The Academic
International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research

An Online Peer Reviewed / Refereed Journal
Volume 4 | Issue 10 | October 2026
ISSN: 2583-973X (Online), SJIF IF(2026): 5.6
Website: www.theacademic.in

Digital Detox: Searching for the Ideal Self

Ms. Pooja Negi

Assistant Professor, Faculty of Education, Amrapali University, Haldwani, Nainital

Mr. Avinash Sheel

Research Scholar, Department of Education, Soban Singh Jeena University, Almora

DOI : https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.24394968

ARTICLE DETAILS

Research Paper

Received: 27-10-2026

Accepted: 02-11-2026

Published: 20-11-2026

Keywords:

digital detox, ideal self, digital wellbeing, social media, smartphone use, authenticity, digital minimalism

ABSTRACT

Many people today take a "digital detox," which means stepping away from phones and social media for a while. Most studies ask a simple question: does it make us healthier or happier? This paper looks at something deeper and argue that a digital detox is really about identity. People switch off their screens because they feel a gap between who they are, who they show themselves to be online, and who they want to become. To explain this, the paper uses ideas from psychology, sociology and media studies, such as self-discrepancy theory, self-determination theory and social comparison. It then goes through what research has found about detox. The findings are mixed: some studies show small benefits, some show none, and the effects often fade. It also discusses the criticisms of detox, including the fact that it puts the blame on individuals while big companies design apps to grab our attention. Finally, it suggests a simple five-step approach. The main idea is that the goal should not be to escape technology. The goal should be to use technology in a way that matches the person you want to be.

Introduction

Think about how your day starts and ends. For most of us, the first thing we do is check our phone, and the last thing we do is put it down. Smartphones and social media have changed how we study, work, make

Disclaimer: The opinions, interpretations, conclusions, recommendations, analyses, and statements expressed in this research article are solely those of the author(s) and do not reflect the views, policies, or positions of the Publisher, Chief Editor, Editors, Editorial Board, Reviewers, or their affiliated institutions. The author(s) are solely responsible for ensuring the originality, authenticity, and integrity of the manuscript and for ensuring that it is free from plagiarism, AI-generated content, copyright infringement, data falsification, and any other form of academic misconduct.

friends and even think about ourselves. They give us real benefits. We can learn anything, talk to family far away, find work and join causes we care about.

But many people also feel uneasy. They get distracted easily. They compare themselves to others. They feel tired, anxious, or scared of missing out. Some feel that their time and attention are being taken from them instead of being freely spent.

One popular response is the digital detox: a planned break from digital devices or certain apps. Detox retreats, phone-free hotels, screen-time apps and self-help books have all become popular (Syvertsen, 2020). What is interesting is how people talk about their reasons. They don't just say, "I want to use my phone less." They say things like "I want to be present," "I want to feel like myself," or "I want to focus on what matters." In other words, they are talking about *who they are*.

Most research on digital detox asks whether it improves mental health, stress or focus. That is a useful question, but it misses something. Detox is popular not only because it might reduce stress. It is popular because it speaks to a deeper wish to live in a more real, focused and self-directed way. So, this paper asks: how is digital detox connected to our search for an ideal self?

Research Questions

1. How do theories about the self-explain why people want to disconnect?
2. What does research say about the effects of digital detox?
3. How might detox change the gap between who we are and who we want to be?
4. What are the limits and criticisms of digital detox?
5. What practical steps can help people match their technology use with their ideal self?

Why This Topic Matters

This topic matters for everyone, but especially for young people. Teenagers and young adults are building their identity in a world where everything they post is public, counted and saved. If we understand detox as part of identity building, and not only as a health treatment, we can design better advice and set more realistic expectations.

Research Method

This is a literature review. The author read and combined peer-reviewed articles, academic books and important theory from psychology, communication studies and sociology. Sources were chosen that (a) explain the self and motivation, (b) report experiments on reduced or stopped technology use, or (c) offer a critical view of the attention economy. No new data was collected. When study results are described, they are kept general, and readers can check the original studies in the reference list for exact numbers.

What Is a Digital Detox?

