monitoring systems,
3. Board oversight,
4. Performance evaluation.

Importance

- Prevents misuse of authority,
- Ensures responsible management,
- Protects shareholder interests.

3. Responsibility

Responsibility refers to the obligation of corporations to act lawfully, ethically, and responsibly toward society and stakeholders.

It includes both legal and social responsibilities.

Features

1. Compliance with laws,
2. Corporate Social Responsibility,
3. Environmental protection,
4. Ethical operations.

Importance

- Promotes sustainable development,
- Protects public interest,
- Improves corporate image.

4. Fairness

Fairness means equitable treatment of all stakeholders, including minority shareholders.

No stakeholder should suffer discrimination or oppression.

Features

1. Equal treatment,
2. Minority shareholder protection,
3. Fair voting rights,
4. Non-discriminatory policies.

Importance

- Encourages investment,
- Maintains stakeholder trust,
- Prevents oppression.

6. Independence

Independence means unbiased functioning of the board and independent directors without external pressure or conflicts of interest.

Features

1. Independent directors,
2. Independent audit committees,
3. Objective supervision.

Importance

- Ensures impartial decision-making,
- Prevents concentration of power.

7. Rule of Law and Compliance

Companies must follow all legal and regulatory requirements.

Features

1. Legal compliance,
2. Regulatory reporting,
3. Internal compliance systems.

Importance

- Prevents penalties,
- Promotes corporate discipline.

8. Stakeholder Participation

Stakeholders should have opportunities to participate in important corporate matters.

Features

- Shareholder meetings,
- Voting rights,
- Stakeholder consultation.

Importance

Strengthens democratic governance,

Enhances transparency and trust.

STATUTORY AND REGULATORY FRAMEWORK IN INDIA

Statutory and Regulatory Framework of Corporate Governance in India

Introduction

The statutory and regulatory framework of corporate governance in India consists of various laws, regulatory authorities, and institutional mechanisms that collectively ensure transparency, accountability, ethical conduct, and investor protection in corporate functioning. With the expansion of the Indian economy and integration with global financial markets, the need for a strong governance framework became increasingly important. Corporate scandals, financial frauds, and governance failures highlighted the necessity for stricter legal regulation and effective regulatory oversight.

- India has gradually developed a comprehensive corporate governance framework through legislative enactments such as the Companies Act, 2013, the SEBI Act, 1992, and various regulations issued by statutory authorities. In addition to legislation, regulatory institutions such as the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI), Serious Fraud Investigation Office (SFIO), Ministry of Corporate Affairs (MCA), National Financial Reporting Authority (NFRA), and Reserve Bank of India (RBI) play significant roles in maintaining corporate discipline and financial stability.
- The statutory framework in India seeks to balance corporate growth with accountability and stakeholder protection. It aims not only to regulate corporate entities but also to ensure ethical business practices, proper disclosure standards, financial integrity, and protection of minority shareholders. The following discussion examines the major components of the statutory and regulatory framework governing corporate governance in India.

Companies Act, 2013

- The Companies Act, 2013 is the principal legislation governing companies in India. It replaced the Companies Act, 1956 and introduced several modern corporate governance reforms aimed at enhancing transparency, accountability, and investor protection. The enactment of the Companies Act, 2013 represented a major shift in Indian corporate law because it incorporated global governance standards and addressed deficiencies exposed by corporate scandals such as the Satyam fraud.

- The Act provides a comprehensive legal framework relating to incorporation, management, administration, regulation, and winding up of companies. It also establishes the duties and responsibilities of directors, auditors, promoters, and key managerial personnel. One of the significant objectives of the Act is to ensure responsible corporate management and strengthen stakeholder confidence.
- A major contribution of the Companies Act, 2013 to corporate governance is the formal recognition of independent directors. The Act prescribes qualifications, duties, and liabilities of independent directors and seeks to ensure impartial decision-making within company boards. Independent directors are expected to protect the interests of minority shareholders and ensure ethical governance practices.
- The Act also introduced the concept of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) under Section 135, making India one of the first countries to mandate CSR expenditure by eligible companies. This provision reflects the stakeholder-oriented approach of modern corporate governance, emphasizing that companies have responsibilities not only toward shareholders but also toward society.
- Another important governance reform under the Act is the requirement relating to audit committees, nomination and remuneration committees, vigil mechanisms, and board evaluation systems. These provisions strengthen internal corporate controls and improve accountability of management.
- The Companies Act, 2013 also enhanced disclosure obligations and financial reporting standards. It imposes stricter penalties for fraud, misrepresentation, and non-compliance. The Act therefore serves as the foundation of corporate governance regulation in India.

Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) Act, 1992

- The Securities and Exchange Board of India Act, 1992 established the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) as the primary regulator of the securities market in India. The enactment of the SEBI Act was a response to increasing concerns regarding market manipulation, investor exploitation, and lack of transparency in the securities market.
- SEBI is entrusted with the responsibility of protecting the interests of investors, regulating the securities market, and promoting the development of capital markets in India. The regulator performs a crucial role in maintaining fairness, efficiency, and integrity in market operations.

- The SEBI Act grants extensive powers to SEBI to regulate stock exchanges, intermediaries, mutual funds, credit rating agencies, and listed companies. SEBI has authority to issue regulations, conduct inspections, investigate market irregularities, and impose penalties for violations of securities laws.

- Corporate governance forms one of the most important functions of SEBI. The regulator has introduced various governance-related measures aimed at strengthening transparency, disclosure standards, and shareholder protection. SEBI played a major role in introducing Clause 49 of the Listing Agreement, which became a landmark corporate governance reform in India.

- The importance of SEBI increased significantly after major securities scams such as the Harshad Mehta Scam and Ketan Parekh Scam, which exposed serious weaknesses in market regulation and corporate governance. Through continuous reforms and regulatory supervision, SEBI has contributed substantially toward improving governance standards in Indian listed companies.

SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015

- The SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015, commonly known as the SEBI LODR Regulations, constitute one of the most important governance frameworks applicable to listed entities in India. These regulations consolidated and replaced various listing agreements and disclosure requirements applicable to listed companies.

- The primary objective of the LODR Regulations is to ensure transparency, timely disclosures, and protection of investor interests. The regulations prescribe obligations relating to corporate governance, financial reporting, board composition, disclosure standards, and shareholder rights.

- One of the major features of the LODR Regulations is the requirement concerning board composition. Listed companies are required to appoint independent directors to ensure objectivity and prevent excessive concentration of power in the hands of promoters or management. The regulations also mandate the constitution of audit committees, nomination and remuneration committees, and stakeholder relationship committees.

- The LODR Regulations impose strict disclosure obligations upon listed companies. Companies are required to disclose material events, financial statements, related-party transactions, shareholding patterns, and governance reports within prescribed timelines. These disclosure requirements promote transparency and enable investors to make informed decisions.

- The regulations also strengthen shareholder participation by requiring companies to provide electronic voting facilities and ensure fair treatment of minority shareholders. The emphasis on timely disclosure and corporate accountability has significantly improved governance standards in listed companies.

- The LODR framework reflects the growing importance of disclosure-based regulation in corporate governance. It aligns Indian governance standards with international best practices and enhances confidence in Indian capital markets.

Serious Fraud Investigation Office (SFIO)

- The Serious Fraud Investigation Office (SFIO) is a specialized investigative agency established under the Ministry of Corporate Affairs to investigate complex corporate frauds and white-collar crimes. The SFIO was established in response to increasing instances of sophisticated financial frauds involving companies, directors, auditors, and corporate professionals.

- The SFIO derives statutory recognition under the Companies Act, 2013. It possesses multidisciplinary expertise consisting of professionals from fields such as law, accountancy, investigation, forensic auditing, taxation, and information technology. This specialized structure enables the SFIO to handle complicated corporate fraud cases involving multiple layers of transactions and financial manipulations.

- The primary objective of SFIO is to detect, investigate, and prosecute serious corporate frauds that have substantial public interest implications. The agency has powers to conduct investigations, summon individuals, examine documents, and submit reports to the Central Government.

- The role of SFIO became particularly important after major corporate scandals such as the Satyam Scam, which demonstrated the need for specialized investigation mechanisms to deal with corporate frauds. SFIO investigations often involve issues such as falsification of accounts, diversion of funds, insider frauds, money laundering, and corporate misrepresentation.

- The existence of SFIO strengthens the corporate governance framework by creating accountability for fraudulent corporate conduct and deterring financial crimes within the corporate sector.

