



Women in the Legal Profession: Challenges under the Advocates Act Framework

Shreya Bairagi

Assistant Professor, School of Legal Studies, Swami Vivekananda University, Barrackpore, West Bengal
(India) Email: bairagis497@gmail.com

DOI : <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.21412375>

ARTICLE DETAILS

Research Paper

Received: 18-05-2026

Accepted: 28-06-2026

Published: 15-07-2026

Keywords:

Women Advocates, Legal Profession, Gender Equality, Workplace Harassment, Advocates Act 1961

ABSTRACT

This study examines the position of women in the legal profession in India and the challenges they face in their professional journey. Although more women are entering the legal field as advocates, judges, and legal professionals, they still experience problems such as gender discrimination, workplace harassment, lack of institutional support, and limited opportunities for growth. The research analyses the legal framework, including the Constitution of India and the Advocates Act, 1961, which provide a foundation for equality and professional rights. It also highlights important judicial decisions that support gender justice and safe working conditions. However, the study finds that there is a clear gap between legal provisions and their practical implementation. Women continue to face structural and social barriers in practice. The study concludes that stronger institutional reforms, better grievance mechanisms, and supportive workplace policies are necessary to ensure equality, safety, and fair opportunities for women in the legal profession.

III. INTRODUCTION

The legal profession plays an important role in protecting justice, equality, and the rule of law in society. In India, the profession is mainly regulated by the Advocates Act, 1961 and the rules framed by the Bar Council of India. Over the years, the number of women entering the legal profession has increased

Disclaimer: The opinions, interpretations, conclusions, recommendations, analyses, and statements expressed in this research article are solely those of the author(s) and do not reflect the views, policies, or positions of the Publisher, Chief Editor, Editors, Editorial Board, Reviewers, or their affiliated institutions. The author(s) are solely responsible for ensuring the originality, authenticity, and integrity of the manuscript and for ensuring that it is free from plagiarism, AI-generated content, copyright infringement, data falsification, and any other form of academic misconduct.

significantly. Women today work as advocates, judges, legal advisors, academicians, and public prosecutors. Their growing participation reflects social progress and the constitutional promise of equality under Articles 14, 15, and 21 of the Constitution of India.

Despite this progress, women in the legal profession continue to face many challenges in their professional lives. Gender discrimination, unequal opportunities, workplace harassment, lack of maternity support, pay disparity, and underrepresentation in senior positions remain serious concerns. Many women advocate also struggle to balance professional responsibilities with family obligations, which often affects their career growth. In addition, the legal profession is traditionally male-dominated, making it difficult for women to access networking opportunities, mentorship, and leadership roles.

Although the Advocates Act, 1961 provides the legal framework for regulating advocates, it does not specifically address the problems faced by women lawyers. The role of Bar Councils in ensuring a safe and inclusive working environment also remains limited in practice. As a result, many women advocates continue to face institutional and professional barriers without effective support mechanisms. This study aims to examine the position of women in the legal profession and analyse whether the existing legal framework is sufficient to protect their rights and ensure equal opportunities. The research also seeks to evaluate the effectiveness of Bar Councils and judicial institutions in promoting gender justice within the legal profession. Further, the study highlights the need for reforms to create a more inclusive, safe, and equitable professional environment for women advocates in India.

IV. RESEARCH PROBLEM

Women are entering the legal profession in increasing numbers today; yet, many continue to face difficulties in building and sustaining their careers. Issues such as gender discrimination, unequal professional opportunities, workplace harassment, a lack of maternity support, and limited representation in senior positions continue to affect women lawyers in India. Although the Advocates Act, 1961, governs the legal profession, it does not explicitly provide specific safeguards or support mechanisms for women lawyers. In practice, the role of the Bar Council of India and the State Bar Councils in addressing these issues also remains limited. This study aims to examine the challenges faced by women in the legal profession and to assess whether the existing legal framework is adequate to ensure equality, safety, and equitable professional growth for women advocates in India.

V. RESEARCH QUESTIONS

1. Does the Advocates Act, 1961 provide adequate safeguards for women in the legal profession?

2. What are the major professional and institutional challenges faced by women advocates in India?
3. How effective are the rules and disciplinary mechanisms of the Bar Council of India in addressing gender discrimination and workplace harassment?
4. To what extent does the legal profession reflect constitutional principles of equality under Articles 14, 15, and 21 of the Constitution of India?

VI. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

1. To analyse the provisions of the Advocates Act, 1961 relating to regulation of the legal profession.
2. To identify the practical challenges faced by women advocates in India.
3. To evaluate the role of Bar Councils and courts in ensuring gender justice within the profession.
4. To examine judicial decisions and policy developments concerning women lawyers.