A digital detox is a period when a person chooses to stay away from digital devices, such as smartphones, or from certain services, such as social media, in order to reduce stress, focus better or feel better overall (Radtke et al., 2022; Syvertsen & Enli, 2020). Detoxes can differ in many ways:

- **How much:** giving up all devices, or just one app, or just notifications.
- **How long:** a few hours, a weekend, a week, a month, or a permanent change.
- **Where:** at home, at a retreat, in nature, or in a group challenge.
- **Why:** by personal choice, or because a school, workplace or family asked for it.
- **How:** just "staying off," or with a plan, replacement activities and reflection.

The word "detox" suggests that technology is poison and that we need to be cleaned. Scholars say this is too simple. Technology is not harmful in every case, and the poison idea turns a big social issue into a personal cleansing ritual (Syvertsen, 2020).

Related Ideas

Digital wellbeing means using technology in a way that supports, not harms, your overall wellbeing. Vanden Abeele (2020) says people always get both benefits and costs from being connected, so wellbeing comes from balancing them, not from removing one side.

Digital minimalism is an idea from Cal Newport (2019). It means using only a few carefully chosen digital tools that truly support your values, and dropping the rest. It is like detox, but meant to last.

Fear of missing out (FOMO) is the worry that other people are having great experiences without you, which makes you want to stay connected all the time (Przybylski et al., 2013).

Problematic smartphone use means using a phone in a way that feels out of control and causes problems. It is not an official medical diagnosis, and experts still debate where the line is.

The Ideal Self

The ideal self is the person you would love to be: the qualities, values, roles and goals you hope to have. The psychologist Carl Rogers (1961) said that a healthy person has closeness between how they see themselves (the self-concept), who they wish to be (the ideal self) and what they actually live through. A big gap between the real and ideal self leads to unhappiness. A small gap leads to self-acceptance and wellbeing.

In this paper, "searching for the ideal self" does not mean there is one perfect version of you. It means the ongoing process of asking: Who am I? Who could I become? How should I arrange my life, including my phone habits, to move in that direction?

Theories About the Self

Self-Discrepancy Theory: Higgins (1987) said we carry three versions of ourselves:

The actual self: who you believe you are,

The ideal self: who you wish to be,

The ought self: who you feel you should be, often because of duties or other people's expectations.

When the actual self is far from the ideal self, we feel sad and disappointed. When the actual self is far from the ought self, we feel anxious and guilty.

Here is how this fits digital life. Imagine someone who wants to be present, creative and focused (ideal self) but spends hours scrolling in short bursts (actual self). That gap hurts. Or imagine someone who feels they *should* always reply instantly (ought self) but really wants quiet time. That creates a different kind of stress. A detox can be seen as an attempt to shrink these gaps.

Possible Selves: Markus and Nurius (1986) introduced possible selves, which are the versions of ourselves we might become, want to become or fear becoming. They push us to act and help us judge who we are now. Online, we constantly see possible selves: influencers, classmates and celebrities showing perfect-looking lives. This can motivate us. But it can also raise our ideal too high and make us fear becoming a "failure."

Social Comparison: Festinger (1954) said people judge themselves by comparing with others. Social media makes this comparison huge, and it shows mostly other people's best moments. Comparing upward,

meaning to people who seem better off, can inspire us sometimes, but it often lowers self-esteem, especially when the other person's success feels out of reach (Vogel et al., 2014). So social media can make the gap between "me" and "the perfect me" feel bigger.

Self-Determination Theory: This theory says people feel well when three basic needs are met: autonomy (acting from your own choice), competence (feeling capable) and relatedness (feeling connected) (Ryan & Deci, 2000). Technology can help with competence and relatedness. But when apps are designed to make us check them again and again, we lose autonomy. We feel like we are doing something we didn't really choose. Many people detox to get that feeling of control back.

Presentation of Self: Goffman (1959) compared social life to a theatre. We have a "front stage," where we perform for others, and a "back stage," where we relax and be private. Social media mixes the two. The audience is big and permanent, so people feel pressure to keep up a polished image at all times. A detox is like walking back stage, where the performance can pause.

Putting It Together: These ideas tell us that the self is (a) always comparing, (b) social, (c) driven by needs for control, skill and connection, and (d) performed for an audience. Digital media makes every one of these stronger. So, a digital detox is not only about devices. It is a reaction to a new environment where we build our identity.

