The Academic
International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research

An Online Peer Reviewed / Refereed Journal
Volume 4 | Issue 10 | October 2026
ISSN: 2583-973X (Online), SJIF IF(2026): 5.6
Website: www.theacademic.in

The 'Sweetheart' Game that Mr. Bennet Plays in *Pride and Prejudice*

Dr. Hiralal Annaji Bansod

Assistant Professor in English, Yadaorao Poshattiwar Arts College, Talodhi (Ba.)

Dist. Chandrapur – 441221, Email: hiraybansod@gmail.com

DOI : https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.24416821

ARTICLE DETAILS

Research Paper

Received: 29-10-2026

Accepted: 04-11-2026

Published: 20-11-2026

Keywords:

Eric Berne, transactional analysis, psychological games, Jane Austen, Pride and Prejudice

ABSTRACT

Jane Austen's *Pride and Prejudice* presents the marriage of Mr. and Mrs. Bennet as comic, incompatible and increasingly consequential for their daughters. Eric Berne's concept of the psychological "game," particularly the marital game "Sweetheart" in *Games People Play*, provides a useful framework for examining the pattern of their verbal exchanges. In Berne's "Sweetheart," an apparently affectionate form of address conceals a derogatory communication. The social message and the psychological message therefore operate at different levels. Mr. Bennet's repeated use of expressions such as "my dear," combined with irony, mock agreement, and calculated provocation, fairly resembles this transactional structure. Yet Austen's treatment is more complex than a straightforward illustration of Berne's model. Mrs. Bennet's anxiety about marriage has a material basis in the entail of Longbourn, while Mr. Bennet's superior intelligence does not prevent him from neglecting important parental and marital responsibilities. Reading their exchanges through Berne's game therefore reveals not merely marital incompatibility but a recurring psychological pattern in which wit, irritation, humiliation and amusement become substitutes for genuine communication.

Disclaimer: The opinions, interpretations, conclusions, recommendations, analyses, and statements expressed in this research article are solely those of the author(s) and do not reflect the views, policies, or positions of the Publisher, Chief Editor, Editors, Editorial Board, Reviewers, or their affiliated institutions. The author(s) are solely responsible for ensuring the originality, authenticity, and integrity of the manuscript and for ensuring that it is free from plagiarism, AI-generated content, copyright infringement, data falsification, and any other form of academic misconduct.

Berne's "Sweetheart" and the Double Level of Communication

A branch of psychotherapy called Transactional Analysis provides an apt framework for analysing social interaction between two or more human beings. One of the six types of interpersonal transactional structures is a game. The originator of Transactional Analysis Eric Berne defines psychological games as repeated patterns of interaction between two or more persons that involves an overt social transaction and an underlying psychological motive and leads the persons towards a recognisable outcome or "payoff" (Berne 48). In the words of James and Jongeward, those who engage in games "find themselves saying the same words in the same way, only time and place may change" (35). In the marital game "Sweetheart," Berne describes a situation in which one spouse makes a derogatory observation about the other while disguising the attack with an affectionate form of address. The apparent tenderness makes resistance difficult because the social form of the statement contradicts its psychological hostility (Berne 108–09). In Jane Austen's *Pride and Prejudice*, such transactional game pattern is observable in Mr. and Mrs. Bennet.

There is abundant criticism available that attempted to read Jane Austen's Bennet couple through psychological perspectives. George Saintsbury associates Austen herself with Mr. Bennet's pleasure in exposing foolish people, and regards such perception as an artistic strength of the novelist (xiv). Carole Moses qualifies confidence in ironic wit and identifies the description of Mr. Bennet as a philosopher as itself ironic (155–57). John Wiltshire has examined Mrs. Bennet's intrusive behaviour with her daughters and her husband's conversational traps (180–83). These discussions establish the ethical and psychological complexity of the Bennet household. The argument here concentrates on the interactional means by which marital courtesy can convey disparagement and secure amusement for its speaker.

The distinction between the social transaction and the psychological transaction is especially useful in analysing the character of Mr. Bennet in Jane Austen's *Pride and Prejudice*. His words often appear playful, polite or affectionate on the surface. At another level, however, they communicate impatience, intellectual superiority and contempt. Mrs. Bennet sometimes responds to the literal meaning of his words, but her complaint that he enjoys vexing her shows that she can also recognise his provocation (Austen 4). Austen thus places the reader in a privileged position in that the reader hears both the spoken communication and the concealed communication.

The resemblance to Berne's "Sweetheart" is not simply that Mr. Bennet calls his wife "my dear." The important point is that the affectionate expression and the hostile implication operate simultaneously in the interactions of Mr. Bennet with Mrs. Bennet. The comparison concerns this double communication, not an assumption that the Bennets reproduce every feature of Berne's psychotherapeutic example of game.

