The Academic
International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research

An Online Peer Reviewed / Refereed Journal
Volume 4 | Issue 10 | October 2026
ISSN: 2583-973X (Online), SJIF IF(2026): 5.6
Website: www.theacademic.in

# Access to Justice and Free Legal Aid in India: A Constitutional Perspective

**Rishita Ghosh**

**Vaibhav Mishra**

Programme: B.A. LL.B., Institution: Indore Institute of Law, Indore, Madhya Pradesh

Research Area: Constitutional Law, Human Rights and Legal Aid

**DOI : https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.24416300**

**ARTICLE DETAILS**

**Research Paper**

**Received:** 27-10-2026

**Accepted:** 02-11-2026

**Published:** 20-11-2026

**Keywords:**

*Access to Justice; Free Legal Aid; Article 21; Article 39A; Constitutional Rights; Legal Services Authorities Act; Human Rights.*

**ABSTRACT**

Access to justice is an essential component of a democratic society and a fundamental requirement for protecting individual rights and maintaining the rule of law. In India, the Constitution guarantees equality before the law and the right to life and personal liberty. However, economic inequality, lack of legal awareness, procedural delays and the high cost of litigation often prevent disadvantaged sections of society from accessing justice. Article 39A directs the State to provide free legal aid and ensure that opportunities for securing justice are not denied because of economic or other disabilities. This paper examines the constitutional framework governing access to justice and free legal aid in India, particularly Articles 14, 21 and 39A. It analyses the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987, and judicial decisions that have strengthened the right to legal representation. It also identifies practical challenges and suggests measures to make justice more accessible, affordable and effective.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Justice is one of the foundational principles of the Indian constitutional system. The Preamble to the Constitution promises social, economic and political justice to all citizens. That promise cannot be

Disclaimer: The opinions, interpretations, conclusions, recommendations, analyses, and statements expressed in this research article are solely those of the author(s) and do not reflect the views, policies, or positions of the Publisher, Chief Editor, Editors, Editorial Board, Reviewers, or their affiliated institutions. The author(s) are solely responsible for ensuring the originality, authenticity, and integrity of the manuscript and for ensuring that it is free from plagiarism, AI-generated content, copyright infringement, data falsification, and any other form of academic misconduct.

meaningful if individuals are unable to approach courts because of poverty, illiteracy, social discrimination or financial limitations.

Access to justice means that every individual should have a fair opportunity to understand, protect and enforce legal rights through an effective justice system. It includes access to courts, affordable legal representation, fair procedures and timely resolution of disputes.

In India, economically weaker persons and other disadvantaged groups may face serious barriers in obtaining legal assistance. The constitutional framework responds to these inequalities through guarantees of equality and personal liberty, alongside the specific direction in Article 39A to provide free legal aid. Free legal aid is therefore not merely a welfare measure; it is an instrument for achieving constitutional equality and social justice.

## 2. RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

1. To examine constitutional provisions relating to access to justice in India.
2. To understand the importance of free legal aid as an instrument of social justice.
3. To analyse the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987.
4. To examine the contribution of the judiciary in strengthening free legal aid.
5. To identify major challenges in implementing legal aid programmes.
6. To suggest measures for improving access to justice.

## 3. RESEARCH QUESTIONS

7. Is free legal aid an essential component of the right to life and personal liberty under Article 21?
8. How does Article 39A promote equal access to justice?
9. What role does the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987 play in providing legal assistance?
10. What are the major obstacles affecting the effective implementation of free legal aid in India?

## 4. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This paper adopts a doctrinal method of legal research. It is based primarily on secondary sources, including constitutional provisions, legislation, judicial decisions, books, legal articles and institutional publications. The study examines the relationship between constitutional guarantees and statutory mechanisms for providing free legal assistance in India.

## 5. CONSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK FOR ACCESS TO JUSTICE

### 5.1 Article 14 – Equality Before Law

Article 14 guarantees equality before the law and equal protection of the laws to every person within the territory of India. It establishes that no individual should face discrimination in the administration of justice. Free legal aid supports this principle by helping financially disadvantaged individuals obtain legal representation.