Ministry of Corporate Affairs (MCA)

- The Ministry of Corporate Affairs (MCA) is the central government authority responsible for administering corporate laws and regulating corporate affairs in India. The MCA plays a crucial role in policy formulation, legislative development, enforcement of company law, and promotion of good corporate governance practices.
- The Ministry administers important legislations including the Companies Act, 2013, Limited Liability Partnership Act, Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, and certain provisions relating to competition and corporate restructuring. It supervises the functioning of companies registered in India and ensures compliance with statutory requirements.
- The MCA also oversees various regulatory bodies and institutions such as the Registrar of Companies (ROC), Regional Directors, Official Liquidators, National Company Law Tribunal (NCLT), and SFIO. Through these institutions, the Ministry ensures enforcement of corporate laws and resolution of corporate disputes.
- One of the important functions of MCA is promoting transparency and ease of doing business through digitization and modernization of corporate administration. Initiatives such as the MCA21 portal have improved electronic filing systems and corporate disclosures.
- The MCA also plays an important role in developing governance policies and introducing legislative reforms based on changing economic conditions and emerging governance challenges. Thus, the Ministry functions as the central pillar of corporate regulation in India.

National Financial Reporting Authority (NFRA)

- The National Financial Reporting Authority (NFRA) is an independent regulatory authority established under the Companies Act, 2013 to oversee accounting and auditing standards in India. The establishment of NFRA reflected the increasing need for an independent regulator to supervise auditors and ensure reliability of financial reporting.
- The primary objective of NFRA is to improve the quality of auditing and financial reporting and restore public confidence in financial statements. Corporate scandals revealed that ineffective auditing and lack of auditor independence could result in serious governance failures. NFRA was therefore created to strengthen audit oversight and accountability.

- NFRA has powers to recommend accounting and auditing standards to the Central Government. It also has authority to investigate professional misconduct committed by chartered accountants and audit firms. The authority can impose penalties, debar auditors, and take disciplinary action in cases involving audit failures or misconduct.
- The establishment of NFRA represents a major governance reform because it introduces independent oversight of the auditing profession. By ensuring higher auditing standards and accountability of auditors, NFRA contributes significantly toward improving transparency and credibility in corporate financial reporting.

Reserve Bank of India (RBI)

- The Reserve Bank of India (RBI) is the central bank of India and plays a crucial role in regulating the banking and financial sector. Although RBI primarily deals with monetary policy and financial stability, it also contributes significantly to corporate governance in financial institutions and non-banking entities.
- The RBI regulates banks, financial institutions, and non-banking financial companies (NBFCs). It issues prudential norms, governance guidelines, risk management standards, and compliance requirements applicable to financial institutions. The RBI ensures that banks and NBFCs function in a safe, transparent, and accountable manner.
- Corporate governance in banks is particularly important because banking institutions deal with public deposits and financial stability. The RBI therefore imposes governance requirements relating to board composition, risk management, internal controls, auditing, and disclosure obligations.
- The RBI also supervises financial institutions through inspections and regulatory monitoring. In cases of governance failures or financial irregularities, the RBI has authority to impose restrictions, penalties, or corrective measures.
- The importance of RBI's governance role became evident after financial crises involving banks and NBFCs such as the IL&FS crisis and banking frauds. The RBI continues to strengthen governance norms in the financial sector to ensure stability and protect public confidence in the
- financial system.

CHAPTER-5 MAJOR CORPORATE GOVERNANCE FAILURES IN INDIA : CASE STUDY ANALYSES

Satyam Computer Services Scam

The Satyam Computer Services scandal is regarded as one of the most significant corporate governance failures in India. Often referred to as "India's Enron," the scam exposed serious weaknesses in corporate governance mechanisms, auditing practices, board oversight, and regulatory supervision within the Indian corporate sector. The scandal came to light in January 2009 when the company's founder and chairman, B. Ramalinga Raju, admitted to large-scale financial fraud involving falsification of accounts and manipulation of company financial statements over several years.

The Satyam scandal severely damaged investor confidence and raised serious concerns regarding the effectiveness of corporate governance norms in India. At the same time, it became a turning point that encouraged important legal and regulatory reforms aimed at strengthening transparency, accountability, and investor protection. In the context of the dissertation topic, the Satyam case serves as a major example for analyzing corporate governance failures and evaluating judicial and regulatory responses in India.