Particularly, Mrs. Bennet's protests differ from the acquiescence Berne attributes to the illustrative wife of Mr. White (109).

The Opening Conversation

Jane Austen establishes this game pattern in the very first conversation of the novel. Mrs. Bennet excitedly informs her husband that Netherfield Park has been rented by the wealthy and unmarried Mr. Bingley. Her purpose is practical and immediate. She wants Mr. Bennet to visit Bingley so that their daughters may become acquainted with him, and adds, "What a fine thing for our girls!" Though he understands her intention perfectly, instead of answering directly, however, he pretends not to understand, "How so? how can it affect them?" When Mrs. Bennet explains that she is thinking of Bingley marrying one of their daughters, Mr. Bennet continues, "Is that his design in settling here?" (Austen 3).

The humour arises from deliberate misunderstanding. Mrs. Bennet believes she is explaining an obvious social necessity, whereas Mr. Bennet converts her seriousness into entertainment. His questions can convey a belittling implication without permitting a conclusive diagnosis of either spouse. The first important element of Berne's game is provocation. Mr. Bennet does not merely respond to his wife's anxiety. He creates conditions in which that anxiety will increase. Her emotional response then provides material for his amusement. The ulterior meaning becomes clearer when Mrs. Bennet accuses him, saying, "You take delight in vexing me" (Austen 4). Her statement is remarkably perceptive. She may not fully understand his irony, but she correctly identifies its effect and perhaps even its purpose. And when she complains that he has "no compassion" on her nerves, he replies: "You mistake me, my dear. I have a high respect for your nerves. They are my old friends" (Austen 4). The phrase "my dear" speaks volumes. On the social level it belongs to affectionate marital conversation. On the psychological level the sentence mocks both Mrs. Bennet's complaint and the long history of their marriage. His apparent "respect" is the opposite of respect. Her "nerves" become old acquaintances because he has listened to the same complaint for twenty years. This is quite similar to the double communication of Berne's "Sweetheart" game. The tenderness of the address and the antagonism of the implication coexist within the same transaction.

In this opening exchange, Austen does not establish the children as witnesses. It introduces the verbal pattern of game without providing the public setting of Berne's "Sweetheart." It must be understood that the reader's recognition of irony is not evidence of an audience within the room.

The Bingley Visit

The next chapter of the novel shows that Mr. Bennet's amusement depends not merely on witty language but also on controlling information. He has already visited Mr. Bingley without informing his wife. Nevertheless, he allows her to continue believing that he has refused to do so. He deliberately keeps this fact secret until he can produce the greatest possible surprise. When Mrs. Bennet declares herself "sick of Mr. Bingley," he replies that, had he known this earlier, he certainly would not have visited him (Austen 8). Only then does Mrs. Bennet discover that the visit has already taken place. Austen explicitly tells us that the astonishment of the family was "just what he wished" (8). This statement establishes his immediate payoff. Berne distinguishes games by their ulterior quality and payoff (48). Mr. Bennet's reward is not primarily practical. He has already performed the socially necessary act of visiting Bingley. His psychological reward comes from withholding that information and watching the emotional consequences when it is finally disclosed.

Mr. Bennet's visit is a practical act, but withholding news of it serves another purpose. This resembles game-playing without exactly reproducing the disparaging anecdote of "Sweetheart."

Mrs. Bennet's reaction also helps sustain the pattern. Once she learns the truth, irritation changes immediately into delight. She praises her husband and interprets his action as evidence that she has successfully persuaded him. Her practical satisfaction lies in the successful visit, while his additional satisfaction lies in controlling its disclosure. Her praise allows ordinary domestic life to resume, but does not establish that she secretly sought humiliation (Austen 8).

The Family Audience and the Enlargement of "Sweetheart"

Berne describes "Sweetheart" particularly in relation to disparaging remarks made before other people. The apparently affectionate manner in the presence of an audience makes the insult socially difficult to challenge (Berne 108–09). Austen enlarges this pattern by turning the Bennet daughters into an internal audience.

The Collins proposal supplies a clear family audience. Mrs. Bennet asks her husband to insist on Elizabeth's acceptance. He summons his daughter, apparently to pronounce a solemn decision, but announces that her mother will never see her again if she refuses Collins, whereas he will never see her again if she accepts him (Austen 141). Mrs. Bennet is disappointed because she had assumed that he shared her intention (142). His intervention protects Elizabeth's freedom while making her mother's demand the object of a joke.

