



From Degrees to Competence: How Skill Development is Reshaping India's Educational Landscape

Dr. Barnali China

Assistant Professor. Arunodaya University, Arunachal Pradesh.

DOI : <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.22048857>

ARTICLE DETAILS

Research Paper

Received: 21-06-2026

Accepted: 15-07-2026

Published: 20-08-2026

Keywords:

Skill Development, Vocational Training, Employability, Technical Education, ITI, Industrial Training Institute, National Skill Development Corporation, NSDC, Skill India Mission, Pradhan Mantri Kaushal Vikas Yojana, PMKVY, Apprenticeship, Work-Integrated Learning,

ABSTRACT

For decades, education in India has been overwhelmingly degree-oriented. Millions of young people graduate with certificates but lack the practical skills needed for employment. This mismatch between education and employability has created a paradox: educated unemployment coexists with a shortage of skilled workers in every sector. Skill development and vocational training offer a powerful corrective. They shift the focus from theoretical knowledge to practical competence, from passing exams to performing tasks, from seeking jobs to creating value. Education, when integrated with skill development, becomes an instrument not just for personal advancement but for national economic transformation. This article examines the state of skill development in India, the National Skill Development Mission, the role of vocational education in schools and colleges, successful models like ITIs and apprenticeship programmes, the challenges of quality and perception, and the strategies for building a truly skilled India. It argues that skill development is not a second-class alternative to mainstream education but an essential pillar of a modern, competitive, and equitable education system.

Disclaimer: The opinions, interpretations, conclusions, recommendations, analyses, and statements expressed in this research article are solely those of the author(s) and do not reflect the views, policies, or positions of the Publisher, Chief Editor, Editors, Editorial Board, Reviewers, or their affiliated institutions. The author(s) are solely responsible for ensuring the originality, authenticity, and integrity of the manuscript and for ensuring that it is free from plagiarism, AI-generated content, copyright infringement, data falsification, and any other form of academic misconduct.

**Introduction: The Great Mismatch-**

India is one of the youngest nations in the world. By 2030, India will have the largest workforce on the planet — over 600 million people of working age. This demographic dividend could be India's greatest asset, fuelling decades of economic growth. Or it could become a demographic disaster, with millions of unemployable young people becoming a source of social unrest. The difference between these two futures is skill development. For too long, the Indian education system has worshipped degrees and despised skills. A student who pursues vocational training is seen as a failure — someone who could not get into "real" college.

Parents dream of their children becoming engineers, doctors, or civil servants, not electricians, plumbers, or welders. This cultural bias has created a catastrophic mismatch. According to the India Skills Report, less than 50% of Indian graduates are employable. Companies cannot find skilled workers, while millions of educated young people cannot find jobs. Every year, 10-12 million young people enter the labour market, but only a fraction have any formal skill training. Skill development and vocational training are not about giving up on education. They are about redefining education to include practical, work-relevant competence. A skilled electrician who can wire a house safely and efficiently has more value to the economy than a graduate who can recite Ohm's law but cannot change a fuse. Education as an instrument of skill development means recognising that knowledge without application is sterile, and that dignity of labour is not a slogan but an economic necessity. This article explores the journey of skill development in India — from the colonial-era ITIs to the ambitious Skill India Mission. It analyses the policies, programmes, successes, failures, and the massive transformation still required. It argues that integrating skill development into mainstream education, from Class 6 onwards, is the single most important educational reform for India's future.

The Historical Evolution of Vocational Education in India:-

Vocational education in India has ancient roots. The gurukul system taught specific crafts — carpentry, metalwork, weaving, pottery — alongside spiritual texts. The caste system, for all its evils, did ensure that skills were transmitted across generations. However, the colonial education system, designed to produce clerks and administrators, systematically devalued manual and technical skills. Macaulay's infamous minute of 1835 sought to create "a class of persons, Indian in blood and colour, but English in tastes, in opinions, in morals and in intellect." That class looked down upon manual labour.



