The Academic
International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research

An Online Peer Reviewed / Refereed Journal
Volume 4 | Issue 10 | October 2026
ISSN: 2583-973X (Online), SJIF IF(2026): 5.6
Website: www.theacademic.in

The Art of Living Learning: How TCI Redefines Group Facilitation and Participative Leadership

Abdurahman A

Assistant Professor of History, Govt Arts & Science College Malappuram, Munduparamba, Kerala-676509

Gireesh P

High School Teacher, KAHHSS Kottoppadam, Palakkad, Kerala- 678583

DOI : https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.24394932

ARTICLE DETAILS

Research Paper

Received: 27-10-2026

Accepted: 02-11-2026

Published: 20-11-2026

Keywords:

Theme-Centered Interaction (TCI), Living learning, Workshop pedagogy, Participative leadership, Four-Factor Model, Dynamic balancing, Group dynamics, Educational facilitation, Experiential learning

ABSTRACT

This research paper explores the theoretical foundations and practical implementations of Theme-Centered Interaction (TCI) in modern workshop pedagogy, organisational development, and higher education. Grounded in the humanistic framework pioneered by Ruth C. Cohn, TCI bridges the gap between sterile cognitive instruction and unstructured psychological therapy by establishing a dynamic equilibrium across four core elements: the individual ("I"), the group ("We"), the task or theme ("It"), and the systemic environment ("Globe"). Through experiential fieldwork and process analysis frameworks, this study examines how participatory leadership, the chairperson postulate, and the systematic prioritisation of disturbances transform conventional training models into vibrant, self-regulating learning communities. The findings demonstrate that balancing psychological safety with rigorous task execution equips educators and facilitators to navigate complex group dynamics, mitigate burnout, and cultivate sustainable, human-centric educational practices in contemporary institutional ecosystems.

Theme-Centered Interaction (TCI) occupies an exceptional position within adult education, professional training, and organisational psychology. Originally formulated by the German-American

Disclaimer: The opinions, interpretations, conclusions, recommendations, analyses, and statements expressed in this research article are solely those of the author(s) and do not reflect the views, policies, or positions of the Publisher, Chief Editor, Editors, Editorial Board, Reviewers, or their affiliated institutions. The author(s) are solely responsible for ensuring the originality, authenticity, and integrity of the manuscript and for ensuring that it is free from plagiarism, AI-generated content, copyright infringement, data falsification, and any other form of academic misconduct.

psychoanalyst and educator Ruth Charlotte Cohn during the mid-twentieth century, TCI was forged as an explicit alternative to two competing pedagogical paradigms: the sterile, cognitive abstraction of institutional schooling and the hyper-individualised, unstructured catharsis of clinical group therapy.

Where conventional training programs treat learning as a linear, programmatic transmission of declarative content from expert to student, a living TCI workshop operates as a self-regulating democratic system. It treats affective experience, somatic signalling, group cohesion, task execution, and systemic environmental parameters as inseparable facets of human competence. The primary speciality of a TCI workshop resides in its capacity to achieve task mastery without psychological dehumanisation, balancing rigorous organisational objectives with radical individual agency.

Epistemological Foundations and the Genesis of Living Learning

The structural uniqueness of a TCI workshop cannot be understood without examining its epistemological origins. Trained in classical psychoanalysis in Zurich and later in experiential therapy, group dynamics, and Gestalt psychology under Fritz Perls in the United States, Ruth Cohn arrived at a transformative conclusion during the 1950s: the couch was too small. Classical psychoanalysis offered intrapsychic healing for one patient at a time, yet it left the structural pathologies of larger social, political, and organisational institutions untouched. Concurrently, Cohn recognised that standard institutional pedagogy was sterile. Classrooms, university lecture halls, and corporate training rooms treated participants as disembodied intellects, systematically repressing emotional reality and collective group dynamics in pursuit of a pre-established syllabus.

