The Academic
International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research

An Online Peer Reviewed / Refereed Journal
Volume 4 | Issue 10 | October 2026
ISSN: 2583-973X (Online), SJIF IF(2026): 5.6
Website: www.theacademic.in

Understanding Mahatma Gandhi's Concept of Ahimsa: An Analysis

Bellal Hussain

Assistant Professor, Department of Political Science, Rajiv Gandhi Memorial College, Lengtisinga, Bongaigaon, Assam, E-mail- bellal.rgm@gmail.com

DOI : https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.24394872

ARTICLE DETAILS

Research Paper

Received: 27-10-2026

Accepted: 02-11-2026

Published: 20-11-2026

Keywords:

Mahatma Gandhi, ahimsa, non-violence, satya, satyagraha, civil disobedience, social justice, peace

ABSTRACT

Mahatma Gandhi's conception of ahimsa (non-violence) constitutes one of the most remarkable contributions to ethical philosophy, political thought, and social transition in modern platform. For Gandhi, ahimsa was not just the absence of physical violence but a extensive moral principle which is enclosed in truth, love, compassion, self-discipline, and respect for all living beings. It is keenly connected with satya (truth) and satyagraha (the force of truth), ahimsa became the core concept of his struggles against colonial rule, racial discrimination, social injustice, and untouchability. Gandhi transformed non-violence from an individual religious virtue into a method of collective political action. However, the philosophy of Gandhi's also raises important questions concerning the limits of non-violent resistance, the relationship between moral ideals and political realities, and the practical difficulties of confronting violent oppression. This article critically examines the philosophical foundations, religious influences, political applications, limitations, and contemporary relevance of Gandhi understands of ahimsa. It argues that Gandhi's enduring contribution lies in demonstrating that non-violence can serve not only as a moral ideal but also as a disciplined method of resistance, social reconstruction, and the pursuit of justice.

Introduction

Disclaimer: The opinions, interpretations, conclusions, recommendations, analyses, and statements expressed in this research article are solely those of the author(s) and do not reflect the views, policies, or positions of the Publisher, Chief Editor, Editors, Editorial Board, Reviewers, or their affiliated institutions. The author(s) are solely responsible for ensuring the originality, authenticity, and integrity of the manuscript and for ensuring that it is free from plagiarism, AI-generated content, copyright infringement, data falsification, and any other form of academic misconduct.

One of the concepts of Gandhianism is "non-violent policy", for Gandhiji,it was not just a policy, he followed it to the letter throughout his life. It is also a method of action for Gandhiji. He has fought for freedom by following the non-violent method and it is by virtue of this that he has achieved the independence of India. According to Gandhiji, "non-violent principle" is not what we usually mean by non-violence. In general, non-violence means not hurting any living creature. It expresses only a partial meaning of non-violence. According to Mahatma Gandhi, the true meaning of non-violence is: don't think evil, don't lie, don't be jealous of anyone, don't hurt others' feelings anymore and not keeping things in your hands that others need. Gandhiji called "non-violence" not to hurt others with words, actions and thoughts. It also explains that Ahimsa means avoiding harm through thought, words and deeds.

When Gandhi conducting the freedom struggle of India he called for non-cooperation, lawlessness and the Quit India Movement on the basis of non-violence. The principle of non-violence is the most powerful weapon by which Gandhi accumulated the Indians to fight against British for acquiring independence and finally he was success.

Mahatma Gandhi (1869–1948) occupies a distinctive position in the history of political and moral philosophy because of his attempt to reconcile ethical principles with political action. At a time when colonial domination, racial discrimination, and armed resistance shaped much of the political landscape, Gandhi advanced an alternative approach based on truth, non-violence, and the moral transformation of individuals and society. His philosophy of *ahimsa* became central to the Indian freedom movement and influenced subsequent movements for civil rights, democratic participation, and social justice across the world.

The Sanskrit term 'ahimsa' is commonly translated as non-violence or non-injury. However, Gandhi's understanding extended far beyond the refusal to use physical force. It involved rejecting hatred, cultivating compassion, respecting the dignity of opponents, and refusing to treat human beings merely as instruments for achieving political objectives. For Gandhi, genuine non-violence required courage, self-restraint, and a willingness to endure suffering without retaliating against the aggressor.