VII. HYPOTHESIS

This research is based on the assumption that the existing legal framework related to the topic is not fully adequate and may have certain gaps or ambiguities. It is further assumed that a doctrinal analysis of statutes, case laws, and legal principles will help in identifying these shortcomings and understanding how the law is actually interpreted and applied by the courts.

The study also assumes that there is a difference between the written law and its practical application, which may affect the effectiveness of the legal system. Through detailed legal analysis, this research aims to test whether the current provisions are sufficient or whether improvements are needed.

VIII. RESEACH METHODOLOGY

This research is based on a purely doctrinal method. The study mainly uses secondary sources such as books, journals, articles, case laws, statutes, and online legal databases. Relevant legal provisions and judicial decisions have been analysed to understand the topic clearly.

The research follows an analytical and descriptive approach by examining different legal opinions and interpretations. Information has been collected from reliable and authentic sources to ensure accuracy and relevance. The main objective of this study is to analyse the existing legal framework and understand its practical application.

IX. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Flavia Agnes has explained in her writings that women working in different professions often face inequality and lack of support. She points out that women lawyers also experience problems such as discrimination, fewer career opportunities, and unsafe working conditions. Her work helps in understanding why many women advocates still struggle to grow equally in the legal profession.

Upendra Baxi has written about the Indian legal system and the role of legal institutions in maintaining justice and equality. His studies show that there are several weaknesses within the legal profession which affect fair opportunities for many people, including women advocates. His ideas are useful for studying whether the present legal framework and the Bar Council of India are effectively protecting the rights and interests of women in the profession.

X. LEGAL FRAMEWORK / CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

The legal profession in India is mainly governed by the Advocates Act, 1961 and the rules made by the Bar Council of India. The Act regulates the enrolment, rights, duties, and conduct of advocates across the country. Although the Act applies equally to both men and women advocates, it does not contain any special provisions dealing with the specific problems faced by women in the legal profession, such as workplace harassment, maternity benefits, or gender discrimination.

The Constitution of India provides several important rights that support equality and dignity for women lawyers. Article 14 guarantees equality before the law and equal protection of laws to all persons. This means that women advocates must receive equal treatment and equal professional opportunities in the legal field. Article 15 prohibits discrimination on the grounds of religion, race, caste, sex, or place of birth. It also allows the State to make special provisions for women and children for their welfare and protection.

Article 16 provides equality of opportunity in matters of public employment. This principle is important for women working in legal services, judicial appointments, and government legal positions. Article 19(1)(g) gives every citizen the right to practise any profession or occupation, including the legal profession. Therefore, women have an equal constitutional right to practise law without unfair restrictions or discrimination.

Article 21 guarantees the right to life and personal liberty, which has been interpreted by courts to include the right to live with dignity, safety, and privacy. This provision becomes important in cases involving

workplace harassment and unsafe working conditions for women advocates. The Supreme Court has repeatedly held that a safe working environment is a part of the right to life under Article 21.

The Vishaka Guidelines issued by the Supreme Court in *Vishaka v. State of Rajasthan* played an important role in protecting women from sexual harassment at workplaces. These guidelines later led to the enactment of the Sexual Harassment of Women at Workplace (Prevention, Prohibition and Redressal) Act, 2013. This law applies to courts, law firms, and legal institutions, and requires the establishment of Internal Complaints Committees to address complaints of harassment.

Further, the Bar Council of India Rules lay down standards of professional conduct and etiquette for advocates. However, these rules mainly focus on professional discipline and ethics and provide very limited direct protection for women advocates. In practice, there is still a lack of effective grievance mechanisms and institutional support for women in the legal profession. Therefore, while the Constitution of India strongly supports equality and dignity for women, the existing legal framework still requires reforms to address the practical challenges faced by women advocates and to ensure a safer and more inclusive professional environment.

XI. CASE LAWS AND JUDICIAL INTERPRETATION

The Indian judiciary has played an important role in protecting the rights and dignity of women in different professions, including the legal profession. Through various judgments, the courts have interpreted constitutional provisions broadly to promote equality, safety, and gender justice for women.

One of the most important decisions in this area is *Vishaka v. State of Rajasthan*. In this case, the Supreme Court recognised sexual harassment at the workplace as a violation of Articles 14, 15, and 21 of the Constitution of India. The Court laid down the Vishaka Guidelines to ensure protection for women employees until a proper law was enacted. This judgment became the foundation for the Sexual Harassment of Women at Workplace (Prevention, Prohibition and Redressal) Act, 2013. The decision also highlighted the responsibility of institutions to provide a safe working environment for women.