Cohn initiated an experimental workshop in 1955 on "Countertransference," discovering that when professional psychoanalysts gathered around an objective, professional topic rather than open-ended therapy, their intrapsychic resistances and interpersonal dynamics naturally surfaced in direct relation to that topic.[1] The topic functioned as an organising anchor, giving rise to the concept of the theme. To institutionalise this synthesis of psychoanalytic depth, democratic humanism, and pedagogical rigour, Cohn founded the Workshop Institute for Living-Learning (WILL) in 1966, known today as the Ruth Cohn Institute for TCI-International.[2]

The operational philosophy of TCI rests upon three dialectical axioms that govern human interaction. The existential-anthropological axiom posits that the human being is a psycho-biological entity and an interdependent constituent of the universe. Human autonomy does not exist in isolation; rather, personal autonomy expands in direct proportion to an individual's conscious awareness of systemic

interdependence with others and the environment. The axiological-ethical axiom establishes that reverence is owed to all living entities and their natural growth. Respecting growth requires explicit evaluative choices, based on the foundational premise that the humane preserves and enriches life, whereas the inhumane threatens and destroys it. The pragmatic-action axiom asserts that human decision-making and free will occur within conditioning internal biological or psychological boundaries and external sociopolitical constraints. However, through intentional reflection, relational awareness, and collective action, the expansion of these boundaries is possible. These axioms transform a TCI workshop from a standard skills transfer course into a socio-political microcosm designed to cultivate democratic capacity, self-determination, and mutual ethical responsibility.[3]

The Four-Factor Model: Dynamic Balancing as an Operational Discipline

Conventional workshops typically operate within an unbalanced field: corporate training centres on the syllabus, while team-building retreats fixate on emotional bonding. TCI resolves this bifurcation through the Four-Factor Model, graphically represented as an equilateral triangle enclosed within a sphere.

Within this structural geometry, the individual (I) forms the first vertex, representing the subjective intrapsychic realm of each participant. This includes immediate feelings, physical stamina, cognitive preconceptions, personal values, and psychological boundaries. In a TCI workshop, the individual is neither submerged into the collective nor permitted to dominate it. Structural openings, individual check-ins, and personal boundary affirmations ensure that each person remains an authentic, self-directing entity rather than a passive receiver of instructions.The group (We) forms the second vertex, encompassing the relational matrix, interpersonal dynamics, informal hierarchies, subgroup alliances, and emerging culture among group members. The We is not an aggregation of isolated actors, but a living social organism. A TCI workshop builds this matrix through unbroken circular seating, shared vulnerability, and intentional reflection on interpersonal friction.[4]

The theme or task (It) forms the apex of the triangle, denoting the objective concern, curriculum, organisational problem, or developmental project that justifies the assembly of the group. In TCI, the It is never treated as an abstract, static syllabus; it is framed as a focused, dynamic theme that connects the universal subject to the lived experiences of the individuals present. The environment (Globe) surrounds the entire triangle, designating the physical, institutional, sociopolitical, economic, and cultural environment that frames the interaction. The Globe operates on multiple tiers: the immediate, tangible setting including room temperature, circular seating arrangements, acoustics, and time constraints; the structural umbrella of

organisational mandates, corporate hierarchies, and remuneration frameworks; and the macro-societal context of geopolitical crises, cultural norms, and systemic inequalities.

The functional differentiator of a TCI workshop is Dynamic Balancing (Dynamische Balance). In a static curriculum, deviations from the timetable are viewed as operational failures. In TCI, group energy naturally shifts toward whichever factor is under acute strain. The facilitator acts as an active regulator, shifting focus dynamically between the internal state of participants, group cohesion, task accomplishment, and external pressures without losing the collective trajectory.