Gandhi did not regard ahimsa as a passive acceptance of injustice. Rather, he considered it an active force capable of challenging oppressive institutions without reproducing the violence embedded in them. Through campaigns such as the Non-Cooperation Movement, the Salt Satyagraha, and the Quit India Movement, he attempted to translate this principle into organized political resistance.

- **Philosophical and Religious Foundations of Ahimsa**

Gandhi's conception of ahimsa emerged from several intellectual and spiritual traditions, particularly Hinduism, Jainism, Buddhism, Christianity, and modern ethical thought. His originality lay not simply in inheriting these traditions but in interpreting them as principles for practical engagement with political and social life.

i) Influence of Hinduism: Gandhi drew deeply from the Hindu tradition, especially the *Bhagavad Gita*, which he interpreted as a text concerned with moral struggle, self-discipline, and the conquest of selfish desire. Although the Gita takes the form of a dialogue set on a battlefield, Gandhi interpreted its central conflict largely in spiritual and ethical terms. He emphasised the struggle against anger, attachment, egoism, and other forces that obstruct moral development. The ideal of *nishkama karma*, or action without attachment to personal rewards, influenced Gandhi's understanding of political service. He believed that individuals should work for justice without allowing hatred, personal ambition, or the desire for revenge to dominate their actions.

ii) Influence of Jainism and Buddhism: Jainism provided an especially important background for Gandhi's understanding of non-injury. In Jain philosophy, *ahimsa* is a fundamental ethical principle requiring careful avoidance of harm to living beings. The Jain emphasis on self-restraint, compassion, and responsibility for the consequences of one's actions strengthened Gandhi's conviction that non-violence must shape everyday behaviour.

The idea's of Jainism concerning the complexity of truth also resonate with Gandhi's insistence on intellectual humility. The doctrine of *anekantavada*, which recognises the many-sided nature of reality, suggests that human understanding is limited and that no individual can claim complete possession of truth. Gandhi's willingness to acknowledge the possibility of error in his own judgments was consistent with this outlook, although his thought developed through several influences.

Buddhism similarly emphasises compassion, the reduction of suffering, and the rejection of hatred. The principle that hatred cannot be overcome by hatred, expressed in the *Dhammapada*, provides a useful ethical parallel to Gandhi's insistence that violence cannot create a lasting foundation for peace.

iii) The Meaning and Nature of Ahimsa in Gandhi's Philosophy: For Gandhi, ahimsa was both a personal discipline and a universal ethical principle. Its meaning included refraining from physical injury, controlling hostile emotions, speaking truthfully, avoiding exploitation, and acting with concern for the welfare of others. Gandhi repeatedly distinguished genuine non-violence from cowardice. A person who avoids confrontation because of fear does not necessarily practise ahimsa. True non-violence requires the courage to confront injustice while refusing to dehumanise the wrongdoer. This distinction is essential because Gandhi did not advocate passive submission to domination. He maintained that resisting oppression

was a moral duty, provided that resistance was guided by discipline and a commitment to minimise harm. He was therefore prepared to accept personal suffering rather than inflict suffering on an adversary. Ahimsa also required consistency between means and ends. Gandhi rejected the assumption that an unjust or violent method could automatically become acceptable because it was intended to achieve a desirable objective. In his view, means and ends were inseparable: the character of the method helped determine the moral character of the result.

This position challenged conventional political realism, which often treats moral considerations as secondary to the acquisition or preservation of power. Gandhi argued that freedom achieved through hatred and domination could reproduce the very structures of oppression that a movement sought to eliminate.

His philosophy also demanded a broader understanding of violence. Physical assault was only one form of harm. Economic exploitation, racial discrimination, caste oppression, humiliation, and the deliberate denial of human dignity could also constitute forms of violence. This wider interpretation made ahimsa relevant to social reform as well as political independence. Nevertheless, Gandhi's concept was demanding. It required individuals to regulate their emotions, resist revenge, accept uncertainty, and maintain respect for opponents even under severe provocation. Such demands reveal both the ethical depth of his philosophy and the practical difficulty of implementing it consistently in mass politics.

iv) Ahimsa and Satya: The Relationship Between Truth and Non-Violence: The relationship between *satya* and *ahimsa* lies at the centre of Gandhi's ethical system. Satya means truth, while ahimsa means non-violence. Gandhi regarded the two principles as inseparable: truth gives non-violent action its moral direction, while non-violence provides the appropriate means of pursuing truth.