Another important judgment is *Air India v. Nergesh Meerza*, where the Supreme Court struck down discriminatory service conditions imposed on air hostesses. The Court observed that gender-based discrimination violates the constitutional guarantee of equality. Although the case was not directly related to the legal profession, its principles are relevant for women advocates who face unequal treatment and discriminatory practices in professional spaces.

In *C.B. Muthamma v. Union of India*, the Supreme Court criticised service rules that discriminated against women officers in the Indian Foreign Service. The Court strongly stated that the Constitution does not permit discrimination against women in employment opportunities. This judgment supports the idea that women lawyers should also receive equal opportunities and fair treatment in the legal profession.

The case of *Maneka Gandhi v. Union of India* expanded the meaning of Article 21 of the Constitution. The Supreme Court held that the right to life includes the right to live with dignity. This interpretation is important in protecting women advocates from harassment, unsafe working conditions, and practices that affect their dignity and personal liberty.

In *Secretary, Ministry of Defence v. Babita Puniya*, the Supreme Court recognised the importance of equal opportunities for women in professional services. The Court rejected stereotypes about women's roles and held that women must be given equal treatment and opportunities. This judgment reflects the constitutional principle that professional advancement should be based on merit and not gender.

The judiciary has also recognised the importance of workplace safety and institutional responsibility. Courts have repeatedly stated that every workplace, including courts, law chambers, and legal institutions, must provide mechanisms to address complaints of harassment and discrimination. However, despite progressive judicial interpretation, the practical implementation of these principles remains limited in many parts of the legal profession.

Therefore, judicial decisions in India have significantly contributed to strengthening the rights of women and promoting gender equality. At the same time, these cases also show the continuing need for stronger institutional reforms and effective implementation to ensure real equality and protection for women advocates in practice.

XII. CRITICAL ANALYSIS / DISCUSSION

The position of women in the legal profession in India shows both progress and continuing challenges. On one hand, constitutional provisions and judicial decisions strongly support equality and non-discrimination. On the other hand, the actual working environment in the legal profession still reflects gender imbalance and unequal opportunities.

The Advocates Act, 1961 provides a uniform framework for regulating advocates, but it does not include specific provisions for protecting women from issues such as workplace harassment, lack of maternity support, or discrimination in chambers and law firms. This creates a gap between legal equality and

practical equality. Although the law treats all advocates equally, women often face additional social and professional barriers that are not directly addressed by the Act.

The role of the Bar Council of India is mainly focused on professional conduct and discipline. However, it has limited mechanisms for addressing gender-specific complaints or ensuring safe working conditions for women lawyers. In practice, grievance redressal systems are often weak or not easily accessible, which reduces their effectiveness.

Judicial interpretation has played a positive role in expanding the scope of equality under the Constitution. Cases such as *Vishaka v. State of Rajasthan* and *Maneka Gandhi v. Union of India* have strengthened the idea that dignity, safety, and equality are essential parts of fundamental rights. However, court directions alone are not sufficient unless they are properly implemented at the institutional level. Another major issue is underrepresentation of women in senior positions such as senior advocates, judges, and partners in law firms. This reflects the presence of structural barriers such as lack of mentorship, networking opportunities, and gender bias in promotion practices. Many women also face difficulties in balancing professional work with family responsibilities due to inadequate institutional support systems like flexible working arrangements or maternity protections in legal practice.

Therefore, while the legal framework and constitutional principles strongly support gender equality, the practical reality shows a gap in implementation. There is a need for stronger institutional reforms within bar associations, law firms, and courts to ensure that women advocates receive equal opportunities, safety, and professional growth. Without such reforms, formal equality will not fully translate into real equality in the legal profession.

XIII. CHALLENGES AND ISSUES

Women in the legal profession in India face several challenges that affect their growth, safety, and equal participation. Although more women are entering the profession, many still struggle to achieve equal opportunities and recognition in practice.

One of the main issues is gender discrimination. In many law firms, chambers, and courts, women lawyers are often not given the same level of opportunities as their male counterparts. They may face bias in allocation of cases, promotions, and leadership roles. This creates an unequal professional environment. Workplace harassment is another serious concern. Despite legal protections, some women advocate experience verbal abuse, inappropriate behaviour, and lack of safe reporting systems. Fear of retaliation often prevents them from raising complaints. Another major challenge is the lack of institutional support.

The legal profession does not provide strong maternity benefits, flexible working hours, or structured support systems for women. This makes it difficult for women to balance professional and family responsibilities.