When his wife protests, "My dear" introduces his request for the "free use of my understanding" and the return of his library to himself (Austen 142). Affectionate address carries the implication that she obstructs his judgment and comfort. Although Mrs. Bennet objects and does not assent, the resemblance to "Sweetheart" is firmer here. The legitimate purpose of supporting Elizabeth does not cancel the marital humiliation through which that support is expressed.

Mrs. Bennet experiences the transaction and Elizabeth witnesses it, while the reader is invited to consider both the justice of the decision and the manner of its delivery.

Austen thereby does something more complex than Berne's psychological game model alone can explain. She invites the reader to enjoy Mr. Bennet's wit and afterwards to reconsider the moral cost of that enjoyment. Readers are not literal participants in his payoff, but the narrative can make their initial amusement resemble the Elizabeth's smile. The relationship between Elizabeth's wit and the narrative voice explains why intellectual quickness can attract confidence before its limitations become apparent (Moses 155–56).

Mrs. Bennet's "Nerves" and Entail of Longbourn

A purely psychological application of Berne's game framework apparently reduces Mrs. Bennet to the role of a foolish or irritating spouse. However, Austen makes such a reading faulty. There is no denying that Mrs. Bennet is unquestionably comic. She exaggerates, complains, misunderstands situations, and repeatedly speaks of her "nerves". Yet, her central anxiety, the need to marry her daughters, is not imaginary. Longbourn is entailed away from the daughters and will pass to Mr. Collins after Mr. Bennet's death (Austen 37). Yet, when Mr. Bennet announces Mr. Collins's arrival, he again turns a serious family matter into entertainment. Austen tells us that he deliberately enjoys the curiosity of his wife and daughters before explaining who the expected guest is. For Mrs. Bennet, the entail raises the prospect of losing her home. Mr. Bennet responds to the subject with his characteristic irony, even joking about Mr. Collins's "guilt of inheriting Longbourn" (Austen 79). This difference reveals a recurring imbalance in the marriage. Mr. Bennet possesses the privilege of detachment. He can transform uncomfortable realities into jokes because he does not experience their future consequences in the same way as his wife and daughters do.

The "Sweetheart" pattern thus operates at a deeper level than verbal teasing. Mr. Bennet habitually converts his wife's anxiety into material for his entertainment. His wit protects him from emotional participation. From a transactional viewpoint, humour functions almost as a defensive mechanism. Instead

of conducting a practical conversation about finances, inheritance, marriage or parental responsibility, Mr. Bennet repeatedly shifts communication towards ironic display.

The source of Mrs. Bennet's anxiety is partly economic and social. The Bennets expected a son who would have cut off the entail, and Mr. Bennet failed to save for the family. Mrs. Bennet lacked economy, although her husband prevented expenditure from exceeding income (377–78). Neither is free from responsibility for their insecurity.

Transactional Analysis describes how the couple communicate. Austen additionally shows why their particular conflict has become so serious within their social circumstances. Mrs. Bennet's anxiety cannot be dismissed as foolish merely because her husband expresses himself more cleverly.

Payoff of the Game

Berne's distinction between a game's immediate outcome and its psychological advantages directs attention to what the exchange enables a participant to feel or avoid (48, 56–57). In Mr. Bennet's case, Austen supplies evidence for amusement and withdrawal. Austen explains that Mr. Bennet had originally been attracted by Mrs. Bennet's youth, beauty and apparent good humour. After marriage, however, respect and affection disappeared. He subsequently found his chief pleasures in the country, in books, and in his wife's folly (Austen 294–95).

> To his wife he was very little otherwise indebted than as her ignorance and folly had contributed to his amusement. This is not the sort of happiness which a man would in general wish to owe to his wife; but where other powers of entertainment are wanting, the true philosopher will derive benefit from such as are given. (Austen 295)

This passage gives retrospective meaning to the earlier comic scenes. Mr. Bennet's jokes are not isolated acts of impatience. They are part of a long-established marital arrangement. The narrator's apparent praise of the "true philosopher" is itself ironic, as Moses observes (157). Mr. Bennet receives several possible psychological advantages from the repeated transactions:

1. He confirms his intellectual superiority.
2. He protects himself from serious emotional engagement.
3. He transforms disappointment in marriage into amusement.
4. He gains an appreciative audience in at least some of his children.

5. He avoids confronting his own contribution to the failure of the marriage.

Mrs. Bennet's participation requires greater caution. Her complaints attract attention, and her reactions structure family conversation. When the Bingley visit is disclosed, she recovers the position of a successful mother by claiming that she persuaded him (Austen 8). These responses help the exchange to continue, but they do not prove that she desires belittlement. The evidence for his pleasure is stronger because the narrator states it directly. Genuine anxiety can enter a repetitive interaction without making responsibility for its humiliating effects equal.