Gandhi famously treated Truth as the ultimate moral and spiritual reality. In his early formulation, he described God as Truth; later, he increasingly expressed the relationship by affirming that Truth is God. This formulation reflected his effort to make the search for truth meaningful across religious boundaries.

For Gandhi, truth was not merely factual accuracy or the avoidance of lying. It also involved honesty of intention, consistency between belief and conduct, openness to criticism, and the willingness to revise one's judgment when confronted with better evidence. Human beings, Gandhi believed, possess only a limited understanding of truth. Consequently, even sincere conviction does not justify forcing one's beliefs upon others. Ahimsa follows from this epistemological humility: if one's understanding is incomplete, one must persuade rather than destroy, engage rather than silence, and remain willing to learn from an opponent. This relationship helps explain Gandhi's opposition to violent political struggle. Violence may compel obedience, but it cannot establish the truth of a political claim. It can silence disagreement without resolving the underlying moral conflict.

Gandhiji's position does not imply that all competing claims are equally valid or that injustice should be tolerated in the name of uncertainty. Instead, it suggests that the pursuit of justice must combine firm moral commitment with humility about one's own understanding. His philosophy therefore establishes an ethical model in which truth is pursued through dialogue, sacrifice, public accountability, and disciplined resistance rather than through coercion alone.

v) Satyagraha: Ahimsa as an Instrument of Political Resistance: Gandhi's most significant practical contribution was the development of *satyagraha*, a term commonly understood as the force of truth or steadfastness in truth. Developed through his political experiences in South Africa and later applied extensively in India, satyagraha translated the moral principle of ahimsa into a method of organised resistance.

Satyagraha differs from passive resistance because it demands active engagement with injustice. Its practitioners identify an unjust law or institution, mobilise public opinion, refuse cooperation where necessary, and accept the consequences of their actions without deliberately inflicting violence upon opponents.

Several characteristics distinguish this method:

- ✓ **Non-violent resistance:** The satyagrahi refuses to use physical violence or hatred as a means of achieving political objectives.
- ✓ **Voluntary suffering:** Participants accept arrest, punishment, or personal hardship rather than retaliate against the authorities.
- ✓ **Moral persuasion:** The objective is to appeal to the conscience of the opponent and the wider public.
- ✓ **Discipline and preparation:** Participants require training, self-control, courage, and a willingness to remain non-violent under provocation.
- ✓ **Constructive engagement:** Resistance should be accompanied by efforts to build more just social, economic, and political institutions.

Satyagraha was therefore both a means of challenging authority and a method of transforming political relationships. It sought to undermine the legitimacy of unjust power by exposing the contradiction between the authority's claims to justice and its actual conduct.

Gandhi did not assume that every opponent would respond sympathetically or that moral persuasion would always succeed immediately. Nevertheless, he maintained that non-violent struggle could mobilise ordinary people, reduce dependence on armed elites, and create conditions for more inclusive political participation.

The distinction between satyagraha and coercion is important. Civil disobedience can impose economic or political pressure, but Gandhi sought to ensure that such pressure did not become an excuse for hatred, revenge, or the deliberate destruction of human beings. The moral legitimacy of resistance depended not only on the justice of its objective but also on the discipline with which it was conducted.

vi) Ahimsa in the Indian National Movement: Gandhi transformed the Indian national movement by making non-violent resistance a central method of mass mobilisation. His campaigns demonstrated how a colonised population could challenge imperial authority through collective action without relying primarily on armed rebellion.

a) Champaran and Kheda Satyagrahas: The Champaran Satyagraha of 1917 addressed the exploitation of indigo cultivators in Bihar, while the Kheda Satyagraha of 1918 supported peasants in Gujarat who sought relief from land revenue demands during a period of agricultural distress. These campaigns demonstrated that ahimsa was not restricted to abstract spiritual practice. It could be applied to concrete grievances involving land, taxation, labour, and economic injustice. Gandhi combined investigation, negotiation, public mobilisation, and disciplined resistance. These methods helped bring local suffering into the public sphere and strengthened the participation of ordinary people in political life.

