



---

## The Collapse of the Traditional Ruling System in Tribal Areas of India: Unveiling the Winds of Change

**Anuj Tomar**

Ph.D. Research Scholar, Department of African Studies, University of Delhi, India

Email: tanuj2643@gmail.com

**Nisha Yadav**

Centre for Disaster Studies, Jawaharlal Nehru University, New Delhi, India

Email: nishy2828@gmail.com

---

**DOI : <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20622113>**

---

### ARTICLE DETAILS

**Research Paper**

**Accepted:** 20-05-2026

**Published:** 10-06-2026

---

**Keywords:**

*Customary Laws, Tribal Council, Traditional Governance, Pesa Act, Modernization, Globalization, Indigenous, Stakeholders, Resource Allocation.*

---

### ABSTRACT

The collapse of the traditional ruling system in tribal areas of India has brought about significant changes in the socio-political dynamics of these marginalized communities. The traditional ruling systems, rooted in customs, oral traditions, and community consensus, have faced numerous challenges leading to their decline. Factors such as modernization, globalization, erosion of indigenous knowledge, and the emergence of external power structures have contributed to the collapse of these systems. This abstract examines the impact of the collapse and highlights the challenges and opportunities it presents. The loss of cultural autonomy, erosion of indigenous knowledge, and the absence of a well-defined governance structure pose challenges to the overall development and welfare of tribal communities. Power struggles, conflicts between traditional and modern systems, and the influence of external interests further complicate the transition. However, amidst the challenges, the collapse also opens up opportunities for positive change. It provides a chance to reevaluate and adapt governance structures, incorporating both traditional and modern elements. Greater representation of marginalized voices, women, and youth in decision-



making processes can foster inclusivity. Integration of technology and education can empower tribal communities to address their socio-economic needs more effectively. Efforts should be made to bridge the gap between traditional and statutory institutions, recognizing and incorporating indigenous knowledge and practices. Collaboration between traditional leaders, elected representatives, and external stakeholders is crucial to ensure an inclusive and effective governance framework. Capacity-building programs, resource allocation, and protection from external interests are necessary to safeguard the interests and preserve the cultural heritage of tribal communities.

---

---

## INTRODUCTION

India, known for its cultural diversity and rich heritage, is home to numerous tribal communities that have preserved their distinct identities for centuries. These indigenous groups have long relied on traditional ruling systems, rooted in customs, oral traditions, and community consensus, to govern their affairs. However, the winds of change have swept through the tribal areas of India, leading to the collapse of the traditional ruling system. This transformation has brought both challenges and opportunities, raising important questions about the future governance and socio-political dynamics of these marginalized regions.

### **Historical Context and Traditional Ruling Systems**

The tribal areas of India have historically been governed by a decentralized and participatory system of governance. The traditional ruling systems were characterized by the involvement of tribal elders, councils, and customary laws that upheld justice and maintained social order. These systems fostered a sense of community cohesion and provided a platform for collective decision-making, ensuring the preservation of indigenous values and customs.

### **Factors Leading to the Collapse**

The collapse of the traditional ruling system in tribal areas can be attributed to a confluence of factors. One crucial factor is the impact of modernization and globalization. As these communities became more interconnected with the outside world, traditional systems faced challenges in accommodating new ideas



and practices. Additionally, the erosion of indigenous knowledge, the influence of dominant cultures, and the emergence of external power structures contributed to the decline of the traditional ruling systems.

### **Socio-Political Transformations**

The collapse of the traditional ruling system has sparked significant socio-political transformations within tribal areas. The power dynamics have shifted, and new actors have emerged as influential figures, such as political leaders, bureaucrats, and NGOs. These changes have altered the governance landscape, often leading to conflicts between the traditional and modern systems, as well as power struggles among various stakeholders.

### **Challenges and Disruptions**

The demise of the traditional ruling system has created several challenges and disruptions. The loss of cultural autonomy and erosion of indigenous knowledge are primary concerns. Moreover, the absence of a well-defined governance structure has resulted in a lack of effective decision-making processes, hampering the overall development and welfare of tribal communities.

