The Academic
International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research

An Online Peer Reviewed / Refereed Journal
Volume 4 | Issue 7 | July 2026
ISSN: 2583-973X (Online), SJIF IF(2026): 5.6
Website: www.theacademic.in

From Substance Use to Social Exclusion: Drug Addiction and the Transformation of Social Relationships among Youth

Ajanta Roy
Educator

DOI : https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.22077142

ARTICLE DETAILS

Research Paper
Received: 29-06-2026
Accepted: 17-07-2026
Published: 20-08-2026

Keywords:
Substance Use; Drug Addiction; Youth; Social Exclusion; Social Relationships; Stigma; Family; Peer Influence; Social Reintegration; Social Learning Theory

ABSTRACT

Drug addiction among young people is commonly understood through medical, psychological, legal or behavioural frameworks. Although these approaches are important, they may overlook a central dimension of addiction: the transformation of social relationships. Substance dependence does not occur in a social vacuum. It develops within families, peer networks, educational institutions, workplaces, neighbourhoods and broader cultural environments. At the same time, sustained substance use can alter these relationships through secrecy, conflict, distrust, financial dependence, social withdrawal, stigma and exclusion. This paper examines the relationship between substance use, changing social relationships and social exclusion among youth. It argues that addiction should be understood not only as an individual health condition but also as a social process involving the weakening of social bonds and the production of stigma. Drawing on Social Learning Theory, Social Control Theory, Labeling Theory and the concept of social exclusion, the paper proposes a relational framework in which substance use and social disconnection may reinforce one another. Particular attention is given to family relationships, peer networks, educational and occupational participation, community acceptance and stigma. Existing evidence indicates that peer influence, family

conditions, psychosocial stressors and socioeconomic instability can contribute to substance use among young people. Conversely, stigma and discrimination may discourage help-seeking and contribute to social isolation. The paper therefore proposes a shift from an individual-blame model towards a social-relational and public-health approach. Prevention and rehabilitation should not end with abstinence or clinical treatment; they should also address restoration of family relationships, positive peer networks, educational and occupational participation, dignity and community belonging. The central proposition is that recovery should be understood not merely as freedom from substance dependence but also as the restoration of meaningful social participation.

1. Introduction

Substance use among young people has become an important social, public-health and developmental concern. Adolescence and early adulthood are periods in which individuals establish identities, develop intimate and peer relationships, enter educational and occupational institutions and gradually become independent members of society. Consequently, patterns of substance use emerging during these years may affect not only physical and psychological health but also education, employment, family relationships, friendships and participation in community life.

The conventional understanding of drug addiction frequently begins with the individual. The individual is portrayed as the user, patient, offender or, in many social contexts, the person responsible for his or her own deterioration. Such an approach can unintentionally reduce a complex social phenomenon to a question of individual choice or moral failure. A sociological perspective raises a different question: what happens to the social world of a young person when substance use becomes persistent or dependent?

The consequences are not limited to physical dependence. A young person may gradually experience changes in relationships with parents, siblings, partners and friends. Educational participation may decline, employment may become unstable, financial relationships within the family may become conflictual and trust may deteriorate. Individuals may begin to conceal their substance use, withdraw from conventional social networks or become increasingly connected with peers who share similar patterns of use. The process can consequently become circular. Substance use may weaken social relationships, while weakened

relationships, social isolation, stigma and exclusion may create conditions that make recovery more difficult.

This paper therefore conceptualises addiction as a relational and social process rather than exclusively as an individual pathology. The issue is particularly important in India. The national survey on substance use conducted by the National Drug Dependence Treatment Centre (NDDTC), AIIMS, for the Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment identified substantial use of alcohol, cannabis, opioids and other psychoactive substances across the country. The Government of India recognises substance use as a psycho-socio-medical problem requiring prevention, treatment, rehabilitation and community involvement.

The central question of this paper is therefore not simply how many young people use drugs, but how substance addiction transforms the social relationships through which young people participate in society.

2. Statement of the Problem

Drug addiction is frequently discussed in terms of health consequences, crime, economic loss and individual behaviour. Comparatively less attention is sometimes given to the gradual transformation of social relationships surrounding young people who develop problematic substance use. Three interconnected dimensions are particularly important.

First, substance use may emerge within existing social networks. Peer influence, curiosity, social acceptance, family circumstances, psychosocial stress and socioeconomic instability may influence initiation and continuation of substance use. Second, persistent substance use can transform existing relationships. Family members may become distrustful, friends may distance themselves, romantic relationships may become unstable and educational or occupational relationships may deteriorate. Third, social reactions to addiction can generate stigma. Once an individual is labelled an “addict”, the label may influence how family members, peers, employers, teachers and community members perceive and treat that person.