Yes Bank Governance Crisis

The Yes Bank governance crisis is considered one of the most significant examples of governance and financial sector failure in contemporary India. The crisis highlighted serious concerns relating to risk management, concentration of authority within corporate leadership, ineffective board supervision, and delayed regulatory intervention. Established as a rapidly growing private sector bank, Yes Bank initially gained a strong reputation in the Indian banking industry because of aggressive expansion, high profitability, and rapid credit growth. However, underlying governance weaknesses gradually surfaced, ultimately leading to severe financial stress, deterioration of asset quality, and loss of investor and depositor confidence.

The crisis became more visible between 2018 and 2020 when concerns regarding non-performing assets, under-reporting of bad loans, corporate lending practices, and governance deficiencies intensified. The Reserve Bank of India (RBI) eventually intervened to stabilize the bank and prevent wider financial instability. In the context of the dissertation topic, "Developments in Corporate Governance Failures in India: A Study with Reference to Judicial and Regulatory Responses," the Yes Bank crisis serves as an important case study demonstrating how governance failures within financial institutions can threaten economic stability and require strong regulatory action.

DHFL Governance Failure

The Dewan Housing Finance Corporation Limited (DHFL) governance failure is regarded as one of the major financial and corporate scandals in India's housing finance sector. DHFL was once considered a prominent non-banking financial company (NBFC) engaged in providing housing finance and loans to retail customers. However, serious allegations relating to diversion of funds, fraudulent transactions, related-party dealings, and financial mismanagement eventually exposed deep governance deficiencies within the company.

The crisis surrounding DHFL gained national attention when investigations revealed large-scale financial irregularities and misuse of public funds. The collapse of the company significantly affected banks, institutional investors, depositors, shareholders, and the broader financial system. The case also raised serious concerns regarding corporate governance standards in India's NBFC sector and highlighted weaknesses in financial oversight and regulatory supervision.

In the context of the dissertation topic, "Developments in Corporate Governance Failures in India: A Study with Reference to Judicial and Regulatory Responses," the DHFL case serves as an important example of how governance failures, weak internal controls, and unethical corporate conduct can lead to large-scale financial fraud and regulatory intervention.

Critical Evaluation of Regulatory Responses

Regulatory authorities play a central role in maintaining corporate discipline, protecting investor interests, and ensuring transparency in corporate functioning. In India, the increasing number of corporate scandals, financial frauds, and governance failures has compelled regulatory institutions to adopt stricter governance standards and stronger enforcement mechanisms. Regulatory responses have evolved significantly through legislative reforms, market regulations, disclosure requirements, and institutional supervision.

The Indian corporate governance framework is primarily administered through the Companies Act, 2013, regulations framed by the Securities and Exchange Board of India, prudential norms issued by the Reserve Bank of India, and audit oversight mechanisms established by the National Financial Reporting

Authority. These institutions have introduced several reforms to improve accountability, transparency, board independence, and investor protection.

Despite substantial regulatory developments, the effectiveness of regulatory responses remains subject to critical evaluation. While reforms have strengthened the legal framework, practical challenges relating to enforcement, compliance, institutional coordination, and regulatory efficiency continue to affect corporate governance in India.

1. Strengthening of Corporate Governance Framework

One of the most important achievements of regulatory responses in India has been the development of a comprehensive corporate governance framework. The enactment of the Companies Act, 2013 introduced stricter provisions relating to directors' duties, board accountability, audit committees, disclosure requirements, independent directors, and corporate social responsibility.

Similarly, Securities and Exchange Board of India introduced various governance reforms through the Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements (LODR) Regulations, which strengthened disclosure norms, related party transaction monitoring, and shareholder protection.

The study finds that these reforms significantly improved governance awareness and institutional accountability in the Indian corporate sector. Regulatory initiatives contributed toward greater transparency and alignment with international governance standards.

However, the study critically observes that the existence of detailed regulations does not automatically ensure effective governance. In many instances, corporations continue to comply with governance requirements only formally rather than substantively. Therefore, regulatory compliance often becomes procedural rather than ethical in nature.

2. Role of SEBI in Investor Protection

The study finds that Securities and Exchange Board of India has emerged as one of the most influential regulatory authorities in strengthening corporate governance standards in India. SEBI has introduced reforms relating to independent directors, disclosure obligations, insider trading regulations, audit committee functioning, and protection of minority shareholders.