Elizabeth as Internal Critic of the Game

Elizabeth also fulfils the role of an internal critic. She loves her father and admires his intelligence, so much so that his irony bears similarity to her own witty comments. Nevertheless, she recognises that his attitude to Mrs. Bennet has damaged the family. Austen states that Elizabeth sees the "disadvantages which must attend the children of so unsuitable a marriage" and recognises the damage caused by "so ill-judged a direction of talents" (295). This judgment militates against a simple opposition between an intelligent husband and a foolish wife. Elizabeth has long perceived the understanding of his game's consequences. Her gratitude for his affection made her to put aside what she could not entirely overlook.

Mr. Bennet is seen winning a verbal encounter, but it does not mean that he wins the moral argument. Indeed, one of the most interesting findings through a game reading is that winning the transaction may itself constitute losing the relationship (James and Jongeward 33). Thus, the pleasure of winning the argument is seen coexisting with the loss of conjugal respect as the narrator describes (Austen 295).

Though Mr. Bennet is able to see through his wife and other people, he does not always use that insight constructively. This means that psychological perception without responsibility becomes another instrument of irresponsible authority.

Lydia and the Limits of Ironic Detachment

Lydia's visit to Brighton exposes the practical consequences of Mr. Bennet's habit of evading responsibility. Elizabeth warns her father, but he expects Lydia's absence to preserve peace at home and hopes that limited attention will reduce her vanity (Austen 287–90). He clearly sees her foolishness without taking adequate steps to restrain it. This is not another marital "Sweetheart" exchange as the interlocutor and

circumstances differ. It tests whether the intelligence displayed in domestic conversation can produce responsible action when his favoured daughter asks for it.

After the elopement of Lydia, Mr. Bennet acknowledges his fault: "It has been my own doing, and I ought to feel it" (Austen 366). However, his desire to feel his blame ends with the prediction, "It will pass away soon enough" (366). As a result, the acknowledgment interrupts, rather than permanently ends, his detachment. He soon mocks the attention given to his wife and threatens Kitty so extravagantly that she cries (367). Later, he returns to indolence and his desire for minimal trouble (379). The repeated habit survives this event which has exposed its inadequacy.

The later conversation about Elizabeth's engagement offers a qualification. Mr. Bennet earnestly asks whether a marriage without esteem could make her happy and fears that she may repeat his own mistake (Austen 462–63). He can communicate concern without turning it into ridicule. This capacity makes his habitual withdrawal more open to criticism. He frequently chooses amusement rather than responsibility.

Conclusion

The marriage of Mr. and Mrs. Bennet provides one of the richest comic relationships in *Pride and Prejudice*, but its comedy rests upon a serious failure of communication. When read through Eric Berne's "Sweetheart" game, their conversations reveal a repeated discrepancy between social language and psychological meaning. Mr. Bennet's affectionate "my dear" accompanies mockery, and his innocent questions function as provocations. The resulting payoff such transactional game-driven behaviour is often Mr. Bennet's amusement. Mrs. Bennet's reactions provide opportunities for him to display his intellectual advantage.

Yet, Austen ultimately resists allowing intelligence to excuse irresponsibility. Mrs. Bennet's behaviour is mostly absurd, but some of the fears beneath it are materially justified. Mr. Bennet's tendency to convert his wife into an object of amusement gradually damages parental authority, conjugal respect and family cohesion.

Works Cited

Austen, Jane. *Pride and Prejudice*. Preface by George Saintsbury, illustrations by Hugh Thomson, George Allen, 1894. *Project Gutenberg*, www.gutenberg.org/files/1342/1342-h/1342-h.htm.

Berne, Eric. *Games People Play: The Psychology of Human Relationships*. Quality Book Club, 1967. *Internet Archive*, archive.org/details/in.ernet.dli.2015.128032.

Moses, Carole. "Jane Austen and Elizabeth Bennet: The Limits of Irony." *Persuasions*, no. 25, 2003, pp. 155–64. jasna.org/persuasions/printed/number25/moses.pdf.

James, Muriel and Jongeward, Dorothy. *Born to Win: Transactional Analysis with Gestalt Experiments*. Signet, 1978.

Saintsbury, George. Preface. *Pride and Prejudice*, by Jane Austen, illustrated by Hugh Thomson, George Allen, 1894, pp. ix–xxiii.

Wiltshire, John. "Mrs. Bennet's Least Favorite Daughter." *Persuasions*, no. 23, 2001, pp. 179–87. jasna.org/persuasions/printed/number23/wiltshire.pdf.