After independence, the first Industrial Training Institutes (ITIs) were established in 1950 to meet the demand for skilled workers in the emerging industrial economy. The Kothari Commission (1964-66) recommended vocationalisation of secondary education, suggesting that 20% of students at the higher secondary level should be enrolled in vocational streams. The National Policy on Education (1986) reiterated this goal. However, implementation was weak, and vocational education remained a neglected stepchild. The economic liberalisation of 1991 created new demand for skilled workers in IT, manufacturing, and services. But the supply side did not respond. In 2008, the government launched the National Skill Development Mission. In 2009, the National Skill Development Corporation (NSDC) was established as a public-private partnership. The Skill India Mission, launched in 2015, integrated multiple programmes under one umbrella, with the ambitious goal of training 500 million people by 2022 — a target that was never fully met but which catalysed significant expansion.

Today, the vocational education landscape includes government ITIs, private ITIs, polytechnics, vocational wings in schools, short-term training programmes under PMKVY (Pradhan Mantri Kaushal Vikas Yojana), apprenticeship programmes, and sector-specific training centres. The National Education Policy 2020 has given vocational education its strongest push yet, mandating that every child receive exposure to at least one vocational skill from Class 6 onwards, and that 50% of learners have access to vocational education by 2025.

The Case for Skill Development: Why Degrees Are Not Enough-

The Indian economy is changing rapidly. Automation, artificial intelligence, and globalisation are reshaping labour markets. Routine clerical and administrative jobs are disappearing. New jobs in logistics, retail, hospitality, construction, healthcare, and green energy require specific technical and soft skills. A degree in arts or commerce, without any practical competence, is increasingly worthless.

Consider these statistics: Only about 5% of India's workforce has received any formal skill training. In South Korea, the figure is 96%; in Germany, 75%; in China, over 20%. India's informal sector, which employs over 80% of the workforce, operates on traditional apprenticeships and on-the-job learning, which is often unsystematic and low-quality. The result is low productivity, low wages, and low growth.

Skill development addresses several critical problems:-

1. Youth unemployment: Educated unemployment is concentrated among young people. A vocational qualification, especially one aligned with industry demand, significantly improves employability.



2.Underemployment: Many graduates work in jobs that do not require their degrees — a B.A graduate driving a taxi, a B.Sc graduate selling mobile phones. Skill training would have served them better.

3.Low productivity: Indian workers are among the least productive in Asia. Better skills mean higher output per worker, which translates into higher wages and economic growth.

4.Entrepreneurship: A trained carpenter can start a furniture workshop; a trained electrician can open a repair shop. Skill training enables self-employment.

5.Social inclusion: Skill development can be a pathway for disadvantaged groups — women, Scheduled Castes, Scheduled Tribes, and persons with disabilities — to enter the workforce with dignity and decent earnings.

6.Global mobility: Skilled workers can migrate to countries facing labour shortages. Indian nurses, welders, and IT professionals are already in demand globally. A recognised skill certification facilitates this.

The Current Ecosystem: - Policies and Programmes-India has developed a complex institutional architecture for skill development.

(a). Ministry of Skill Development and Entrepreneurship (MSDE): Established in 2014, this ministry now coordinates skill development efforts across the government.

(b). National Skill Development Corporation (NSDC): A public-private partnership that funds training providers, develops curriculum, and sets standards through Sector Skill Councils (SSCs). There are now over 40 SSCs covering sectors from automotive to retail to healthcare.

(c). Pradhan Mantri Kaushal Vikas Yojana (PMKVY): The flagship short-term training programme. It provides free training and certification, with placement assistance. As of 2023, over 30 million people have been trained under PMKVY.

(d). National Apprenticeship Promotion Scheme (NAPS): Encourages employers to hire apprentices by reimbursing 25% of their stipend. Apprenticeships combine on-the-job training with formal learning.

(e). Jan Shikshan Sansthan (JSS): Community-based vocational training for non-literate and semi-literate adults, especially in rural areas.