These processes can create a reinforcing cycle in which substance use contributes to relationship disruption, relationship disruption contributes to stigma and social exclusion, and exclusion reduces the social support necessary for recovery. Understanding this cycle is therefore essential for developing effective prevention and rehabilitation strategies.

3. Research Gap and Objectives

Existing research has established the importance of biological, psychological, familial and peer-related factors in substance use. Research has also demonstrated the importance of stigma in shaping treatment-seeking and recovery. However, these dimensions are frequently examined as separate variables. Family conflict, peer influence, stigma, social isolation, educational disruption, unemployment and rehabilitation are often studied independently rather than as interconnected processes.

The present paper addresses this gap by adopting a relational perspective that examines the movement from substance use to changing social bonds, stigma, exclusion and possible reintegration. Rather than asking only why young people use drugs, it asks what happens to their social relationships after substance use becomes a significant part of their lives.

The objectives are to examine the relationship between substance addiction and social relationships among youth; analyse how substance use affects relationships with parents, siblings, partners and friends; examine the role of peer networks in the initiation and continuation of substance use; investigate the relationship between addiction and educational or occupational participation; examine the role of stigma and social labelling in producing social exclusion; explore how family and community support influence recovery; and develop a sociological framework for understanding addiction as a process of social disconnection and potential reintegration.

4. Research Questions and Hypotheses

The study is guided by questions concerning how substance addiction transforms young people's relationships with family members, the role of peer networks in initiation and continued use, the relationship between persistent substance use and social withdrawal, the effects of addiction on educational and occupational participation, and the influence of stigma and social labelling on the social lives of young people with substance-use problems. It further asks whether social exclusion contributes to difficulties in recovery and what forms of family, peer and community support facilitate social reintegration.

If tested empirically, the study proposes that higher levels of problematic substance use will be associated with weaker perceived family relationships and that peer exposure to substance use will be positively associated with the likelihood of initiation and continued use. It further proposes that greater perceived stigma will be associated with higher levels of social isolation, while social exclusion will be negatively associated with educational and occupational participation. Finally, higher levels of family and community support are expected to be associated with greater willingness to seek treatment and participate in

rehabilitation, with social support potentially moderating the relationship between substance-use severity and social exclusion.

5. Theoretical Framework

Social Learning Theory, particularly associated with Albert Bandura, provides an important framework for understanding the role of peer networks. Young people may learn behaviours through observation, imitation, reinforcement and social interaction. When substance use is normalised within a peer group, the perceived social cost of experimentation may decline. Peer groups may therefore operate as environments in which substance-related behaviour is learned and reinforced. However, peer influence should not be treated as the sole explanation because the same peer environment may have different effects depending on family relationships, personality, socioeconomic conditions and the availability of alternative social networks.

Social Control Theory, particularly associated with Travis Hirschi, suggests that strong social bonds can constrain behaviour that conflicts with conventional social expectations. Attachment, commitment, involvement and belief connect individuals to family, education and other institutions. A young person who has strong attachments to family and educational institutions may have greater social investment in conventional roles. Conversely, when these bonds weaken, vulnerability to risky behaviour may increase. From this perspective, addiction can be examined not simply as individual failure but also in relation to weakening connections between individuals and institutions of social integration.

Labeling Theory provides an additional explanation for the role of stigma. Once a young person is identified as an “addict”, the label can become socially powerful. Teachers, employers, neighbours, relatives and even family members may begin interpreting subsequent behaviour through that identity. Labelling can therefore produce stereotypes, discrimination and social exclusion. Importantly, stigma may continue even after substance use has stopped. Rehabilitation therefore involves not only abstinence but also reconstruction of social identity.

The concept of social exclusion shifts attention from the individual to the relationship between individuals and social institutions. Social exclusion refers to processes through which people become restricted from full participation in social, economic, cultural and institutional life. For young people experiencing substance-use disorders, exclusion may occur through family rejection, loss of friendship networks, educational disengagement, unemployment, discrimination and community rejection. The concept is particularly useful because it allows addiction to be examined as a problem of social participation as well as individual health.

6. Literature Review

Youth represents a critical developmental period in which substance use may have consequences extending beyond immediate health effects. Early initiation of substance use has been associated with increased risk of later dependence and other difficulties. Substance use during adolescence and young adulthood may also affect educational performance, behavioural development and social functioning.