Factor	**Primary Domain**	**Systemic Pathology When Overemphasised**	**Systemic Pathology When Suppressed**	**Facilitative Balancing Mechanism**
I (Individual)	Subjective experience, boundaries, personal relevance.	Self-indulgence, narcissistic fragmentation, disregard for collective output.	Compliance, depersonalization, emotional fatigue, resentment.	Re-anchoring the personal insight to the common Theme (*It*) and cohort experience (*We*).
We (Group)	Interpersonal safety, relational trust, social cohesion.	Groupthink, endless self-referential process talk, loss of task momentum.	Cliques, alienation, lack of psychological safety, hostile competition.	Directing collective relational energy toward collaborative task execution.
It (Theme)	Knowledge transfer, output generation, analytical problem solving.	Dogmatism, mechanical intellectualism, detachment from practice.	Purposeless socialisation, cognitive drift, failure to achieve learning mandates.	Translating dry subject matter into living, localised themes that challenge the individual.
Globe (Context)	Temporal, physical, cultural, and institutional boundary	Paralysing external fatalism, obsession with environmental constraints.	Contextual irrelevance, failure of real-world transfer, disruption	Explicitly acknowledging systemic limitations and adjusting

	conditions.		from outside stress.	workshop pacing to environmental reality.

Operational Axiomatics: Methodical Postulates and the Micro-Sociology of Auxiliary Rules

TCI converts its philosophical axioms into operational reality through two methodical postulates and an integrated system of auxiliary interactional rules. These principles govern group communication and regulate energy distribution during the workshop. The Chairperson Postulate demands that every participant act as the chairperson of themselves. Rather than treating the facilitator as an authoritarian instructor who dictates engagement, breaks, and learning depth, participants must monitor their own intellectual, affective, and physical capacities. Participants are challenged to perceive themselves, others, and the environment in their possibilities and limits, balancing internal desires (I want) with external imperatives (I should).[5]

Adult learners are expected to articulate personal boundaries and treat their immediate experience as an invitation to make conscious decisions. This postulate strips adult learners of the regressive passivity that is routinely induced by conventional lecture-style formats. The Disturbance Postulate establishes that disruptions and passionate involvements take precedence. Conventional workshop management views interruptions—such as an exhausted participant, cognitive confusion, or an interpersonal argument—as distractions that must be suppressed to stay on schedule.

TCI operates on the psychoanalytic principle that unvoiced disturbances tie up psychic and emotional energy. An unaddressed conflict or systemic distraction quietly siphons the group's cognitive attention. By granting explicit priority to disturbances, the facilitator invites the group to identify, analyse, and resolve the friction, thereby clearing emotional space so that participants can return to the task with renewed focus and trust. The auxiliary rules of TCI function as micro-sociological norms designed to safeguard psychological safety, authentic dialogue, and personal accountability. These rules are applied with diplomatic flexibility rather than rigid dogmatism.[6]

Participants are instructed to represent themselves by speaking in the I form rather than hiding behind generalising pronouns such as we, one, or people. This linguistic discipline prevents individuals from masking personal opinions as collective consensus and demands ownership of subjective claims. When asking questions, participants are required to state the underlying motive and personal meaning behind the inquiry, converting defensive cross-examinations into transparent, authentic human exchanges.

Selective authenticity encourages individuals to be completely truthful in what they choose to share while respecting their own boundaries and timing, meaning they are never compelled to disclose everything. Group members practice restraint regarding interpretations of peers, speaking instead of their personal emotional and cognitive reactions to another person's behaviour rather than diagnosing the other person's motivations. Side discussions take precedence because informal whispered exchanges signal that a matter of urgent importance has been provoked that cannot find voice in the broader forum. Finally, the rule of one speaker at a time, reinforced by sustained attention to non-verbal body language, ensures deep listening and honours the concentrated mutual interest that holds a group together.

Core Pedagogical Specialities: Deconstructing the Living Workshop

The practical architecture of a TCI workshop integrates concrete pedagogical structures that distinguish it from standard seminars. These elements transform an ordinary meeting room into a vibrant space for experiential living learning.