(f). Industrial Training Institutes (ITIs): Over 14,000 ITIs (government and private) offer long-term courses (1-2 years) in over 100 trades. However, many ITIs have outdated equipment and poorly trained instructors.

(g). Vocational education in schools: Under the Samagra Shiksha Abhiyan, vocational courses are offered in secondary and higher secondary schools. The NEP 2020 envisions vocational exposure from Class 6, with internships and project-based learning.

(h). National Skills Qualifications Framework (NSQF): A competency-based framework that organises qualifications by levels (1 to 10). It enables vertical and horizontal mobility — a person with an NSQF Level 4 certificate can seek admission to the second year of a diploma programme. However, NSQF integration with mainstream education remains poor.

Challenges:- Why Skill Development Has Not Yet Transformed India? Despite two decades of policy attention, skill development in India faces profound challenges.

1. Poor quality and relevance: Many training programmes teach outdated skills on obsolete equipment. Instructors are often underqualified and underpaid. The result is that certified trainees cannot perform on the job. Employers still prefer to hire untrained workers and train them in-house.

2. Low placement rates: Official data shows placement rates of 60-70% for PMKVY, but independent studies suggest much lower figures — often below 30%. Many placements are temporary, low-paid, or in the informal sector.

3. Mismatch with industry needs: Training programmes are designed without adequate input from employers. Sector Skill Councils have improved this, but the gap remains. Emerging sectors like renewable energy, e-waste recycling, drone technology, and AI-assisted manufacturing have no training infrastructure.

4. Lack of apprenticeship culture: In Germany, over 60% of young people enter apprenticeships. In India, less than 1% do. Employers prefer to hire ready-made workers rather than invest in training. The government reimbursement under NAPS is often delayed, discouraging participation.

5. Social stigma: Vocational education is still seen as inferior. Parents push their children towards degrees, even worthless ones. This is a deep cultural problem that no policy can quickly solve. The media, schools, and government must work together to elevate the status of skilled work.



6.Fragmentation and duplication: Multiple ministries — Skill Development, Education, Labour, Rural Development, Tribal Affairs — run parallel skill programmes with little coordination. This wastes resources and confuses beneficiaries.

7.Poor data and monitoring: The Skill India dashboard shows millions trained, but follow-up data on employment outcomes is weak. There is no unique identifier to track a trainee's journey from training to job to further education.

8.Inadequate funding: Total government spending on skill development is less than 0.5% of GDP. Germany spends over 2% of GDP on vocational training, and Germany has a much smaller youth population.

9.Weak industry participation: Industry is involved in Sector Skill Councils but not deeply. Companies do not see skill development as their responsibility. There is no levy or mandate for training, unlike in France or Singapore.

10.Technological change: The pace of technological change means skills become obsolete quickly. Training programmes must constantly update. India lacks a mechanism for continuous curriculum revision.

Integrating Skill Development into Mainstream Education: - The most transformative reform would be to integrate skill development into school education from an early age. The NEP 2020 provides the blueprint; implementation is the challenge.

1.Vocational exposure from Class 6: Students should rotate through a variety of "activity areas" — gardening, woodworking, cooking, basic electronics, sewing, computer repair — to discover their aptitudes and interests. This is not about job training but about breaking the mental barrier that separates "head" work from "hand" work.

2.Vocational courses from Class 9: Students can choose one or two vocational subjects alongside academic subjects. These courses should be taught by trained vocational teachers, not by academic teachers with no practical experience. Assessment should be competency-based, not written exams only.

3.Work internships: Every student in Classes 9-12 should complete a 2-4 week internship in a local business, factory, shop, or farm. This provides real-world exposure and builds employer connections.



4. Multiple exit and entry points: Under NSQF, a student who leaves after Class 10 with a vocational certificate should be able to re-enter at a higher level later. This prevents dropouts from being permanently lost.