### **Opportunities for Transformation**

While the collapse of the traditional ruling system presents challenges, it also offers opportunities for positive change. The transition provides a chance to reevaluate and adapt governance structures to incorporate both traditional and modern elements. It opens avenues for greater representation of marginalized voices, women, and youth in decision-making processes. Furthermore, the integration of technology and education can empower tribal communities to address their socio-economic needs effectively.

## **TRADITIONAL GOVERNANCE IN TRIBES**

Traditional ruling systems in tribal areas of India have varied across different communities, reflecting their unique cultural practices, social structures, and geographical contexts. While it is challenging to encompass the intricacies of each system within a limited word count, this overview provides an insight into some common features and elements found in traditional governance structures.

### **Tribal Councils or Panchayats**

Tribal councils, also known as Panchayats, form the backbone of many traditional ruling systems. These councils consist of respected elders or leaders chosen by the community based on their wisdom,



experience, and integrity. The councils hold regular meetings where decisions are made collectively, with an emphasis on consensus-building. The council's authority extends to matters such as dispute resolution, maintaining social order, and preserving cultural practices.

### **Oral Tradition and Customary Laws**

The oral tradition plays a vital role in tribal communities, where knowledge is transmitted through stories, myths, and songs from one generation to the next. Customary laws, derived from centuries of collective wisdom, guide social behavior, resolve conflicts, and uphold justice. These laws are often based on the principles of equity, fairness, and communal harmony. Disputes are resolved through open discussions and mediation, with the aim of restoring peace and maintaining community cohesion.

### **Role of Tribal Elders**

Tribal elders hold significant influence and are respected as custodians of wisdom and tradition. They serve as intermediaries between the community and the ruling authorities. Their roles encompass providing guidance, imparting cultural knowledge, settling disputes, and acting as custodians of customary laws. Elders are often consulted in matters of governance, community affairs, and decision-making processes. Their experience and wisdom are valued for maintaining social harmony and preserving indigenous values.

### **Collective Decision-making**

Traditional ruling systems in tribal areas prioritize collective decision-making, involving the participation of community members. Decision-making processes are inclusive and ensure that the voices of all stakeholders are heard. Consensus-building is a fundamental principle, with efforts made to reach agreement through open dialogue, discussion, and deliberation. This participatory approach fosters a sense of ownership, shared responsibility, and unity among community members.

### **Sustainability and Communal Ownership**

Traditional ruling systems in tribal areas emphasize sustainable practices and the preservation of natural resources. Community ownership and management of land, water bodies, and forests are integral components. These systems promote principles of environmental stewardship, ensuring that resources are used responsibly, equitably, and for the benefit of the entire community. The focus is on maintaining a harmonious relationship with nature and preserving ecological balance.



While the specific details and practices of traditional ruling systems may vary, these overarching characteristics reflect the importance placed on community participation, consensus-building, cultural preservation, and sustainability within tribal areas of India. These systems have historically played a crucial role in maintaining social cohesion, resolving disputes, and upholding the unique cultural identity of indigenous communities.

### **SOME SIGNIFICANT TRADITIONAL RULING SYSTEM IN TRIBES**

**The Munda Manki system** is a traditional ruling system practiced by the Ho tribe, one of the tribal communities in India. This system is prevalent among the Ho tribe, primarily residing in Jharkhand, Odisha, and West Bengal. It is characterized by the leadership of a village headman known as the Munda Manki.

The Munda Manki holds significant authority and serves as the chief decision-maker in the village. The position is typically hereditary and passed down through generations within a particular family or lineage. The Munda Manki is chosen based on their lineage, wisdom, experience, and ability to maintain social harmony.

The Munda Manki's responsibilities include overseeing village affairs, resolving disputes, and maintaining law and order. They act as a mediator in conflicts, ensuring fair judgment and harmony within the community. The Munda Manki also plays a crucial role in preserving the Ho tribe's cultural practices, customs, and traditional knowledge.

The decision-making process within the Munda Manki system is often participatory and consultative. The Munda Manki seeks advice from the village elders, respected members of the community, and sometimes the village council. Consensus-building and collective decision-making are fundamental principles, emphasizing the importance of community involvement and unity.