Subject to Theme: The Re-Engineering of Curricular Content

In standard corporate and academic training, the curriculum is structured around subjects, which are abstract, cognitive classifications of information such as conflict management, performance management, or strategic leadership. TCI systematically converts the academic subject into a living, personalised, and localised theme. A theme is an evocative, focused proposition or open-ended inquiry that links external content to the internal reality of the participants. By framing the task around direct human experience, the theme bridges systemic demands and individual relevance.

TCI structures thematic progression across three developmental categories. Exploring themes are deployed during initial phases to help participants establish interpersonal relationships, discover mutual interests, and build foundational safety within the learning space. Experiencing themes emphasise awareness in the present moment, examining group dynamics and self-perception as they unfold during the session. Experimenting themes focus on increasing effectiveness, testing innovative ideas to overcome persistent operational problems, and fostering creative risk-taking in a supportive environment.

The Participant-Leader Construct

Conventional leadership bifurcates the room into an authoritative expert who lectures and passive participants who consume information. TCI dismantles this hierarchy through the concept of the participant-leader.[7] The designated facilitator retains structural authority over temporal boundaries, safety guidelines,

and thematic pacing, but intentionally participates in the group's shared human experience. Simultaneously, every group member is elevated to the status of a co-leader. If the room drifts off-topic, loses energy, or overlooks an unresolved tension, the responsibility for intervening does not rest solely with the trainer; participants are empowered to guide the group back on track.[8]

Facilitator Mode	Primary Operational Focus	Typical Facilitative Intervention	Impact on Group Dynamics
Manager Mode	Structural boundaries, scheduling, physical setup, safety protocols.	Setting time limits, adjusting the circle, reiterating procedural ground rules.	Provides containment and reduces ambient anxiety regarding logistical ambiguity.
Expert Mode	Thematic input, conceptual models, technical clarification.	Delivering theoretical frameworks or demonstrating specific methodological tools.	Anchors the group with shared intellectual reference points and conceptual clarity.
Process-Conscious Mode	Systemic observation, emergent group dynamics, dynamic balance.	Pausing discussion to name unvoiced tensions or inviting reflections on interpersonal dynamics.	Encourages self-regulation and empowers participants to assume shared leadership.

To navigate these dynamics, the facilitator operates fluidly across three complementary roles:

Process Analysis: Planning, Execution, and Reflection

A standard workshop follows a rigid itinerary regardless of group momentum. In contrast, a living TCI workshop operates as a continuous learning loop across three deliberate phases. During planning, the facilitator constructs a structured roadmap informed by contextual intelligence, participant profiling, and thematic formulation. During execution, the facilitator maintains situational awareness, balancing the four factors in real time and adjusting methods based on immediate group energy. During reflection, the cohort engages in systematic process analysis, reviewing the session to evaluate not only what was decided, but how the group worked together to reach those conclusions.

Embodied and Contextual Learning Tools

The physical and structural environment in TCI is purposefully arranged to dismantle corporate hierarchies and encourage genuine engagement. Chairs are arranged in an unbroken circle without tables or podiums, ensuring equal visibility, mutual exposure, and democratic dialogue among all participants and facilitators. Thematic games and physical energisers are introduced not as trivial pastimes, but as purposeful tools to transition group energy, lower interpersonal defences, and embody conceptual themes. Role plays and simulations bring real-world workplace dilemmas into the room, enabling participants to experiment with alternative behaviours within a safe container. Furthermore, real-world case studies, local narratives, and field excursions connect classroom learning directly to external organisational and community realities.

Educational Growth Versus Clinical Therapy

While TCI has deep psychoanalytic roots, it is distinct from group psychotherapy. Clinical group therapy focuses primarily on regressive personality reconstruction, deep transference analysis, and psychological pathology.TCI, by contrast, is an educational, growth-oriented methodology that focuses on conscious communication, professional development, and social learning. Nevertheless, TCI enforces strict group confidentiality and validates affective and emotional data alongside cognitive analysis, ensuring participants can process vulnerable organisational truths without turning the workshop into a clinical treatment setting.