b) Non-Cooperation Movement: Launched in 1920, the Non-Cooperation Movement called upon Indians to withdraw cooperation from British institutions, including certain educational institutions, courts, and official honours. Its underlying argument was that colonial rule depended partly on the participation and cooperation of the governed. Gandhi believed that withdrawing such cooperation could weaken the moral and practical foundations of imperial power. However, the movement also revealed the difficulties of maintaining non-violent discipline among large and diverse groups. Following the Chauri Chaura incident in February 1922, in which a crowd killed police personnel by setting fire to a police station, Gandhi suspended the movement. His decision reflected his conviction that political success could not justify the abandonment of ahimsa.

This decision remains controversial. Supporters interpret it as evidence of Gandhi's principled commitment, while critics argue that it sacrificed political momentum and imposed an excessively demanding standard on a mass movement. The controversy illustrates the tension between maintaining moral discipline and responding to the expectations of people suffering under colonial rule.

c) Salt Satyagraha: The Salt March of 1930 was one of the clearest demonstrations of Gandhi's political use of ahimsa. Gandhi and his followers marched to Dandi to challenge the British salt monopoly and colonial restrictions on salt production. Salt was an effective symbol because it was a basic necessity

affecting people across social and economic boundaries. By challenging an apparently ordinary law, Gandhi exposed the intrusive character of colonial authority and mobilised widespread public participation.

The subsequent civil disobedience campaign attracted national and international attention. The spectacle of unarmed protesters facing repression illustrated how non-violent resistance could reveal the moral contradictions of coercive power.

However, the Salt Satyagraha did not itself end British rule. Its significance lay in strengthening nationalist mobilisation, exposing colonial injustice, and demonstrating the political possibilities of disciplined collective action.

d) Quit India Movement: The Quit India Movement of August 1942 demanded an end to British rule in India. The colonial authorities responded with mass arrests and severe repression, including the detention of Gandhi and other Congress leaders. The movement experienced widespread unrest, and some participants engaged in sabotage and violent acts. This complexity shows that Gandhi's commitment to non-violence did not automatically determine the conduct of every participant in a mass struggle. The Quit India Movement nevertheless intensified pressure on the British government and became an important episode in the final phase of the independence struggle. Its history also reveals the limits of attributing every action within a nationalist movement directly to Gandhi's ethical principles.

Taken together, these campaigns show that ahimsa functioned as a political strategy, a moral standard, and a means of mobilising people who might otherwise have remained excluded from organised resistance.

e) Ahimsa and Social Reconstruction: Gandhi's philosophy of ahimsa extended beyond the struggle for national independence. He believed that political freedom would remain incomplete unless accompanied by the transformation of social relationships and economic structures. Consequently, non-violence became a guiding principle for his campaigns against untouchability, communal hatred, and economic exploitation.

f) Opposition to Untouchability: Gandhi considered untouchability a serious moral evil and campaigned for the dignity and inclusion of communities subjected to caste-based exclusion. He encouraged the removal of discriminatory practices and advocated access to public facilities and social participation.

However, his approach to caste remains a major subject of criticism. B. R. Ambedkar, a leading anti-caste thinker and principal architect of the Indian Constitution, argued that caste was a deeply entrenched system of graded inequality requiring fundamental structural transformation. Ambedkar's *Annihilation of Caste* (1936) challenged the religious and social foundations of caste hierarchy more radically than Gandhi's reformist approach.

g) Communal Harmony: Gandhi regarded religious hatred as a form of moral violence that threatened the unity of Indian society. He advocated Hindu-Muslim cooperation and maintained that religious differences

should not become grounds for discrimination or hostility. During the communal violence surrounding Partition in 1947, Gandhi worked to protect vulnerable communities and promote reconciliation, particularly in areas affected by intense violence. His fasts and personal interventions reflected his belief that individuals should accept moral responsibility for the suffering of others, irrespective of religious identity. Yet the persistence of communal violence also demonstrated the limits of individual moral influence. Gandhi's efforts could inspire reconciliation, but they could not independently resolve every political, institutional, and historical cause of religious conflict.

h) Economic Justice and Trusteeship: Gandhi's principle of trusteeship proposed that people possessing substantial wealth should regard themselves as custodians of resources whose use must serve society. He criticised greed, excessive consumption, and economic arrangements that permitted a small minority to dominate the lives of others. He also advocated village industries, khadi, decentralised production, and greater economic self-reliance. These ideas were connected with ahimsa because Gandhi believed that exploitation and extreme inequality inflicted harm even when they did not involve direct physical assault.