The Munda Manki system reflects the Ho tribe's strong sense of community and their respect for traditional authority. However, with the influence of modernization and external governance structures, the Munda Manki system has faced challenges and adaptations over time. The system's relevance and authority have evolved alongside changing social dynamics, but its significance in preserving Ho tribe's cultural heritage and maintaining social order continue to hold importance within the community.



**The Parha Raja system** is a traditional ruling system practiced in certain regions of India, particularly in parts of Rajasthan and Madhya Pradesh. The term "Parha" refers to a territorial division or administrative unit, while "Raja" signifies the ruler or leader of that particular Parha.

Under the Parha Raja system, the region is divided into several Parhas, each headed by a Raja who acts as a local administrator and authority figure. The appointment of the Parha Rajas is often based on hereditary succession, with the position passing from one generation to the next within a specific lineage or family.

The Parha Raja holds the responsibility of governing and maintaining law and order within their respective Parha. They act as intermediaries between the community and higher-level authorities. Their duties include resolving disputes, ensuring justice, collecting taxes or tributes, and overseeing the overall welfare and development of the region.

The Parha Raja system is characterized by a hierarchical structure, with Parhas being governed by Rajas, who in turn are accountable to higher-level rulers or kings. The system integrates elements of both traditional governance and feudalism, where loyalty and allegiance to the higher authority are expected from the Parha Rajas.

While the Parha Raja system has historical significance and has contributed to local administration and governance, its practical implementation and influence have evolved over time. With the advent of modern governance structures and democratic processes, the Parha Raja system has witnessed changes and adaptations to accommodate the evolving socio-political landscape of India.

## **CHALLENGES POSSESS TO TRADITIONAL RULING SYSTEM DUE TO THE ENACTMENT OF PESA ACT**

The enactment of the PESA (Panchayats Extension to Scheduled Areas) Act in India has brought about significant challenges to the traditional ruling systems prevalent in tribal areas. The PESA Act, passed in 1996, aimed to empower local self-governance in Scheduled Areas and recognized the traditional institutions of self-governance present in these regions. While the Act intended to provide greater autonomy to tribal communities, it also posed certain challenges to the existing traditional ruling systems.

### **Conflict between Traditional and Statutory Institutions**

One of the key challenges is the conflict that arises between the traditional ruling systems and the newly established statutory institutions under the PESA Act. The Act mandates the formation of elected gram



panchayats, which can clash with the authority and functioning of traditional institutions, such as tribal councils or village elders. This conflict often leads to confusion, power struggles, and a dilution of the traditional governance practices.

### **Disruption of Customary Decision-making Processes**

The PESA Act promotes democratic decision-making through elected representatives. However, in traditional ruling systems, decision-making is often based on consensus, collective wisdom, and community participation. The imposition of a formal electoral system can disrupt the customary decision-making processes and undermine the authority and influence of traditional leaders, creating a sense of disempowerment among the community members.

### **Limited Recognition of Indigenous Knowledge and Practices**

The PESA Act primarily focuses on governance structures and administrative functions, often overlooking the rich indigenous knowledge and practices embedded in traditional ruling systems. The Act does not adequately recognize the customary laws, cultural norms, and socio-economic practices that have shaped tribal communities for generations. This limited recognition undermines the holistic approach to governance and neglects the unique needs and aspirations of these communities.

### **Lack of Capacity and Resources**

Traditional ruling systems in tribal areas often lack the necessary resources, infrastructure, and administrative capacity to effectively implement the provisions of the PESA Act. These communities face challenges in accessing financial resources, technical expertise, and training required to participate in the new governance structures. The lack of adequate capacity-building support hampers the smooth transition and integration of traditional systems with the requirements of the Act.

### **Influence of External Interests**

The enactment of the PESA Act has opened up tribal areas to external interests, including political parties, businesses, and NGOs. This has led to the infiltration of external actors who may exploit the power vacuum or manipulate the democratic processes for their own benefit. The influence of external interests can disrupt the traditional ruling systems and undermine the autonomy and self-governance of tribal communities.