Comparative Paradigm Analysis: TCI versus Conventional Training Modalities

To clarify the operational specialities of TCI, it is useful to evaluate its methodology against conventional corporate training seminars and sensitivity or encounter groups

Analytical Dimension	**Conventional Corporate Training**	**Sensitivity / Encounter Groups (T-Groups)**	**Theme-Centered Interaction (TCI) Workshop**
Primary Organising Core	The Syllabus / Task (*It-dominant*).	Interpersonal Dynamics / Catharsis (*I-We* dominant).	Dynamic Balance of *I, We, It*, and *Globe*.
Role of the Leader	Authoritative Subject Matter Expert /	Passive, Non-directive Observer / Catalyst.	Participant-Leader balancing manager, expert, and process

	Lecturer.		roles.
Treatment of Disturbances	Suppression or redirection to preserve schedule.	Amplified for emotional and diagnostic value.	Addressed with priority to clear energy and refocus on the theme.
Affective & Emotional Data	Minimised as unprofessional or disruptive.	Treated as the primary vehicle of engagement.	Validated as essential diagnostic data alongside cognitive input.
Physical Architecture	Classroom rows, tables, frontal podium orientation.	Unstructured, free-form, close-contact spacing.	Unbroken circle ensuring equity, visibility, and shared focus.
Handling of Environment (Globe)	Ignored or treated as a static operational container.	Deliberately walled off to form a retreat bubble.	Actively analysed and integrated into thematic problem-solving.
Psychological Safety vs. Risk	High cognitive safety; low personal engagement.	Low boundaries; high emotional vulnerability and risk of regression.	Balanced via explicit auxiliary rules and authentic selectivity.
Durability of Practical Transfer	Weak; theoretical concepts fade without emotional grounding.	Weak; emotional intensity fails to map onto corporate task realities.	Strong; experiential integration anchors durable organisational habits.

Analysing these training formats highlights how single-factor dominance inevitably degrades group efficacy. When corporate training fixates exclusively on the task (It), it provokes passive resistance: participants check out mentally, multitask on their laptops, and comply without genuine engagement. The absence of an outlet for the individual (I) and the group (We) breeds disengagement and poor knowledge retention.[9]

Conversely, when encounter groups or unstructured team retreats abandon the task to dwell entirely on interpersonal friction, they often fall into emotional regression. Without an objective task to ground the dialogue, participants can become overly self-focused, leaving the retreat with intense emotional

experiences that fail to translate into everyday work.TCI's dynamic balance resolves this problem. The theme anchors the group, preventing the emotional vulnerability of the individual and the group from devolving into aimless personal exposure. At the same time, giving priority to disturbances guarantees that unspoken resentment, confusion, or intellectual exhaustion will not quietly derail task execution.By accounting for the Globe, TCI ensures the learning remains grounded in real-world institutional constraints, rather than floating in an artificial classroom vacuum.[10]

Systemic Implications for Modern Organisational and Educational Ecosystems

The broader implications of the TCI model extend far beyond the perimeter of the workshop room. When applied across organisational life, its mechanics cultivate lasting operational capacities and systemic resilience. Modern organisations operate within volatile, uncertain, complex, and ambiguous environments. Traditional leadership training typically offers simplified, rigid recipes that quickly crumble under real-world pressure. TCI builds contextual intelligence by continuously balancing the Globe against immediate team dynamics and operational goals. Participants learn to read external signals and adapt in real time, developing the capacity to navigate institutional politics, regulatory shifts, and cross-cultural differences without losing sight of their core mission.

In bureaucratic systems, employees often adopt a posture of learned helplessness, waiting for leadership to solve problems and deferring personal judgment. The Chairperson Postulate directly challenges this passivity. When professionals are trained to act as their own chairpersons, they stop waiting for external permission. They learn to recognise when their personal boundaries are being breached, raise hidden issues before they escalate into crises, and take proactive ownership of collective challenges. This shift distributes leadership throughout the organisation, building resilient teams capable of self-correcting under stress.