### 5.2 Article 21 – Right to Life and Personal Liberty

Article 21 provides that no person shall be deprived of life or personal liberty except according to the procedure established by law. The Supreme Court has interpreted this provision to require fair, just and reasonable procedure. Speedy trial and effective legal assistance are important to ensuring that criminal proceedings are fair, particularly when an accused person cannot afford a lawyer.

### 5.3 Article 22(1) – Protection Against Arrest and Detention

Article 22(1) recognises the right of an arrested person to consult and be defended by a legal practitioner of their choice. It reflects the importance of legal representation in protecting individual liberty and ensuring fairness during criminal proceedings.

### 5.4 Article 39A – Equal Justice and Free Legal Aid

Article 39A was inserted by the Constitution (Forty-second Amendment) Act, 1976. It directs the State to ensure that the legal system promotes justice on the basis of equal opportunity and to provide free legal aid through suitable legislation, schemes or other means. As a Directive Principle of State Policy, it is not independently enforceable in the same manner as a Fundamental Right; nevertheless, it is fundamental to governance and guides the State in framing policies. It expresses the constitutional commitment that poverty should not become a barrier to justice.

## 6. LEGAL SERVICES AUTHORITIES ACT, 1987

The Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987 establishes a statutory framework for providing free and competent legal services to eligible sections of society. The Act came into force on 9 November 1995 and provides for legal services institutions at national, state, district and taluk levels.

- National Legal Services Authority (NALSA).

- State Legal Services Authorities (SLSA).
- District Legal Services Authorities (DLSA).
- Taluk Legal Services Committees.

### 6.1 Section 12 – Criteria for Providing Legal Services

Section 12 identifies categories of persons entitled to legal services, subject to the statutory conditions. These include members of Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes; victims of trafficking or begar; women and children; persons with disabilities; victims of mass disasters, ethnic violence, caste atrocities, floods, droughts and industrial disasters; industrial workmen; persons in custody; and persons whose annual income is below the prescribed limit.

### 6.2 Section 13 – Entitlement to Legal Services

Section 13 provides that persons satisfying the eligibility criteria under Section 12 are entitled to legal services where the concerned authority is satisfied that there is a prima facie case to prosecute or defend.

### 6.3 Lok Adalats

Sections 19 to 22E provide the statutory framework for Lok Adalats and Permanent Lok Adalats. Lok Adalats facilitate settlement through compromise and help reduce the burden on courts by offering a comparatively simple and less expensive dispute-resolution mechanism.

## 7. LANDMARK JUDICIAL DECISIONS

### 7.1 Hussainara Khatoon v. State of Bihar (1979)

Citation: (1980) 1 SCC 98. The Supreme Court highlighted the plight of undertrial prisoners who had remained in jail for long periods without trial. The Court recognised speedy trial as an essential component of Article 21. The decision demonstrated that justice cannot be meaningful without addressing unreasonable delays in criminal proceedings.

### 7.2 M.H. Hoskot v. State of Maharashtra (1978)

Citation: (1978) 3 SCC 544. The Supreme Court emphasised the importance of legal assistance for an accused person who is unable to secure representation because of poverty or other disabilities. The judgment strengthened the connection between fair procedure under Article 21 and effective legal representation.

### 7.3 Khatri (II) v. State of Bihar (1981)

Citation: (1981) 1 SCC 627. The Supreme Court clarified that the constitutional obligation to provide free legal services arises when the accused is first produced before a magistrate or at an appropriate stage when the need arises. The State cannot avoid this responsibility by relying upon its financial limitations.

### 7.4 Suk Das v. Union Territory of Arunachal Pradesh (1986)

Citation: (1986) 2 SCC 401. The Supreme Court held that free legal assistance is an essential component of a fair procedure under Article 21. It also emphasised that an accused person should be informed of their entitlement to free legal aid. Failure to provide such assistance in an appropriate case can invalidate the trial.