Nevertheless, trusteeship has been criticised for relying too heavily on the voluntary moral transformation of wealthy individuals rather than enforceable redistribution or institutional reform. From a contemporary perspective, Gandhi's economic ethics offer a valuable critique of exploitation, but their implementation requires careful consideration of democratic accountability, labour rights, and structural inequality.

vii) A Critical Analysis of Gandhi's Philosophy of Ahimsa: Gandhi's philosophy is influential not because it is free from contradictions, but because it raises enduring questions about the relationship between morality, political power, and social change.

a) Strengths of the Gandhian Approach: First, Gandhi demonstrated that non-violent action could become a powerful means of mass mobilisation. It enabled individuals who lacked weapons, wealth, or formal political authority to participate in organised resistance. Through boycotts, marches, strikes, and civil disobedience, ordinary people could challenge institutions that appeared overwhelmingly powerful.

Second, his philosophy placed ethical limits on political action. By insisting that means and ends were inseparable, Gandhi challenged the idea that violence could be justified automatically by the promise of future liberation. This remains important wherever political movements risk reproducing oppression in the name of freedom.

Third, ahimsa encouraged the recognition of opponents as human beings. Although Gandhi resisted their actions, he did not regard their destruction as the ultimate objective. This distinction creates possibilities for negotiation, reconciliation, and the reconstruction of relationships after conflict.

Fourth, Gandhi connected personal responsibility with public life. He argued that social transformation requires more than changes in government; it also demands changes in habits, institutions, economic relationships, and attitudes towards other people.

b) Limitations and Criticisms: Despite these strengths, Gandhi's approach faces several significant objections.

✓ **The problem of extreme oppression:** Critics question whether non-violent resistance can succeed against regimes that are willing to use unlimited violence and systematically eliminate peaceful opposition. Gandhi believed that non-violence could retain moral power even under severe repression, but its practical effectiveness depends on circumstances, organisation, public support, and the opponent's political vulnerabilities. Moral superiority does not guarantee immediate victory.

✓ **The burden of suffering:** Gandhi's method requires participants to accept arrest, injury, imprisonment, or other forms of suffering without retaliating. While voluntary sacrifice can expose injustice, it can also place disproportionate burdens on vulnerable populations. It is therefore necessary to ask who bears the costs of resistance and whether participation is genuinely voluntary.

✓ **The challenge of mass discipline:** The suspension of the Non-Cooperation Movement after Chauri Chaura illustrated the difficulty of maintaining non-violence across a large and socially diverse movement. Gandhi's insistence on discipline protected the integrity of his method, but critics argue that it could also limit political opportunities and frustrate participants whose grievances demanded urgent redress.

✓ **The gap between moral persuasion and structural change:** Gandhi placed considerable faith in conscience and the transformation of opponents. However, entrenched systems of power do not always respond to moral appeals. Economic interests, bureaucratic institutions, discriminatory laws, and coercive organisations may require sustained political pressure and enforceable legal reforms. Non-violent action can contribute to such reforms, but goodwill alone may be insufficient.

▪ **Relevance of Ahimsa in the Contemporary society:** Gandhi's philosophy remains relevant in a world affected by armed conflict, communal polarisation, racial discrimination, political repression, and economic inequality. Its contemporary significance lies less in reproducing every aspect of Gandhi's personal life than in applying the central principles of non-violent resistance, human dignity, and ethical accountability to present-day challenges.

In democratic societies, ahimsa provides a moral framework for peaceful protest, civil disobedience, public dialogue, and resistance to discriminatory policies. It encourages citizens to oppose injustice without resorting to dehumanising language or indiscriminate retaliation.

In the digital environment, the same principle can be extended to online harassment, hate speech, and the deliberate spread of misinformation. Although these phenomena differ from physical violence, they can inflict serious social and psychological harm. A Gandhian approach would emphasise truthfulness, restraint, responsible communication, and the rejection of collective hatred.