Limited representation in senior positions is also a key issue. Very few women become senior advocates, judges, or partners in leading law firms. This is often due to lack of mentorship, networking opportunities, and long-standing gender stereotypes. Economic inequality is another concern. Many women lawyers, especially in the early stages of their careers, receive lower earnings and fewer high-value cases compared to men. This affects their financial independence and career growth.

There is also the problem of weak grievance redressal mechanisms. Although laws exist to protect women, enforcement at the institutional level is often ineffective. Many women are unaware of complaint procedures or do not trust the system to act fairly. Therefore, these challenges show that while legal rights exist on paper, practical barriers still limit the full participation of women in the legal profession. Stronger institutional reforms and better implementation of existing laws are needed to address these issues effectively.

XIV. SUGGESTIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

To improve the condition of women in the legal profession, several steps are necessary at both institutional and practical levels. The legal system should ensure equal opportunities for women advocates in courts, law firms, and chambers, so that career growth is based on merit and not gender. Strong anti-discrimination policies must be properly implemented to prevent bias in case allocation, promotions, and professional advancement. It is also important to create effective complaint and grievance redressal systems within bar associations and legal institutions so that women can report issues without fear.

Workplace safety should be strengthened by ensuring strict action against harassment and by creating safe and transparent reporting mechanisms. Facilities such as maternity benefits, childcare support, and flexible working hours should be introduced to help women balance professional and personal responsibilities. Mentorship programmes should be encouraged so that young women lawyers can receive guidance and support in building their careers.

Representation of women in bar councils, legal committees, and senior positions should be increased to ensure equal participation in decision-making. Awareness programmes should be conducted regularly so that women lawyers are informed about their rights and available legal remedies. Law firms and legal institutions should also adopt fair and transparent recruitment and promotion policies based on merit.

Further, networking opportunities for women lawyers should be promoted to improve professional growth and collaboration. Judicial and institutional sensitisation programmes should be organised to reduce gender bias within the legal system. Finally, regular review of existing laws and policies is necessary to ensure continuous improvement and to create a more inclusive, safe, and supportive environment for women in the legal profession.

XX. CONCLUSION

The study of women in the legal profession shows that although significant progress has been made, many challenges still remain. Women are now entering the legal field in larger numbers and contributing in various roles such as advocates, judges, and legal advisors. However, their professional journey is often affected by issues like gender discrimination, workplace harassment, lack of institutional support, and limited representation in senior positions.

The legal framework in India, including the Constitution and laws such as the Advocates Act, 1961, provides a strong foundation for equality and protection of rights. Judicial decisions have also played an important role in strengthening gender justice and promoting safe working conditions. Despite this, there is still a gap between legal provisions and their practical implementation in the legal profession.

In reality, many women advocates continue to face structural and social barriers that restrict their full participation and growth. The absence of effective grievance mechanisms and adequate workplace support further adds to these difficulties. Therefore, legal equality has not yet fully translated into practical equality.

To achieve real progress, continuous reforms are needed in institutional practices, bar associations, and legal workplaces. A more supportive, safe, and inclusive environment must be created so that women lawyers can work with dignity and confidence. Only then can the legal profession truly reflect the constitutional values of equality, justice, and fairness for all.

REFERENCE

1. Primary Sources

- The Constitution of India, 1950.
- The Advocates Act, 1961.

- The Bar Council of India Rules.
- The Sexual Harassment of Women at Workplace (Prevention, Prohibition and Redressal) Act, 2013.

2. Cases

- *Vishaka v. State of Rajasthan*, (1997) 6 SCC 241.
- *Air India v. Nergesh Meerza*, (1981) 4 SCC 335.
- *C.B. Muthamma v. Union of India*, (1979) 4 SCC 260.
- *Maneka Gandhi v. Union of India*, (1978) 1 SCC 248.
- *Secretary, Ministry of Defence v. Babita Puniya*, (2020) 7 SCC 469.

3. Books

- Flavia Agnes, *Law and Gender Inequality: The Politics of Women's Rights in India* (Oxford University Press, 1999).
- Upendra Baxi, *The Crisis of the Indian Legal System* (Vikas Publishing House, 1982).
- M.P. Jain, *Indian Constitutional Law* (latest edition, LexisNexis).
- V.N. Shukla, *Constitution of India* (latest edition).

4. Journal Articles

Examples:

- Flavia Agnes, "Women and the Legal Profession in India," *Economic and Political Weekly*.
- Articles on gender equality and women advocates from journals such as:
 - *Journal of the Indian Law Institute*
 - *NUJS Law Review*
 - *Indian Bar Review*

5. Reports

- Bar Council of India Reports.
- Law Commission of India Reports (where relevant).
- National Judicial Data and reports relating to women in the judiciary.
- National Commission for Women reports on workplace harassment.