Many workplace cultures still view emotional responses—anxiety, frustration, exhaustion, enthusiasm—as unprofessional noise to be hidden. TCI treats emotions as valuable information. Frustration often points to an unrealistic deadline or flawed process; anxiety may highlight an unacknowledged risk; withdrawal can signal interpersonal conflict or ethical discomfort. By teaching teams to process these signals through structured, low-risk guidelines such as I-statements and authentic selectivity, TCI helps organisations catch operational bottlenecks before they cause burnout or project failure.

Synthesis and Strategic Outlook

Theme-Centered Interaction transforms workshop design by showing that task performance, personal well-being, and group cohesion are fundamentally complementary. A TCI workshop rejects the false trade-off between task-driven productivity and human-centred psychological safety.

Conventional training models remain trapped in a transmission mindset: an instructor broadcasts static content, learners receive it passively, and knowledge retention rapidly fragments once participants return to their desks. TCI replaces this pipeline with an interactive living-learning system. By maintaining dynamic balance across the individual, group, task, and environment, fostering participative co-leadership, prioritising disturbances, and grounding curricula in living themes, TCI turns professional training into a durable practice of collaborative problem-solving. In an era marked by distributed teams, organisational fatigue, and increasing operational complexity, TCI remains an indispensable pedagogical methodology for cultivating humane, resilient, and high-performing learning organisations.

References

1. Cohn, R. C. (2009). Peepholes: About the life history of TCI and Ruth C. Cohn. In M. A. Kuebel & C. T. Abraham (Eds.), *Living learning* (p. 78). Ripples Forum for Creative Interventions.

2. Scharer, M. (2019). Theme-Centered Interaction by Ruth C. Cohn: An introduction. In S. Meyerhuber, H. Reiser, & M. Scharer (Eds.), *Theme-Centered Interaction (TCI) in higher education: A didactic approach for sustainable and living learning* (p. 65). Springer Nature.

3. Kubel, M. A. (2009). TCI in a nutshell. In M. A. Kuebel & C. T. Abraham (Eds.), *Living learning* (p. 23). Ripples Forum for Creative Interventions.

4. Raiser, H., Loeken, H., Lotz, W., Menzel, B., & Huber, C. (2019). Concrete methods and case experiences with Theme Centred Interaction. In S. Meyerhuber, H. Reiser, & M. Scharer (Eds.), *Theme-Centered Interaction (TCI) in higher education: A didactic approach for sustainable and living learning* (p. 100). Springer Nature.

5. Cohn, R. C. (2009). Peepholes: About the life history of TCI and Ruth C. Cohn. In M. A. Kuebel & C. Thomas. Abraham (Eds.), *Living learning* (p. 83). Ripples Forum for Creative Interventions.

6. Cohn, R. C. (2009). Peepholes: About the life history of TCI and Ruth C. Cohn. In M. A. Kuebel & C. T. Abraham (Eds.), *Living learning* (p. 86). Ripples Forum for Creative Interventions.

7. Meyerhuber, S. (2019). Theme-Centered Interaction for education leaders. In S. Meyerhuber, H. Reiser, & M. Scharer (Eds.), *Theme-Centered Interaction (TCI) in higher education: A didactic approach for sustainable and living learning* (p. 26). Springer Nature.

8. Stollberg, D. (2009). I am leading, you are leading-who is leading? The concept of leading in TCI. In M. A. Kuebel & C. T. Abraham (Eds.), *Living learning* (p. 178). Ripples Forum for Creative Interventions.

9. Zachariah, M. (TCI Graduate Leader) (2026, September 11–13). *TCI process analysis workshop*. PET Institute, Nilambur, India.

10. Zachariah, M. (TCI Graduate Leader) (2026, September 11–13). *TCI process analysis workshop*. PET Institute, Nilambur, India.