How the Digital World Shapes the Self

- **The Curated Self:** Online profiles are selective. We choose the best photos, the best words and the best news. This makes our online self-closer to the ideal than to the real self. It can feel good when people respond, but it can also create a gap between our online persona and our real life, and a feeling that we must keep up the act.
- **Approval in Numbers:** Likes, views, followers and streaks turn approval into numbers. When our sense of worth depends partly on those numbers, our ideal self can drift away from what we truly value toward whatever gets attention.
- **Broken Attention:** Notifications and endless content divide our attention. Research suggests that even having your phone nearby, without using it, can reduce your thinking capacity (Ward et al., 2017). Sherry Turkle (2011, 2015) argues that constant distraction weakens our ability to be alone with our thoughts and to have deep conversations, both of which we need to develop a stable sense of self. Nicholas Carr (2010) also argues that the internet is training us to skim instead of think deeply.

- **Speed and Burnout:** The sociologist Hartmut Rosa (2013) describes modern life as speeding up all the time, so we feel we must run faster just to stay in place. Byung-Chul Han (2015) says that today we no longer need a boss to push us; we push ourselves until we are exhausted. Being always online supports this, because work and social duties follow us everywhere. Disconnecting looks attractive as a way of stepping out of the race.
- **FOMO and Constant Connection:** Przybylski et al. (2013) found that FOMO is linked to less satisfaction of basic psychological needs, and to more social media use, even in risky situations like driving. FOMO shows a clash between the ought self ("I should stay in the loop") and the ideal self ("I want to be present where I am").
- **The Good Side**: It is only fair to say that digital media also brings good things: a place to explore identity, communities for people who feel alone, creative expression and support networks. Orben and Przybylski (2019) studied very large datasets and found that the link between technology use and teen wellbeing is real but very small. So, we should be careful about big claims that technology is harmful. What matters is *how*, *why* and *by whom* it is used. Any talk about the ideal self in the digital age must think about the quality and purpose of use, and not only the amount.

Digital Detox: Where It Comes From

Worries about too much media are not new. Every major technology, from books to television, made people fear distraction. What is special about digital detox is that it grew up together with the platforms it criticizes, and that it is often sold as a product. Syvertsen and Enli (2020) call it a kind of *media resistance* that gets its appeal from a promise of authenticity. The idea is that behind the online self-there is a more real self-waiting to be found if we disconnect.

Types of Detox

- **Retreats and camps:** people hand over their devices for several days, often in nature.
- **Self-managed breaks:** for example, phone-free weekends or evenings.
- **Tech tools:** app blockers, grayscale screens, notification controls and focus modes.
- **Institutional rules:** phone limits in schools, "no after-hours email" at work, device-free family dinners.
- **Lifestyle philosophies:** digital minimalism and similar ideas for permanent change (Newport, 2019).

What People Say About Detox

Syvertsen (2020) found four repeating themes: authenticity (getting back to real life), health (cleansing and recovery), productivity (getting focus back) and moral improvement (becoming a better person through self-control). Each of these shows the detoxer trying to become a better version of themselves, which links directly to the ideal self.

Who Does It and Why

People who feel stressed, feel controlled by their phone, worry about wasted time or want deeper relationships are more likely to try a detox. Their reasons often mix practical goals (better sleep, better focus) with identity goals (being more present, being "the kind of person who..."). Detox can also be a status symbol, because being able to disconnect is easier for people whose work and life allow it.