Ahimsa also has implications for environmental ethics. Gandhi's criticism of excessive consumption and his advocacy of restraint encourage reflection on the relationship between human well-being, natural resources, and future generations. Environmental destruction can produce widespread suffering, particularly among communities with limited resources. Non-violent social transformation can therefore include sustainable consumption, community participation, and resistance to environmentally destructive practices.

Furthermore, Gandhian methods have influenced international movements for justice and civil rights. Martin Luther King Jr. adapted principles of non-violent resistance in the African American civil rights movement, while Nelson Mandela and the broader South African liberation struggle engaged with non-violent resistance in complex and changing political circumstances. These histories demonstrate both the international influence of Gandhi and the need to recognise that later movements adapted non-violent methods to their own social and political conditions.

Nevertheless, contemporary applications must avoid romanticising non-violence or treating it as a substitute for institutional reform. Peaceful protest is most effective when accompanied by organisation, strategic planning, public participation, legal protection, and practical proposals for change. Ahimsa offers an ethical orientation for resistance, but its implementation requires attention to political realities and the unequal distribution of power.

Today's generation has not lived through the pre-independence time. We might be familiar with the names of our freedom leaders and their thoughts, but we donot realise the intensity with which their ideas inspired the masses. Gandhiji's Truth and Ahimsa appealed to the masses and allowed a more significant mobilization of the "common" people. He rightfully recognized that only the Truth i.e. Satya and non-violence, i.e. Ahimsa is the way to inspire the millions of people all over the Globe.

- **Conclusion**

Mahatma Gandhi's view of ahimsa represents a significant attempt to establish non-violence as a comprehensive principle of personal conduct, political resistance, and social reconstruction. For Gandhi, non-violence was not simply the avoidance of physical aggression; it required truthfulness, compassion,

courage, self-discipline, and respect for human dignity. Its relationship with satya and satyagraha enabled him to transform an ethical ideal into a method of collective political action.

The Indian national movement demonstrated the possibilities of organised non-violent resistance, while Gandhi's campaigns for communal harmony and social reform revealed his broader understanding of justice. At the same time, controversies surrounding Chauri Chaura, caste, economic inequality, and the effectiveness of moral persuasion expose the limitations and unresolved tensions within his philosophy.

A balanced assessment must therefore avoid both uncritical glorification and wholesale rejection. Gandhi did not provide a universally successful formula for eliminating violence or injustice. Rather, he offered a demanding ethical framework for confronting oppression without accepting hatred and revenge as inevitable features of political life.

The continuing value of ahimsa lies in its insistence that the pursuit of justice must remain accountable to the dignity of those affected by it. When combined with democratic institutions, strategic collective action, social reform, and a willingness to confront structural inequality, Gandhian non-violence can contribute meaningfully to the pursuit of a more peaceful and just society.

References

1. Ambedkar, B. R. (1936). *Annihilation of caste*. Independent publication.

2. Gandhi, M. K. (1909). *Hind Swaraj or Indian home rule*. Originally published in Gujarati

3. Gandhi, M. K. (1928). *Satyagraha in South Africa* (V. G. Desai, Trans.). Navajivan Publishing House.

4. Extracted from:: Gandhi, M. K. (1940). *Constructive programme: Its meaning and place*. Navajivan Publishing House.

5. Gandhi, M. K. (1948). *The story of my experiments with truth* (M. Desai, Trans.). Navajivan Publishing HouseG andhi, M. K. (1956–1994)

6. Hazama, E. (2022). Unravelling the myth of Gandhian non-violence.

7. Iyer, R. N. (1973). *The moral and political thought of Mahatma Gandhi*.

8. Misra, M. (2014). Sergeant-major Gandhi: Indian nationalism and nonviolent.

9. Extracted from:: https://www.jstor.org › stable›*The Journal of Asian Studies*. Raghavan Iyer. (Ed.). (1973). *The moral and political writings of Mahatma Gandhi*.

10. Paul K.C, Sarma J.K, Nayak P.C, Kalita D. Indian political thought-2, Arun Prakashan, college Hostel Road, Guwahati-1, 1st edition-2022

11. 9. Extracted from::https://www.drishtiias.com›Gandhi, Truth and Ahimsa, published on 2 oct. 2022 Indian Educational coaching Institution