### 7.5 Anokhilal v. State of Madhya Pradesh (2019)

Citation: (2019) 20 SCC 196. The Supreme Court emphasised effective legal representation, particularly in serious criminal cases involving severe punishment. The decision highlighted that merely appointing a lawyer is insufficient; adequate opportunity and preparation must also be ensured.

## 8. MAJOR CHALLENGES IN IMPLEMENTING FREE LEGAL AID

11. **Lack of legal awareness:** Many individuals are unaware of their legal rights and the availability of free legal assistance.
12. **Shortage of legal aid lawyers:** In some areas, the number of competent legal aid lawyers is insufficient compared with demand.
13. **Quality of representation:** Some beneficiaries may not receive adequate consultation, preparation or representation.
14. **Procedural delays:** Long proceedings and repeated adjournments can discourage economically weaker individuals from pursuing remedies.
15. **Rural accessibility:** People in remote villages may face difficulties reaching legal services institutions.
16. **Digital divide:** Online legal services may remain inaccessible to people without internet connectivity, digital literacy or suitable devices.

## 9. SUGGESTIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

17. **Legal awareness programmes:** Schools, colleges, universities and local institutions should organise legal literacy camps.

18. **Strengthening legal aid institutions:** District and Taluk Legal Services Authorities should receive adequate infrastructure and resources.
19. **Improving quality of representation:** Legal aid advocates should receive regular training and professional support.
20. **Technology-based assistance:** Online consultations, legal information portals and virtual legal aid clinics should be expanded alongside accessible offline alternatives.
21. **Monitoring and accountability:** Legal services authorities should regularly evaluate the quality and effectiveness of legal representation.
22. **Law school participation:** Law colleges should establish legal aid clinics where students, under faculty supervision, can assist communities through legal awareness and permitted support activities.
23. **Special attention to vulnerable groups:** Women, children, persons with disabilities, prisoners and marginalised communities should receive accessible and timely legal assistance.

## 10. FINDINGS

The study establishes that access to justice is closely connected with constitutional equality and the protection of personal liberty. Article 14 ensures equality before the law, Article 21 protects life and personal liberty, and Article 39A provides the constitutional direction for equal justice and free legal aid. The Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987 supplies an institutional mechanism for implementing this objective.

Judicial decisions have strengthened the position that free legal assistance is an important element of fair procedure, particularly where an accused person cannot afford representation. However, the effectiveness of legal aid depends not only on its availability but also on the quality, accessibility and accountability of the services provided.

## 11. CONCLUSION

Access to justice is the foundation of a democratic and constitutional society. The promise of equality before the law remains incomplete if financially disadvantaged individuals cannot approach courts or defend their legal rights. The Constitution recognises this reality through Articles 14, 21 and 39A, while the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987 provides an institutional framework for translating these principles into practical legal assistance.

The Supreme Court, through several landmark judgments, has strengthened the understanding that legal aid is an essential aspect of fair procedure and constitutional justice. Nevertheless, legal aid must go beyond

the formal appointment of lawyers. It must ensure competent representation, awareness, accessibility and timely assistance.

***Justice must not depend upon the financial capacity of an individual; it must remain equally accessible to all.***

## 12. REFERENCES AND BIBLIOGRAPHY

### A. Constitutional Provisions

24. Constitution of India, 1950, arts 14, 21, 22(1), 37 and 39A.
25. Constitution (Forty-second Amendment) Act, 1976.

### B. Legislation

26. Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987.
27. Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

### C. Judicial Decisions

28. M.H. Hoskot v. State of Maharashtra (1978) 3 SCC 544.
29. Hussainara Khatoon v. State of Bihar (1980) 1 SCC 98.
30. Khatri (II) v. State of Bihar (1981) 1 SCC 627.
31. Suk Das v. Union Territory of Arunachal Pradesh (1986) 2 SCC 401.
32. Anokhilal v. State of Madhya Pradesh (2019) 20 SCC 196.

### D. Institutional Sources

33. National Legal Services Authority (NALSA), https://nalsa.gov.in/
34. Ministry of Law and Justice, Government of India, https://lawmin.gov.in/
35. India Code, Government of India, https://www.indiacode.nic.in/