Family relationships occupy an important position in both risk and protection. Poor family bonding, weak attachment and persistent conflict may increase vulnerability to substance use, while emotional support and strong family relationships can act as protective factors. At the same time, addiction itself can damage the family environment. Family dysfunction may contribute to substance vulnerability, substance use may develop into addiction and addiction may further intensify family dysfunction. This reciprocal relationship makes family intervention particularly important.

Peer influence is another consistently identified social factor in youth substance use. Young people may begin using substances because their friends use them, because substance use is perceived as socially acceptable within a peer group or because experimentation functions as a mechanism of belonging. Peer influence may operate through social imitation, group identity, perceived status, shared leisure practices and emotional belonging rather than through direct coercion alone. Consequently, interventions that merely instruct young people to avoid “bad friends” may fail to address the underlying social need for belonging.

Stigma is central to the social experience of addiction. Substance-use stigma can include stereotypes, discrimination, shame and social distance. Stigma may discourage individuals from seeking treatment because disclosure carries the possibility of rejection. It can therefore become a barrier to recovery rather than merely an expression of negative public attitudes.

Addiction can transform social relationships in multiple ways. Within families, trust may decline because of secrecy, financial problems, conflict or repeated broken commitments. Friendship networks may change as individuals become disconnected from non-using friends and increasingly dependent upon substance-using networks. Romantic relationships may become unstable because of mistrust, financial difficulties and emotional unpredictability. Educational participation may decline through absenteeism, reduced concentration or withdrawal, while employment may be affected by poor performance, absenteeism, conflict and stigma. The cumulative consequence can be a gradual narrowing of the individual's social world.

7. Methodology

The paper adopts a qualitative and conceptual research design, while proposing a mixed-method framework for future empirical testing. The quantitative component of a future study could examine relationships among substance-use severity, social support, stigma and social exclusion, while the qualitative component could explore the lived experiences behind those relationships.

A proposed study population would consist of young people between 18 and 29 years of age, including individuals currently receiving treatment for substance-use disorders and young people with a documented history of problematic substance use who are in recovery. Where resources permit, a comparison group without a history of problematic substance use could also be included. A feasible pilot study could involve approximately 150–250 participants in the quantitative component and 20–30 purposively selected participants for semi-structured qualitative interviews. The final sample size should, however, be determined through appropriate power analysis if the study is conducted empirically.

The principal variables would include substance-use severity, age of initiation, peer exposure, family conflict, socioeconomic vulnerability and psychosocial stress as explanatory variables. Stigma, social isolation, loss of trust and reduced social support could function as mediating variables, while social exclusion would serve as a principal outcome. Family support, positive peer relationships, educational engagement, employment, community acceptance and access to treatment would be considered protective factors.

Data collection could examine demographic characteristics, substance-use history, family relationships, peer relationships, perceived stigma and social exclusion. Wherever possible, validated instruments should be used rather than developing all measures independently. Semi-structured interviews could explore changes in family relationships, friendship networks, experiences of rejection, concealment of substance use, treatment-seeking, recovery and the forms of support required for reintegration.

Quantitative analysis could employ descriptive statistics, correlation analysis, appropriate tests of association and multiple regression. If sample size permits, mediation and moderation analyses could examine whether stigma or social isolation mediates the relationship between substance-use severity and social exclusion and whether family or community support moderates this relationship. Qualitative interviews could be analysed thematically, with themes including initiation, peer belonging, family conflict, loss of trust, social withdrawal, stigma, identity transformation, treatment experiences, support and reintegration.

Research involving people with substance-use disorders requires particular ethical safeguards. Participation must be voluntary, informed consent must be obtained, confidentiality must be protected and participants must be able to withdraw without consequences for treatment or services. Identifying information should not be published. Institutional ethics approval should be obtained before empirical data collection.

8. Substance Use and the Transformation of Social Relationships

The central argument of this paper is that addiction should be understood as both a health problem and a relational phenomenon. A young person does not exist outside family, friendship, education, employment and community. Substance use develops within these social environments and, when it becomes problematic, can transform them.

The transformation may begin subtly. A young person may hide substance use from parents or partners, become less communicative, spend increasing amounts of time outside the family environment or alter established friendship networks. Financial difficulties may create further conflict. Repeated attempts to conceal use can undermine trust, while repeated promises of behavioural change that are not fulfilled may deepen frustration among family members.

Friendship networks can undergo a similar transformation. A young person may gradually lose contact with friends who do not use substances while developing stronger connections with peers who share similar patterns of use. In this way, substance use can initially provide social belonging but eventually contribute to social disconnection from wider society.