5. Credit transfer: Vocational courses should carry academic credits that count towards a degree. A student who completes a diploma in automotive repair should get credit if they later enroll in an engineering programme.

6. Model schools of excellence: Every district should have at least one "vocational school" with state-of-the-art equipment, industry-trained teachers, and strong placement linkages. These schools can serve as demonstration models.

7. Higher education pathways: There must be clear pathways from vocational certificates to diplomas to degrees. The National Education Policy 2020's call for multidisciplinary universities with vocational integration is crucial.

Successful Models: - Lessons from India and Abroad-India can learn from both domestic successes and international best practices.

1. The German Dual System: Germany's system combines classroom learning in vocational schools with on-the-job training in companies. Students spend 3-4 days a week in the workplace and 1-2 days in school. Employers pay a stipend. The government regulates standards. Over 300 trades are covered. The result is youth unemployment below 8% — the lowest in Europe. India has attempted to replicate this through NAPS, but without the cultural commitment and employer engagement.

2. The ITI Modernisation in Karnataka: Karnataka has transformed several ITIs into Centres of Excellence in partnership with industry. Tata Motors, Bosch, and other companies have donated equipment, trained instructors, and guaranteed placements. The success has been replicated in Tamil Nadu and Maharashtra.

3. The RISE Model in Andhra Pradesh: The government partnered with the NGO Pratham to run short-term residential training programmes for rural youth. The model focuses on soft skills (communication, teamwork, time management) alongside technical skills, and provides post-placement support. Placement rates exceed 70%.

4. The B.Voc Programme in Universities: The University of Delhi, Tata Institute of Social Sciences, and several other universities offer B.Voc (Bachelor of Vocation) degrees. These are three-year programmes



with a mix of theory and practical training, including compulsory internships. Graduates are eligible for both employment and higher academic degrees.

5.Skill Development in Kerala: Kerala has the highest literacy rate in India and also the highest rate of skilled emigration. The Kerala Academy for Skills Excellence (KASE) trains nurses, technicians, and construction workers for overseas jobs. The state's focus on quality and certification is a model for others

The Role of Technology and Digital Learning:- Technology can dramatically expand the reach and quality of skill development. Online learning platforms: SWAYAM, NPTEL, and other platforms offer vocational courses for free. The government's e-Skill India portal aggregates content from multiple providers.

Virtual labs and simulations: For skills like welding, automotive repair, or medical procedures, virtual simulations allow safe, repeatable practice. Augmented reality (AR) and virtual reality (VR) are becoming affordable.

Mobile learning: India has over 600 million smartphone users. Mobile apps can deliver bite-sized video lessons, quizzes, and assessments. They are especially useful for upskilling workers who are already employed.

Digital assessment and certification: A national digital registry of skill certifications would prevent fraud and enable employers to verify credentials instantly. The DigiLocker platform already provides this infrastructure.

AI for personalised learning: Artificial intelligence can customise learning paths based on a trainee's progress and aptitude. It can also predict job matches and recommend further training. However, technology cannot replace hands-on practice. A surgeon cannot learn only from videos; nor can a welder. A balanced approach is essential.

The Way Forward: A National Mission for Skills

Achieving a truly skilled India requires a multi-decade national mission with the following pillars:

- 1. Mainstreaming vocational education:** Make vocational courses a normal, respected part of every school and college, not a separate track.
- 2. Quality overhaul of ITIs:** Modernise equipment, train instructors, update curriculum every 2 years, and link every ITI to local industry.