How Detox Might Change the Gap Between the Real and Ideal Self

- **Less Comparison and Number Pressure:** Leaving your feeds removes a big source of upward comparison. In terms of self-discrepancy theory, this makes an unrealistic ideal less noticeable and can shrink the felt gap between actual and ideal self, easing sadness and disappointment (Higgins, 1987; Vogel et al., 2014).
- **Getting Control Back:** Choosing to disconnect can feel like taking control, especially after feeling pushed around by notifications. This meets the need for autonomy (Ryan & Deci, 2000). Doing what you planned can also build confidence that you are the author of your own life.
- **Room to Think:** Building an identity needs reflection, and reflection needs quiet. Turkle (2015) says being alone with our thoughts is the base for a stable self and for meaningful conversation. Less noise gives room to think about values, goals and relationships, the raw material of an ideal self.
- **Attention and Presence:** What we pay attention to becomes our lived experience. Moving attention toward people, tasks and physical activities can increase engagement and "flow". Many people describe these moments of presence as the times when their real and ideal selves feel close.
- **A Break from Performing:** Using Goffman's (1959) idea, a break from public self-presentation is a rest from managing impressions. With no audience, people can notice which parts of their online image feel truly theirs.
- **Learning What Matters by Missing It:** When you go without something, you find out what you actually miss. Some people miss certain people or tools but not others. That contrast helps separate valued uses from automatic ones, which is an important step in defining a values-based ideal self.

Limits and Criticisms of Digital Detox

Digital detox refers to intentionally reducing or temporarily avoiding the use of digital devices and online platforms. Although it may help improve concentration, sleep, and overall well-being, it also has several **limitations and criticisms**:

1. **Difficult to Implement:** Complete avoidance of digital technology is often unrealistic because smartphones, computers, and the internet are necessary for education, work, communication, and essential services.

2. **Not a Universal Solution:** Digital detox may not address the underlying causes of stress, anxiety, loneliness, or poor concentration. Simply reducing screen time may not solve these problems.

3. **Dependence on Individual Behaviour:** The effectiveness of digital detox depends heavily on an individual's motivation, self-control, lifestyle, and digital habits.

4. **Problems with the "All-or-Nothing" Approach:** Completely disconnecting from technology may be unnecessary. In many cases, **balanced and purposeful technology use** may be more beneficial than total abstinence.

5. **Social and Professional Difficulties:** Disconnecting from digital platforms can make it difficult to maintain social relationships, participate in online learning, respond to work-related communication, or access important information.

6. **Limited Scientific Evidence:** Although studies have associated excessive or problematic digital use with various negative outcomes, evidence that a short-term "digital detox" itself produces lasting improvements is still developing.

7. **Technology Is Not Always the Problem:** The impact of technology depends on **how, why, and for how long** it is used. Educational, professional, creative, and social uses can be beneficial.

Therefore, digital detox should not necessarily mean complete withdrawal from technology. A more practical approach is **digital well-being**, which emphasizes mindful, purposeful, and balanced use of digital technologies while maintaining healthy offline activities and relationships.

A Practical Plan: From Detox to Alignment

Since short breaks have uncertain and short-lived effects, a better approach is to treat detox as one step in a longer process of matching technology use with the ideal self. The five stages below combine the theory and evidence in this paper. This is a proposed idea, not a tested treatment.

Stage 1: Get Clear About Your Ideal Self

Before changing habits, know your goal. Ask yourself: What values matter most to me? Who do I want to be with my family, at work and in my free time? Which qualities (presence, creativity, calm, curiosity) do I want to grow? Write your answers down. This turns a vague dissatisfaction into clear standards. Following Markus and Nurius (1986), it can also help to name a *feared* self, but keep your ideal realistic and kind to yourself.

Stage 2: Check How You Really Use Your Phone

Look honestly at your actual self. Built-in screen-time tools or a simple diary can show when, where and why you use your devices. Separate uses that support your ideal self (video calls with family, learning, creating) from uses that feel automatic or draining. This matches the difference between active and passive use in research (Kross et al., 2013).

Stage 3: Try Small Experiments

Instead of quitting everything at once, try structured experiments:

- Check email and messages at set times (Kushlev & Dunn, 2015).
- Keep the phone out of the bedroom and out of sight when you work (Ward et al., 2017).
- Turn off notifications you don't need.
- Remove or limit one comparison-heavy app for a few weeks (Hunt et al., 2018).
- Plan a longer break, like a weekend, if possible.

Treat them as experiments and note what changes in your mood, focus and relationships.

Stage 4: Replace, Don't Just Remove

When you stop something, a gap appears, and old habits will try to fill it. Prepare replacement activities that also support your ideal self: exercise, reading, hobbies, time in nature, meeting people face to face. In self-determination terms, good replacements meet your needs for autonomy, competence and connection (Ryan & Deci, 2000).