Educational and occupational institutions are also important. Persistent substance use can affect attendance, concentration, performance and interpersonal behaviour. The resulting educational or occupational disruption can reduce future opportunities and weaken another important source of identity and social participation.

The process can therefore be conceptualised as a movement from social belonging towards social disconnection. A peer group may initially provide identity, recognition, emotional support and companionship. Substance use may function as a mechanism of participation within that group. Yet the same behaviour may later contribute to dependence, relationship disruption and exclusion from mainstream social networks.

9. Stigma as a Secondary Injury

The physical and psychological consequences of addiction are widely recognised, but the social consequences can be less visible. A young person may complete treatment and achieve abstinence while continuing to experience mistrust, rejection, employment discrimination, social distance and damaged reputation.

This can be conceptualised as a "secondary injury" of addiction. The substance may no longer be present, but the social identity associated with addiction may remain. A former user may continue to be treated as unreliable, morally weak or permanently damaged even after recovery.

The social consequences of labelling are therefore crucial. If a person repeatedly encounters rejection after attempting recovery, the incentive to reconnect with conventional social institutions may weaken. Social exclusion can consequently become both a consequence of addiction and a barrier to sustained recovery.

This is why rehabilitation should not be understood solely as the cessation of substance use. It must also involve reconstruction of social identity and restoration of social relationships.

10. Rehabilitation and Social Reintegration

A crucial distinction must be made between rehabilitation and reintegration. Rehabilitation generally focuses on treatment, abstinence, withdrawal management, psychological intervention and relapse prevention. Reintegration extends beyond these clinical objectives and addresses family relationships, employment, education, friendship, community participation, dignity and social identity.

A person may therefore be clinically rehabilitated but socially excluded. If family members continue to reject the individual, employers refuse opportunities, friends remain distant and the community maintains a stigmatised identity, recovery remains socially fragile.

The central proposition is consequently that recovery is incomplete when treatment ends but exclusion continues.

Family-based intervention can play a significant role in this process. Families may require education about addiction, relapse, communication, boundaries and stigma. The aim should not be to remove all responsibility from the individual, but to recognise that recovery takes place within relationships.

Peer-based prevention should similarly move beyond simple messages instructing young people to avoid drugs. Young people need alternative forms of belonging through sports, arts, music, volunteering, youth

organisations, mentoring and community participation. Prevention becomes more effective when healthy social identities are available as alternatives to substance-centred peer networks.

Educational institutions can contribute through confidential early-support systems involving counselling, referral and reintegration rather than immediate moral condemnation. Employment is equally important because meaningful work can provide identity, routine, financial independence and social participation. Recovering young people should not be permanently defined by their previous substance-use history.

Public anti-stigma campaigns should therefore move away from purely fear-based approaches. Presenting people with addiction as dangerous or morally defective can reinforce exclusion. A public-health approach should communicate that substance-use disorders are treatable and that recovery is possible.

11. Discussion

The conventional individual-blame model asks why a particular person continues to use drugs. A sociological model asks what social conditions, relationships and institutional responses shape that person's movement towards use, dependence, exclusion and recovery. This distinction is theoretically important because the answer determines the type of intervention considered appropriate.

If addiction is treated primarily as individual failure, intervention will focus mainly on changing the individual. If addiction is understood as a social-relational process, intervention must also address the environment surrounding the individual.

The family paradox illustrates this clearly. Family conflict may increase vulnerability to substance use, but addiction may subsequently intensify family conflict. The result can become a self-reinforcing cycle. Interventions that treat only the young person while ignoring the relational environment may therefore be insufficient.

The same principle applies to peer networks. Peer groups can contribute to initiation and continuation of substance use, but simply removing a young person from one peer group does not automatically provide an alternative source of belonging. Sustainable recovery requires opportunities to build new relationships and social identities.

Stigma adds another layer to the problem. A person may stop using substances but continue to encounter social rejection. In this situation, the clinical problem may have improved while the social problem remains. Recovery therefore requires both treatment and reintegration.

The paper's central relational model can consequently be expressed conceptually as a movement from social vulnerability, including family conflict, peer exposure, psychosocial stress and socioeconomic disadvantage, towards substance initiation and problematic use. Persistent use may then transform social relationships through family conflict, loss of trust, changing peer networks and educational or occupational disruption. These changes may produce stigma and social exclusion, which in turn reduce social support and potentially increase vulnerability to continued use or relapse.