SEBI has also enhanced disclosure standards by requiring listed companies to provide timely and accurate information regarding financial performance, corporate actions, and related party transactions. Such reforms have contributed toward greater market transparency and investor confidence.

Nevertheless, the study identifies certain limitations in SEBI's regulatory functioning. Enforcement proceedings are often time-consuming, and penalties imposed in some cases may not be sufficiently deterrent. Further, delays in investigation and adjudication reduce the effectiveness of regulatory intervention. The study therefore concludes that while SEBI has substantially strengthened governance standards, enforcement efficiency remains a critical challenge.

3. Regulatory Oversight of Auditors and Financial Reporting

Another significant regulatory development identified in the study is the establishment of the National Financial Reporting Authority for independent oversight of auditors and accounting standards. NFRA was created to strengthen financial reporting integrity and improve accountability in the auditing profession.

The study finds that NFRA represents an important institutional reform because previous oversight mechanisms often failed to adequately address auditor negligence and professional misconduct. Regulatory scrutiny over audit practices has increased significantly after major corporate frauds revealed weaknesses in financial reporting systems.

However, the study also notes that the effectiveness of audit regulation depends largely on institutional independence, technical expertise, and prompt enforcement. Challenges relating to coordination between regulatory authorities and professional bodies sometimes create uncertainty regarding jurisdiction and disciplinary action. Consequently, audit oversight mechanisms continue to evolve in response to emerging governance concerns.

4. RBI and Governance Regulation in Banking and NBFC Sectors

The Reserve Bank of India has played an important role in strengthening governance standards in banks and Non-Banking Financial Companies (NBFCs). The study finds that RBI has introduced several prudential norms relating to risk management, capital adequacy, board oversight, compliance systems, and financial disclosures.

These measures became particularly important after financial instability and governance failures in certain banking and NBFC institutions exposed weaknesses in risk supervision and internal controls.

RBI's regulatory intervention has contributed toward improving institutional accountability and financial stability.

At the same time, the study critically observes that governance failures in the financial sector continue to occur despite regulatory supervision. This indicates that regulatory monitoring alone cannot prevent misconduct unless supported by effective internal governance and ethical corporate practices.

5. Regulation of Independent Directors and Board Committees

The study finds that Indian regulators have increasingly emphasized the role of independent directors and board committees in strengthening governance mechanisms. Regulatory reforms introduced mandatory constitution of Audit Committees, Nomination and Remuneration Committees, and Stakeholders Relationship Committees in specified companies.

Such reforms aimed to improve oversight, reduce managerial abuse, and strengthen accountability in decision-making processes. Requirements relating to board diversity, independent directors, and periodic disclosures also enhanced institutional transparency.

However, the study critically evaluates that independent directors often lack actual independence due to promoter influence and structural limitations within companies. In several cases, independent directors failed to detect or prevent governance failures despite statutory safeguards. Therefore, the study concludes that regulatory reforms relating to board independence have achieved only partial success.

CONCLUSION

Corporate governance has emerged as one of the most significant pillars of modern corporate regulation, ensuring transparency, accountability, fairness, ethical conduct, and protection of stakeholder interests. The evolution of corporate governance in India reflects the country's transition from a heavily regulated economy to a globally integrated corporate environment. Over the years, the Indian corporate governance framework has undergone substantial transformation through legislative reforms, regulatory initiatives, judicial interventions, and institutional developments aimed at strengthening corporate accountability and investor confidence.

This study examined the concept, evolution, principles, and statutory framework of corporate governance in India. The analysis demonstrates that corporate governance extends beyond mere legal compliance and serves as a mechanism for balancing corporate power with corporate responsibility. The core principles of transparency, accountability, fairness, responsibility, independence, ethical conduct, and stakeholder participation form the foundation of an effective governance system and contribute to sustainable corporate growth.

The research further examined the statutory and regulatory framework governing corporate governance in India, particularly the Companies Act, 2013, the SEBI Act, 1992, the SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015, and the roles of regulatory institutions such as SEBI, MCA, SFIO, NFRA, and RBI. These legal and institutional mechanisms have significantly strengthened governance standards by enhancing disclosure requirements, improving board accountability, protecting minority shareholders, and promoting financial transparency.