Stage 5: Return With Intention and Review

After the break, bring technology back on purpose. Keep only what passes a "does this fit my values?" test, as Newport (2019) suggests. Review from time to time: Is my use still on track? Has my ideal self-changed?

Adjust as life changes. Ask friends, family or colleagues to join you, since shared rules make change easier to keep.

Tips for Different Groups

- **Students:** protect study and sleep time, use focus modes, and agree on group norms with friends.
- **Working people:** discuss clear expectations about availability and support workplace policies.
- **Parents and teachers**: model the behaviour you want to see, and let young people help set the rules, which supports their autonomy.
- **People whose heavy use is linked to distress:** consider talking to a mental health professional.

Discussion

Bringing Theory and Evidence Together: The theories explain why detox appeals: it targets the things (comparison, loss of control, broken attention, constant performance) that can widen the gap between the real and ideal self in digital life. But the evidence shows that benefits are small, uneven and often short. These two facts fit together once we see that a detox is a *chance*, not a cure. The chance is to reflect, experiment and reset. Whether it leads to lasting change depends on what happens next.

Changing the Goal: The main argument of this paper is that the goal should move from disconnection to alignment. The ideal self is not a person without devices. It is a person whose use of devices shows their values. For some people this will mean a big reduction. For others it will mean using technology more purposefully, to create, learn and connect. This view avoids the poison metaphor, respects technology's real benefits and lowers the risk of perfectionism.

What This Means for Different People:

- **Individuals:** treat detox as an experiment in knowing yourself, with realistic expectations and kindness toward setbacks.
- **Counsellors and clinicians:** talk about technology in terms of values and purpose, not only screen time, and look for deeper difficulties.
- **Teachers and parents:** support shared, autonomy-friendly rules and digital literacy, including an understanding of how platforms are designed.
- **Policy makers and designers:** willpower alone cannot beat engagement-focused design. Consider transparency, better default settings and limits on manipulative features.

Limitations of This Paper:

This is a narrative review, not a systematic or statistical one, so the choice of sources reflects the author's own judgment. Much of the research uses Western, young and student samples, so it may not apply everywhere. Definitions of detox and measures of wellbeing differ across studies. The model and plan in this paper are theoretical and have not been tested.

Ideas for Future Research:

- **Measure the self directly:** studies that check the gap between actual and ideal self before and after a detox.
- **Long-term follow-up:** tracking people for months to see what lasts.
- **Type of use:** experiments comparing passive scrolling with active use.
- **More cultures:** research in South Asia, Africa, Latin America and other under-studied regions.
- **Group solutions:** testing school, workplace and family policies, not only individual abstinence.
- **Vulnerable groups:** effects and risks for people with existing mental health conditions.
- **Better measures:** combining self-reports with device logs and physical measures.

Conclusion

Digital detox is often presented as a simple cure for the problems of a hyper-connected world. This paper has argued that it is better seen as a search for identity: a way of trying to close the distance between who we are, who we want to be and who we feel we should be. Theories of self-discrepancy, possible selves, social comparison, self-determination and self-presentation explain why digital life can widen that distance, and why stepping back can feel freeing.

But the research asks us to be careful. Reviews find mixed results, small studies and benefits that fade. Critics warn that detox puts the blame on individuals, sells authenticity as a product and depends on the doubtful idea of a "true self" from before technology. A wise approach neither demonizes technology nor romanticizes disconnection. It treats technology as a set of environments to choose on purpose, and treats the ideal self as a flexible, kind direction to walk in, not a fixed destination.

In the end, the search for the ideal self in the digital age is a search for agency: the power to decide where our attention goes, and to make that choice reflect what we value. A digital detox can be a good first step, as long as it leads neither back to the same old habits nor to running away from the connected world, but to a more intentional way of living within it.