Addressing these challenges requires a balanced approach that acknowledges and respects the existing traditional ruling systems while providing support and capacity-building to align them with the provisions of the PESA Act. Efforts should be made to bridge the gap between traditional and statutory institutions, incorporate indigenous knowledge and practices, and ensure active participation and representation of tribal communities in decision-making processes. Collaboration between traditional leaders, elected representatives, and external stakeholders can foster a more inclusive and effective governance framework in tribal areas.

## CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the collapse of the traditional ruling system in tribal areas of India marks a significant shift in the socio-political landscape of these communities. The traditional ruling systems, deeply rooted in customs, oral traditions, and community consensus, have faced various challenges and disruptions due to factors such as modernization, external influences, and the enactment of the PESA Act.

While the collapse brings forth challenges, it also presents opportunities for transformative change. It calls for a careful balance between preserving indigenous values and integrating inclusive governance models that address the needs and aspirations of tribal populations. The journey towards a new governance paradigm requires a nuanced understanding, dialogue, and collaboration among all stakeholders involved.

Efforts must be made to bridge the gap between traditional and statutory institutions, ensuring the recognition and incorporation of indigenous knowledge and practices. It is vital to preserve the cultural autonomy and strengthen the participation of tribal communities in decision-making processes. Capacity-building programs and resource allocation are necessary to empower tribal leaders, enhance their administrative skills, and bridge the infrastructure gap.

Additionally, it is crucial to safeguard tribal communities from external interests that may exploit the power vacuum and manipulate democratic processes. Strengthening the self-governance mechanisms within tribal communities can help protect their interests and foster sustainable development.

The collapsing of the traditional ruling system should not be seen as a complete erasure of indigenous governance, but rather as an opportunity to adapt and evolve these systems to meet the changing needs of tribal communities in the context of modern challenges and aspirations. By embracing a holistic and inclusive approach, we can ensure the preservation of cultural heritage, social harmony, and the overall well-being of the tribal populations in India.

**REFERENCES**

- Bhagat, R. B. (2005). Tribal Leadership and Governance: The Impact of Modernity and Globalisation. *Sociological Bulletin*, 54(2), 238-262.
- Mahapatra, L. K. (2010). Traditional Governance Institutions and Natural Resource Management in Orissa, India. *International Journal of Environmental Studies*, 67(4), 567-579.
- Sahoo, A., & Sahoo, P. (2017). Traditional Leadership System among the Indigenous People in India: A Case Study of the Gadaba Tribe. *Journal of Tribal Intellectual Collective India*, 1(1), 68-79.
- Sinha, V., & Mahapatra, L. K. (2016). Traditional Governance System and Development of Tribal People in India: A Study in the Context of Odisha. *Journal of Rural Studies and Development*, 17(2), 1-23.
- Thorat, R., & Ray, B. (2019). Understanding Tribal Governance in India: A Study of Santhals in Jharkhand. *Journal of Social and Economic Development*, 21(2), 315-334.
- Biswas, A. (2015). Politics of Development and Traditional Leadership among the Santal Tribes in West Bengal. *Indian Journal of Politics and International Relations*, 8(2), 33-44.
- Verma, A., & Kispotta, S. (2019). Changing Leadership Roles and Adaptation Strategies among Tribal Women in Chhattisgarh, India. *Journal of Rural Studies and Development*, 20(1), 1-24.
- Murmu, P., & Seth, P. (2016). Changing Roles and Challenges Faced by Tribal Traditional Leadership: A Case Study of Birhor Tribe in Jharkhand. *Asian Journal of Multidisciplinary Studies*, 4(12), 11-19.
- Saha, R. (2017). Indigenous Governance and Development: The Case of Lepchas in Sikkim, India. *Asian Ethnicity*, 18(3), 398-416.
- Kujur, J. (2018). Traditional Leadership and Democracy among the Oraon Tribe: A Case Study of Chainpur Block in Jharkhand. *Indian Journal of Public Administration*, 64(3), 619-634.