However, the process is not deterministic. A second pathway is possible in which treatment, family support, positive peer relationships, educational or occupational participation and community acceptance facilitate social reintegration and sustainable recovery. The same social environment that can contribute to vulnerability can also become an important resource for recovery.

12. Policy and Practical Implications

The framework developed in this paper suggests that drug-treatment programmes should incorporate family and community dimensions alongside clinical treatment. Young people recovering from addiction require opportunities to rebuild trust, establish positive relationships and participate in meaningful social roles.

Prevention programmes should create alternative peer environments rather than relying exclusively on warnings about the dangers of drugs. Sports, arts, music, volunteering, youth clubs and mentoring can provide healthy forms of belonging and identity.

Educational institutions should develop confidential mechanisms for early identification, counselling and referral. Their response should prioritise support and reintegration rather than moral condemnation. Similarly, employment and vocational opportunities should be considered part of recovery because work can provide social identity, routine, economic independence and dignity.

Public policy must also address stigma. A young person who has recovered should not remain permanently defined by a previous history of substance use. Community acceptance is therefore not an optional addition to treatment; it is a potentially important component of sustainable recovery.

13. Limitations and Future Research

The present paper is primarily conceptual and does not claim to provide empirical evidence concerning causal relationships. Future empirical research should test the proposed framework using longitudinal designs that can examine how social relationships change before, during and after treatment.

Self-reported substance-use histories may be affected by recall or social-desirability bias. Individuals receiving treatment may also differ from young people who use substances but never enter treatment. Furthermore, social experiences of addiction may vary according to gender, socioeconomic position, geographical location, substance type and cultural context.

Future studies should therefore examine these differences and consider whether stigma, family support and community acceptance operate differently across social groups. Longitudinal research would be particularly valuable because it could determine whether changes in social relationships precede substance use, result from substance use, or operate reciprocally over time.

14. Conclusion

Drug addiction among young people should not be understood solely as a problem of substance consumption. It is also a problem of relationships. Young people participate in family, friendship, education, employment and community networks, and substance use develops within these social environments. When substance use becomes problematic, it can transform these relationships through secrecy, conflict, distrust, financial instability, social withdrawal and stigma.

The most significant transformation may therefore not always be physical dependence. It may be the gradual weakening of social bonds. Family members may stop trusting the individual, friends may withdraw, educational and occupational opportunities may decline and communities may attach a stigmatised identity to the person. The young person may eventually become socially isolated, and this exclusion can itself become a barrier to recovery.

The central proposition of this paper is that drug addiction and social exclusion may constitute a mutually reinforcing cycle in which weakened social relationships increase vulnerability to continued substance use, while substance use further damages those relationships. Breaking this cycle requires more than clinical treatment. It requires reintegration.

An effective response to youth substance addiction should therefore connect prevention, treatment, relationship reconstruction and social reintegration. The ultimate objective should not merely be to produce a drug-free individual but to restore a person's capacity to participate meaningfully in family, friendship, education, employment and community life.

Recovery, in this broader sociological sense, is not simply the absence of substance use. Recovery is the restoration of social belonging.

References

Bandura, A. (1977). Social Learning Theory. Prentice Hall.

Becker, H. S. (1963). Outsiders: Studies in the Sociology of Deviance. Free Press.

Goffman, E. (1963). Stigma: Notes on the Management of Spoiled Identity. Prentice-Hall.

Hirschi, T. (1969). Causes of Delinquency. University of California Press.

Livingston, J. D., Milne, T., Fang, M. L., & Amari, E. (2012). The effectiveness of interventions for reducing stigma related to substance use disorders: A systematic review. Addiction, 107(1), 39–50.

Magnan, E., Weyrich, M., Miller, M., et al. (2024). Stigma against patients with substance use disorders among health care professionals and trainees and stigma-reducing interventions: A systematic review. Academic Medicine, 99(2), 221–231.

Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment, Government of India. (2019). Magnitude of Substance Use in India. National Drug Dependence Treatment Centre, AIIMS, New Delhi.

Spata, A., Gupta, I., Lear, M. K., Lunze, K., & Luoma, J. B. (2024). Substance use stigma: A systematic review of measures and their psychometric properties. Drug and Alcohol Dependence Reports, 11, 100237.

World Health Organization. (2018). International Standards on Drug Use Prevention: Second Updated Edition. WHO.

World Health Organization. (2020). International Standards for the Treatment of Drug Use Disorders: Revised Edition Incorporating Results of Field-Testing. WHO and UNODC.

World Health Organization. (2024). Adolescent and Young Adult Health. WHO.