References:

1. ¹ Assistant Professor, School of Legal Studies, Swami Vivekananda University, Barrackpore, West Bengal(India) Email: bairagis497@gmail.com
2. ¹ The Advocates Act, No. 25 of 1961, Acts of Parliament, 1961 (India).
3. ¹ Flavia Agnes, *Law and Gender Inequality: The Politics of Women's Rights in India* (Oxford University Press 2001).
4. ¹ INDIAN CONST. arts. 14, 15 & 21.
5. ¹ Kirti Singh, "Women and the Legal Profession in India" (2017) 52(3) *Economic and Political Weekly* 45.
6. ¹ Upendra Baxi, *The Crisis of the Indian Legal System* (Vikas Publishing House 1982)
7. ¹ Bar Council of India Rules, Part VI, Chapter II.
8. ¹ Indira Jaising, "Challenges Faced by Women Lawyers in India" (2020) *Indian Bar Review* 112.
9. ¹ The Advocates Act, No. 25 of 1961, Acts of Parliament, 1961 (India).
10. ¹ Id. §§ 29–35.
11. ¹ Flavia Agnes, *Law and Gender Inequality: The Politics of Women's Rights in India* (Oxford University Press 2001).
12. ¹ INDIA CONST. art. 14
13. ¹ INDIA CONST. art. 15

14. ¹ INDIA CONST. art. 16.
15. ¹ INDIA CONST. art. 19(1)(g).
16. ¹ INDIA CONST. art. 21.
17. ¹ *Maneka Gandhi v. Union of India*, (1978) 1 SCC 248.
18. ¹ *Vishaka v. State of Rajasthan*, (1997) 6 SCC 241.
19. ¹ The Sexual Harassment of Women at Workplace (Prevention, Prohibition and Redressal) Act, No. 14 of 2013, Acts of Parliament, 2013 (India).
20. ¹ Flavia Agnes, *Law and Gender Inequality: The Politics of Women's Rights in India* (Oxford University Press 2001).
21. ¹ Upendra Baxi, *The Crisis of the Indian Legal System* (Vikas Publishing House 1982).
22. ¹ *Vishaka v. State of Rajasthan*, (1997) 6 SCC 241.
23. ¹ Id.
24. ¹ The Sexual Harassment of Women at Workplace (Prevention, Prohibition and Redressal) Act, No. 14 of 2013, Acts of Parliament, 2013 (India).
25. ¹ *Air India v. Nergesh Meerza*, (1981) 4 SCC 335.
26. ¹ *C.B. Muthamma v. Union of India*, (1979) 4 SCC 260.
27. ¹ *Maneka Gandhi v. Union of India*, (1978) 1 SCC 248.
28. ¹ Id.
29. ¹ *Secretary, Ministry of Defence v. Babita Puniya*, (2020) 7 SCC 469.
30. ¹ Id.
31. ¹ *Medha Kotwal Lele v. Union of India*, (2013) 1 SCC 297.
32. ¹ Flavia Agnes, *Law and Gender Inequality: The Politics of Women's Rights in India* (Oxford University Press 2001).

33. ¹ INDIA CONST. arts. 14, 15 & 21.
34. ¹ The Advocates Act, No. 25 of 1961, Acts of Parliament, 1961 (India).
35. ¹ Bar Council of India Rules, Part VI, Chapter II.
36. ¹ *Vishaka v. State of Rajasthan*, (1997) 6 SCC 241; *Maneka Gandhi v. Union of India*, (1978) 1 SCC 248.
37. ¹ Flavia Agnes, *Law and Gender Inequality: The Politics of Women's Rights in India* (Oxford University Press 2001).
38. ¹ Upendra Baxi, *The Crisis of the Indian Legal System* (Vikas Publishing House 1982).
39. ¹ Flavia Agnes, *Law and Gender Inequality: The Politics of Women's Rights in India* (Oxford University Press 2001).
40. ¹ Upendra Baxi, *The Crisis of the Indian Legal System* (Vikas Publishing House 1982).
41. ¹ *Vishaka v. State of Rajasthan*, (1997) 6 SCC 241.
42. ¹ INDIA CONST. arts. 15 & 21.
43. ¹ Indira Jaising, "Women Lawyers and Structural Barriers in Legal Profession" (2020) *Indian Bar Review* 112.
44. ¹ Kirti Singh, "Gender and Legal Profession in India" (2017) 52(3) *Economic and Political Weekly* 45
45. ¹ Bar Council of India Rules, Part VI, Chapter II.
46. ¹ C.B. Muthamma v. Union of India, (1979) 4 SCC 260.