However, despite the existence of a comprehensive governance framework, major corporate scandals such as the Satyam Computer Services Scam, Yes Bank Governance Crisis, and DHFL Fraud reveal that governance failures continue to occur. These incidents exposed weaknesses relating to board independence, auditor accountability, risk management, internal controls, and regulatory enforcement. They demonstrate that legal provisions alone cannot guarantee effective governance unless supported by ethical corporate culture, responsible leadership, and strict enforcement mechanisms.

The study finds that regulatory responses have substantially improved the governance landscape in India. Reforms introduced through the Companies Act, 2013, SEBI regulations, enhanced audit oversight, and strengthened disclosure requirements have aligned Indian governance standards with global best practices. Nevertheless, challenges relating to enforcement delays, promoter dominance, ineffective functioning of independent directors, cyber risks, and evolving corporate complexities continue to hinder the full realization of good governance objectives.

In conclusion, effective corporate governance is essential for maintaining investor confidence, ensuring market integrity, and promoting sustainable economic development. The future of corporate governance in India depends not only upon the strength of laws and regulations but also upon their effective implementation, ethical corporate leadership, active stakeholder participation, and robust regulatory oversight. A culture of integrity, transparency, and accountability must become an integral part of corporate functioning if India is to achieve a resilient and globally competitive corporate governance regime.

REFERENCES

1. A.C. Fernando, *Corporate Governance: Principles, Policies and Practices* (3rd edn., Pearson Education, New Delhi, 2012).

2. R. Edward Freeman, *Strategic Management: A Stakeholder Approach* (Pitman Publishing, Boston, 1984).

3. Bob Tricker, *Corporate Governance: Principles, Policies and Practices* (5th edn., Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2019).

4. Robert A.G. Monks and Nell Minow, *Corporate Governance* (6th edn., John Wiley & Sons, New Jersey, 2011).

5. Christine A. Mallin, *Corporate Governance* (7th edn., Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2022).

6. Andrei Shleifer and Robert W. Vishny, “A Survey of Corporate Governance” 52(2) *The Journal of Finance* 737 (1997).

7. Rajesh Chakrabarti, William Megginson and Pradeep Yadav, “Corporate Governance in India” 20(1) *Journal of Applied Corporate Finance* 59 (2008).

8. Stijn Claessens and Burcin Yurtoglu, “Corporate Governance in Emerging Markets: A Survey” 15 *Emerging Markets Review* 1 (2013).

9. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), *G20/OECD Principles of Corporate Governance* (OECD Publishing, Paris, 2023).

10. Confederation of Indian Industry (CII), *Desirable Corporate Governance: A Code* (New Delhi, 1998).

11. Securities and Exchange Board of India, *Report of the Kumar Mangalam Birla Committee on Corporate Governance* (SEBI, Mumbai, 2000).

12. Securities and Exchange Board of India, *Report of the Uday Kotak Committee on Corporate Governance* (SEBI, Mumbai, 2017).

13. Ministry of Corporate Affairs, Government of India, *Report of the J.J. Irani Committee on Company Law* (2005).

14. National Association of Software and Services Companies (NASSCOM), *Report of the Committee on Corporate Governance and Ethics* (2009).

15. National Financial Reporting Authority, *Annual Report* (Government of India, latest available edition).

16. Reserve Bank of India, *Report on Trend and Progress of Banking in India* (various editions).

17. World Bank, *Report on the Observance of Standards and Codes (ROSC): Corporate Governance Country Assessment – India* (World Bank Publications).

18. The Companies Act, 2013 (Act No. 18 of 2013).

19. The Securities and Exchange Board of India Act, 1992 (Act No. 15 of 1992).

20. The Securities and Exchange Board of India (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015.

21. The Reserve Bank of India Act, 1934 (Act No. 2 of 1934).

22. B. Ramalinga Raju, *Letter to the Board of Directors of Satyam Computer Services Ltd.* (7 January 2009).

23. Dewan Housing Finance Corporation Limited, *Administrator's Reports and Resolution Process Documents under the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016*.

24. Ministry of Corporate Affairs, Government of India, *Report on Corporate Governance and Corporate Social Responsibility* (various publications).

25. Serious Fraud Investigation Office, *Investigation Reports on Corporate Frauds and Governance Failures* (Ministry of Corporate Affairs).