- 3. Massive apprenticeship expansion:** Set a national target of 10 million apprentices by 2030. Provide tax incentives to employers. Simplify compliance.
- 4. Recognition of prior learning (RPL):** Millions of workers have skills but no certificates. RPL programmes assess and certify them, enabling better wages and mobility.
- 5. Focus on emerging sectors:** Renewable energy, electric vehicles, green construction, healthcare (especially geriatric care), logistics, and tourism are growth sectors. Develop training programmes now.
- 6. Gender and inclusion targets:** Ensure 40% of trainees are women. Provide transport and hostel facilities. Address sexual harassment and discrimination.
- 7. Entrepreneurship training:** Not every trainee will find a job. Train them in business basics, connect them with microcredit, and help them start their own enterprises.
- 8. Stronger data and evaluation:** Every trainee should have a unique ID with outcomes tracked for 3 years. Use data to improve programmes.
- 9. Social campaign to end stigma:** Use media, celebrity endorsements, school visits, and success stories to change perceptions. Celebrate skilled workers.
- 10. Increase funding:** Invest at least 1% of GDP in skill development. Germany, Switzerland, and Singapore show that higher spending pays off.

Conclusion-

Skill development is not a consolation prize for those who cannot pursue higher education. It is a strategic necessity for a nation that seeks to compete in the global economy and provide dignified livelihoods for its young people. Education as an instrument of skill development means rethinking the very purpose of schooling: not to produce certificate-holders but to produce competent, confident, and creative individuals who can solve problems, create value, and adapt to change. The cultural shift will be the hardest part. For centuries, India has valued the scholar over the craftsman. That hierarchy must be dismantled. A skilled electrician, a skilled nurse, a skilled carpenter, a skilled solar panel installer — these are the people who will build the new India. Their work is not less than that of a desk-bound clerk; it is more. Their education is not inferior; it is different and equally valuable.

The policies are largely in place. The institutions exist. The funding, though inadequate, is growing. What is missing is a sense of urgency, a commitment to quality, and a social movement that says: "Skilled work is



worthy work. A skilled Indian is a powerful Indian." If India can achieve that, the demographic dividend will become a demographic bonanza, and the world will witness the greatest poverty reduction in history — powered not by degrees, but by competence.

Referances:-

1. Government of India (2020). National Education Policy 2020. New Delhi: Ministry of Education.
2. Ministry of Skill Development and Entrepreneurship (2022). Annual Report 2021-22. New Delhi: MSDE.
3. Kothari Commission (1966). Report of the Education Commission (1964–66). New Delhi: Ministry of Education.
4. National Policy on Education (1986, revised 1992). New Delhi: Ministry of Human Resource Development.
5. India Skills Report (2023). India Skills Report 2023. New Delhi: Wheebox.
6. CII & McKinsey (2018). Skill Development in India: The Talent Imperative. New Delhi: CII.
7. World Bank (2019). India: Skill Development for Shared Prosperity. Washington, DC: World Bank.
8. Mehrotra, Santosh (2014). India's Skill Challenge: Reforming Vocational Education and Training. New Delhi: Planning Commission.
9. Agrawal, Tushar (2013). Vocational Education and Training in India: Challenges and Prospects. International Journal of Educational Development, 33(5), 443-451.
10. NCERT (2006). Vocationalisation of Secondary Education. New Delhi: NCERT.
11. NSDC (2021). Skill Development Landscape in India. New Delhi: National Skill Development Corporation.
12. UNESCO (2018). World TVET Database: India. Paris: UNESCO.
13. ILO (2020). Apprenticeships in India: An Overview. Geneva: International Labour Organization.
14. Mehrotra, Santosh & Raman, R. (2019). Skill Development in India: The National Skill Development Mission. New Delhi: Sage.



15. Singh, R. (2017). Skill Development in India: The PMKVY Programme. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 52(15), 45-52.
16. NITI Aayog (2020). *Strategy for National Skill Development*. New Delhi: NITI Aayog.
17. FICCI (2018). *Future of Skills in India*. New Delhi: FICCI.
18. Tilak, Jandhyala B.G. (2018). Higher Education and Skill Development in India. *Journal of Social and Economic Development*, 20(2), 235-254.
19. National Apprenticeship Promotion Scheme (2021). *Guidelines and Implementation Status*. New Delhi: Ministry of Skill Development.
20. India Brand Equity Foundation (2022). *Skill Development in India: A Sectoral Analysis*. New Delhi: IBEF.