References

- Allcott, H., Braghieri, L., Eichmeyer, S., & Gentzkow, M. (2020). The welfare effects of social media. *American Economic Review, 110*(3), 629–676.
- Brailovskaia, J., Ströse, F., Schillack, H., & Margraf, J. (2020). Less Facebook use – More well-being and a healthier lifestyle? An experimental intervention study. *Computers in Human Behavior, 108*, 106332.
- Brown, L., & Kuss, D. J. (2020). Fear of missing out, mental wellbeing, and social connectedness: A seven-day social media abstinence trial. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 17*(12), 4566.
- Carr, N. (2010). *The shallows: What the Internet is doing to our brains.* W. W. Norton.
- Csikszentmihalyi, M. (1990). *Flow: The psychology of optimal experience.* Harper & Row.
- Festinger, L. (1954). A theory of social comparison processes. *Human Relations, 7*(2), 117–140.
- Goffman, E. (1959). *The presentation of self in everyday life.* Doubleday.
- Han, B.-C. (2015). *The burnout society.* Stanford University Press.
- Higgins, E. T. (1987). Self-discrepancy: A theory relating self and affect. *Psychological Review, 94*(3), 319–340.
- Hunt, M. G., Marx, R., Lipson, C., & Young, J. (2018). No more FOMO: Limiting social media decreases loneliness and depression. *Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology, 37*(10), 751–768.
- Kross, E., Verduyn, P., Demiralp, E., Park, J., Lee, D. S., Lin, N., Shablack, H., Jonides, J., & Ybarra, O. (2013). Facebook use predicts declines in subjective well-being in young adults. *PLoS ONE, 8*(8), e69841.
- Kushlev, K., & Dunn, E. W. (2015). Checking email less frequently reduces stress. *Computers in Human Behavior, 43*, 220–228.
- Markus, H., & Nurius, P. (1986). Possible selves. *American Psychologist, 41*(9), 954–969.
- Newport, C. (2019). *Digital minimalism: Choosing a focused life in a noisy world.* Portfolio/Penguin.
- Orben, A., & Przybylski, A. K. (2019). The association between adolescent well-being and digital technology use. *Nature Human Behaviour, 3*(2), 173–182.
- Przybylski, A. K., Murayama, K., DeHaan, C. R., & Gladwell, V. (2013). Motivational, emotional, and behavioral correlates of fear of missing out. *Computers in Human Behavior, 29*(4), 1841–1848.

- Radtke, T., Apel, T., Schenkel, K., Keller, J., & von Lindern, E. (2022). Digital detox: An effective solution in the smartphone era? A systematic literature review. *Mobile Media & Communication, 10*(2), 190–215.
- Rogers, C. R. (1961). *On becoming a person: A therapist's view of psychotherapy.* Houghton Mifflin.
- Rosa, H. (2013). *Social acceleration: A new theory of modernity.* Columbia University Press.
- Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2000). Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation, social development, and well-being. *American Psychologist, 55*(1), 68–78.
- Syvertsen, T. (2020). *Digital detox: The politics of disconnecting.* Emerald Publishing.
- Syvertsen, T., & Enli, G. (2020). Digital detox: Media resistance and the promise of authenticity. *Convergence, 26*(5–6), 1269–1283.
- Turkle, S. (2011). *Alone together: Why we expect more from technology and less from each other.* Basic Books.
- Turkle, S. (2015). *Reclaiming conversation: The power of talk in a digital age.* Penguin Press.
- Twenge, J. M. (2017). *iGen: Why today's super-connected kids are growing up less rebellious, more tolerant, less happy, and completely unprepared for adulthood.* Atria Books.
- Vanden Abeele, M. M. P. (2020). Digital wellbeing as a dual-process phenomenon: Toward a media effects perspective on digital wellbeing. *Mass Communication and Society, 23*(6), 932–955. *(Verify exact title/pagination before citing.)*
- Vogel, E. A., Rose, J. P., Roberts, L. R., & Eckles, K. (2014). Social comparison, social media, and self-esteem. *Psychology of Popular Media Culture, 3*(4), 206–222.
- Ward, A. F., Duke, K., Gneezy, A., & Bos, M. W. (2017). Brain drain: The mere presence of one's own smartphone reduces available cognitive capacity. *Journal of the Association for Consumer Research, 2*(2), 140–154.
- Wilcockson, T. D. W., Osborne, A. M., & Ellis, D. A. (2019). Digital detox: The effect of smartphone abstinence on mood, anxiety, and cognition. *Computers in Human Behavior, 99*, 317–323.
- Zuboff, S. (2019). *The age of surveillance capitalism: The fight for a human future at the new frontier of power.* PublicAffairs.